

Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Development Plan –

Consultation Statement

Fenny Compton Parish Council – Final Version - October 2021

Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Communication methods employed throughout the NDP process.....	3
3. Early-stage consultation including the area designation	4
4. Middle-stage consultation.....	5
4.1 Housing needs survey.....	5
4.2 February 2018 open meeting	5
4.3 Main residents' survey	6
4.4 Business survey	6
4.5 Children and young people survey.....	6
5. Statutory pre-submission consultation	6
5.1. Statutory requirements.....	6
5.2 Consulting the parish.....	7
5.3 Consulting others	7
5.4 Responses.....	7
6. Conclusion	8
7. Appendices	8
7.1 Example parish council agenda and minutes including neighbourhood plan updates.....	8
7.2 - Example Compton Chronicle newsletter.....	21
7.3 Flyer distributed to all properties in the parish in October 2016	22
7.4 Fenny Compton parish council minutes November 2016.....	23
7.5 Fenny Compton neighbourhood plan area designation map	30
7.6 Steering group terms of reference	31
7.7 Housing needs survey form.....	34
7.8 Housing needs survey report	40
7.9 Flyer distributed to all properties in the parish in February 2018	57
7.10 Main survey form	58
7.11 Main survey results	74
7.12 Business survey form.....	111
7.13 Children and young persons' survey results	116
7.14 Section 14 consultation form distributed to all properties in the parish.....	130
7.15 Banbury Guardian and Stratford Herald newspaper advertisements	138
7.16 List of consultees.....	139
7.17 Responses to section 14 consultation.....	142

1. Introduction

As the qualifying body, Fenny Compton Parish Council has formally submitted the Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Development Plan to Stratford-on-Avon District Council.

When submitting an NDP to the local planning authority, legislation requires that the qualifying body include a number of other documents, one of which being a Consultation Statement.

This consultation statement has therefore been prepared to fulfil the statutory obligations of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. Part 5, Section 15 (2) of the Regulations states that a consultation statement should:

- a) contain details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
- b) explain how they were consulted;
- c) summarise the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and
- d) describe how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood plan.

Consultation activity during the preparation of the neighbourhood development plan broadly occurred in three stages:

- The early stage consultation including the parish council's decision making process in choosing to progress an NDP, the area designation and the issues gathering survey
- The middle stage consultation including the full NDP survey and the housing needs survey
- The latter statutory pre-submission consultation on the draft plan

Each of these stages is described in more detail in subsequent sections.

2. Communication methods employed throughout the NDP process

Numerous communication methods have been consistently used throughout the NDP process, with the objective of ensuring that residents were fully aware of progress and opportunities to engage.

- The parish council representative/s on the NDP steering group provided detailed progress updates at each parish council meeting. These updates formed part of the parish council meeting minutes posted on the parish council website and in hard-copy on the three village noticeboards (refer to appendix 1 for an example)
- Monthly parish council social media updates contained details of NDP progress at key milestones
- NDP steering group updates and meeting notes posted on the NDP website (refer to the [Neighbourhood Plan page](#) of the Fenny Compton parish Council website)
- Regular NDP updates published in the Compton Chronicle village newsletter. This newsletter is delivered in hard copy to every household in Fenny Compton, Farnborough and Avon Dassett parishes (refer to appendix 2 for an example)

- Notices and banners posted around the parish at key milestones (please see detail in subsequent sections)
- Flyers delivered to all properties in the parish at key milestones (please see detail in subsequent sections)
- Public exhibitions or information stands at village events (please see detail in subsequent sections).

Fenny Compton Parish Council is confident that the NDP steering group have used every option open to them to maximise consultation with residents notwithstanding the restrictions placed on face to face communications under Covid-19 restrictions.

3. Early-stage consultation including the area designation

The parish council examined and discussed the idea of an NDP for Fenny Compton at a number of its monthly meetings during 2016. These discussions culminated at the parish council meeting on 19 September 2016 with a decision to hold an open public meeting to present the concept of an NDP to residents and gauge the level of interest.

The open meeting was held at the village hall on 16 November 2016, with three key speakers:

- a) Matthew Neal of Stratford District Council, outlining what an NDP is and its purpose
- b) Peter Jordan of the Brailes NDP steering group, outlining their practical experience in putting together an NDP
- c) Hilary Birkbeck of Fenny Compton on why an NDP would be beneficial to the parish.

Residents were notified of the open meeting through the parish council meeting minutes, an article in the Compton Chronicle village newsletter and by a flyer delivered to every property in the parish (refer to appendix 3).

The meeting was attended by approximately 30 residents and there was agreement that an NDP should be progressed. There was a lukewarm response to an appeal for steering group members.

At the subsequent parish council meeting on 21 November 2016 (refer to minutes in appendix 4), councillors agreed to support the principle of an NDP for Fenny Compton and that work to agree the plan boundary should commence immediately along with work to update residents on progress and to appeal for volunteers.

A follow up flyer was distributed to all residents in December 2016 outlining the outcomes of the open meeting and appealing for volunteers. This was successful with eight people joining the steering group and further volunteers registering their willingness to help out in other capacities, e.g. as street champions.

The first formal task was to submit the area designation application. This was done in December 2016 and was agreed by SDC during the same month. A map of the designated plan area is given in appendix 5.

The first steering group meeting took place at the Merrie Lion pub, Fenny Compton, on 9 January 2017. At this meeting roles and responsibilities were agreed. It was also agreed that the meetings would take place in public at the Merrie Lion every four weeks for the foreseeable future. This decision meant that meetings were accessible and members of the public could join the meetings if they wished.

The NDP steering group drafted and accepted terms of reference (refer to appendix 6) which guided the way the group operates from that date forwards.

The first consultation exercise was to undertake an initial 'issues gathering' survey with three simple questions.

- a) What do you like about living or working in Fenny Compton parish?
- b) What don't you like about living or working in Fenny Compton parish?
- c) What would improve Fenny Compton parish as a place to live or work?

The primary purpose of this survey was to understand the key areas that needed to be explored in more detail when the full survey took place. The survey was printed and hand delivered to every domestic and business property in the parish.

The survey was live in March and April 2017. Ninety-seven responses were received, which equates to approximately 25% response rate. Responses were analysed and used to shape the main survey which is detailed later in this document.

4. Middle-stage consultation

This part of the NDP process for Fenny Compton covered the period from summer 2017 to summer 2019. It covered the completion of a number of separate surveys, the responses to which formed the evidence base for the first draft NDP. It also covered a significant programme of communication through open meetings, newsletter articles, posters and banners.

4.1 Housing needs survey

The NDP steering group commissioned the services of Warwickshire Rural Community Council (WRCC) to draft an updated housing needs report for Fenny Compton parish. This report replaced a previous survey which had been undertaken several years before. The objective was to establish the housing needs of any current Fenny Compton households (or those living outside the parish but with a connection to the parish and a desire to return) which could not be currently met through the housing purchase or rental market.

The first stage was to deliver a hard-copy survey to every property in the parish. This was delivered in May 2017 with a return deadline of 24 June 2017 by pre-paid postage envelopes (refer to appendix 7 for the survey form).

Eight survey forms were returned containing details for nine individual households with a housing need. WRCC analysed the data and completed their report in July 2017 (refer to appendix 8).

4.2 February 2018 open meeting

Following a number of resignations from the NDP steering group, it was decided that an open meeting should be held in February 2018 to boost volunteer numbers and to generally promote the work of the NDP and the upcoming opportunities to engage in the process. A flyer was printed and distributed to every property in the parish to promote the open meeting (refer to appendix 9). In addition an article was published in the Compton Chronicle newsletter. This open meeting also provided an opportunity to recruit volunteers to act as street champions for the main NDP survey where a degree of proactivity was going to be required in order to encourage residents locally to complete and return the form.

4.3 Main residents' survey

The main NDP survey was undertaken from 30 May to 18 June 2018. Respondents could choose whether to complete and return the hard copy form delivered to their property or to complete the survey online. Refer to appendix 10 for the survey form. A team of volunteer 'street champions' were utilised across the parish. These volunteers were invaluable in knocking on doors to encourage survey completion and to proactively collect completed forms.

To further publicise the opportunity for residents to input into the NDP process, the steering group arranged a stand at the Fenny Compton church fete which took place in early June 2018.

The survey had a very good level of response which was testament to the amount of work put in by the steering group to communicate the details of the main survey opportunity. 254 responses were received from 350 properties, a response rate of 73%. Refer to appendix 11 for the survey findings report.

4.4 Business survey

A survey of businesses operating from premises in Fenny Compton parish was also undertaken alongside the main residents' survey. This was distributed in hard copy to all business and domestic premises and it generated a nil response. Refer to appendix 12 for the survey form.

4.5 Children and young people survey

This survey aimed at school-age children was undertaken in two parts in June and October 2018. The survey was designed by young people in the village and was distributed through The Dassett School in Fenny Compton, Fenny Compton Scout Group, Fenny Compton village Facebook page and through young peoples' own social networks.

It was completed by 87 young people between the ages of 8 and 18. Of which 41 live in Fenny Compton and 47 attending The Dassett School or Fenny Compton Scout Group but who live in neighbouring villages e.g. Wormleighton, Farnborough, Knightcote, Northend and Avon Dassett.

The survey responses are available in appendix 13.

5. Statutory pre-submission consultation

5.1. Statutory requirements

Part 5, Section 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 requires that before the neighbourhood plan is submitted to the local planning authority the qualifying body must:

a) publicise, in a manner that is likely to bring it to the attention of people who live, work or carry-on business in the neighbourhood area:

1. details of the proposals for a neighbourhood development plan;
2. details of where and when the proposals for a neighbourhood development plan may be inspected;
3. details of how to make representations; and

4. the date by which those representations must be received, being not less than 6 weeks from the date on which the draft proposal is first publicised;
- b) consult any consultation body referred to in paragraph 1 of Schedule 1 whose interests the qualifying body considers may be affected by the proposals for a neighbourhood development plan; and
- c) send a copy of the proposals for a neighbourhood development plan to the local planning authority.

5.2 Consulting the parish

The statutory pre-submission consultation period took place between 2 November 2020 and 12 December 2020, a period of exactly six weeks. The main way that the consultation period was communicated to households in the parish, was by delivering a leaflet containing all of the NDP's key principles and policies (refer to appendix 14), with space alongside to comment on each one. This approach was chosen because of the ongoing uncertainties around public gatherings due to Covid-19 restrictions, which prevented any public exhibitions taking place.

Residents were encouraged to either complete the paper form and return it to one of several addresses listed, or to respond electronically by email. The leaflet delivered to all households also explained how residents could access the full draft version of the plan on the NDP website.

In addition to this, articles were posted in the Compton Chronicle newsletter, and on the parish council's social media channels. Posters were placed around the village and adverts were placed in the Banbury Guardian and Stratford Herald newspapers and on their websites (refer to appendix 15).

5.3 Consulting others

An email was sent to all relevant consultation bodies specified in paragraph 1 of schedule 1 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012, with the list provided by Stratford District Council (refer to appendix 16). In addition, the draft plan was sent for comment to Stratford District Council.

5.4 Responses

Overall there were 46 individual respondents making a total of 382 comments. Thirty-seven were residents and nine were government agencies, local authorities and the voluntary sector.

All responses were carefully considered by the steering group and 112 comments resulted in a change to the draft plan. Of the remaining comments, 99 were in the form of clear agreement with the principle or policy being commented on. The remaining comments were a mixture of questions and also general comments which were not specifically communicating agreement or disagreement with the plan. There were also a significant number of comments about areas which the NDP has no control over, e.g. parking and speeding. These comments have been passed to the parish council for further discussion and action. It is notable that there were no comments disagreeing with any of the key principles or policies.

Refer to appendix 17 for the anonymised list of comments and the action taken.

6. Conclusion

This Consultation Statement demonstrates that Fenny Compton Parish Council and the Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Development Plan Steering Group have prepared the Neighbourhood Development Plan in accordance with the legal obligations set out in the Neighbourhood Planning Regulations 2012.

As stipulated in Part 5, Section 15(2) of the Regulations this Consultation Statement has:

- a) provided details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed neighbourhood development plan;
- b) explained how they were consulted;
- c) summarised the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and
- d) described how these issues and concerns have been considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Development Plan.

Furthermore the Parish Council believe that this consultation statement demonstrates that they have endeavoured to go beyond the minimum legal requirement. The Parish Council has made genuine and committed efforts to engage all those who live, work or have a business interest in Fenny Compton parish and provided them with every opportunity to influence the content of the Neighbourhood Development Plan throughout its preparation.

7. Appendices

7.1 Example parish council agenda and minutes including neighbourhood plan updates

FENNY COMPTON PARISH COUNCIL

NOTICE OF THE MEETING OF THE PARISH COUNCIL

You are hereby summoned to attend the meeting of Fenny Compton Parish Council, this is a virtual meeting using Zoom to be on Monday 18th January 2021, which starts at 7:45pm.

Should you wish to join this meeting or have any questions for the parish council, please email the clerk for further details fcpc.clerk@gmail.com or call 07789

822180

BUSINESS TO BE TRANSACTED

1. Acceptance of Apologies
2. Minutes December PC OGM Meeting (circulated separately)
3. Declaration of Interests
 - (i) Cllr Parkes regarding planning application 23/03334/TREE
 - (ii) Cllr Dutton regarding planning application 23/03334/TREE
4. Dispensations
5. Open Forum
6. Matters Arising - Previous Minutes
 - (i) Orbit grass cutting
 - (ii) Problems with large vehicles on Mill Lane/ The Lankett
 - (iii) Lloyds Bank Fixed Term Deposit account
 - (iv) Grass cutting/ green bin charge
 - (v) Emergency Plan document
7. District Councillor and County Councillor Reports
8. Correspondence – Received since our last meeting (circulated separately)
9. Planning (items may be added if received after the agenda is issued)
 - (i) The following applications have been received for consideration since the last meeting:

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Consultation Expiry Date</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Proposal</u>
20/03334/TREE	13 Jan 2020	1 The Willows , High Street, Fenny Compton, CV47 2FS	T1 - apple - Reduce height from approx. 5metres and spread from 4metres to height of 3-4metres T2 - apple - Reduce height and spread from approx. 3-4metres to approx. 3metres
20/03575/TREE	13 Jan 2020	Meadow Bank , Mill Lane, Fenny Compton, CV47 2YF	T1 - ash – Fell T2 - Lawson cypress – Fell
20/03429/FUL	15 Jan 21	Buttercup Barn, Rectory Farm Court, Avon Dassett Road, Fenny Compton Southam	Replacement of Ground floor door and First Floor window
20/03430/LBC	15 Jan 21	Buttercup Barn, Rectory Farm Court, Avon Dassett Road, Fenny Compton Southam	Replacement of Ground floor door and First Floor window

The following decisions have been received since the last meeting

Reference	Decision Date	Status	Address	Proposal
20/03316/TREE	23/12/2020	No Objection	Old Toft, Bridge Street, Fenny Compton, CV47 2X	T1 Sorbus (noted as beech on notification form) - fell

10. Finance

(i) Payments/Signing of Cheques:

JAN_21_1	Lydia Cox (Salary and Expenses December)	£ 333.12
JAN_21_2	E-ON (Streetlights December)	£ 221.76
JAN_21_3	Utility Warehouse (Playing Field Electricity December)	£ TBC
JAN_21_4	Trevor Gill (Internal Audit)	£ 150.00
JAN_21_5	Reimburse Derek Carless (Trees)	£ 242.60
JAN_21_6	Sort IT (2021 website domain costs)	£ 18.00
JAN_21_7	Mick Jones (Plant trees and general maintenance)	£ 310.00
JAN_21_8	Reimburse Roly Whear for playing field maintenance	£ 179.96
JAN_21_9	Allotment Society subscription	£ 66.00
Total		£ 1,521.44

(ii) PC Balances, Bank Reconciliation and Budget report (circulated at meeting)

(iii) Quarter 3 checks

(iv) 2019_20 Internal Audit Report and External Audit submission

(v) Draft of 2021_22 Budget for discussion

11. Updates

(i) Flood Prevention

(ii) Trees

(iii) Playing Field and Play Equipment

i) Quote received for replacement bin

(iv) Neighbourhood Development Plan

(v) Allotments

(vi) Highways

(vii) Street Lighting

i) Quotes received for 6 lantern replacements (£385 plus VAT each)

(viii) Traffic

(ix) Privacy Notice ratification

(x) Risk Assessments

i) Bus shelters, new burial ground and bear and ragged staff

12. Items to Publicise

13. Items for Future Discussion

14. Date of next meeting 15 February 2021

Fenny Compton Parish Council

Minutes of an Ordinary Meeting of Fenny Compton Parish Council held by Zoon on Monday 18 January 2021 at 7.45pm

PRESENT: Parish Councillors: Jon Dutton in the Chair, Derek Carless, Alan Payne, David Johnson, Emma Briscoe, Sam Parkes and Roly Whear

IN ATTENDANCE: Parish Clerk Lydia Cox, Councillor Andy Crump (Warwickshire County Council) and Councillor Nigel Rock (District Council)
Apologies – none

1 Acceptance of Minutes of Previous Meeting

The Minutes of the Ordinary meeting on 14th December 2020 (already circulated) were considered and the minutes were **agreed** (Proposed by Councillor Whear and seconded by Councillor Johnson)

2 Declarations of Interest

Councillor Payne declared an interest in any discussions around HS2
Councillor Parkes and Councillor Dutton declared an interest in planning application 20/03334/TREE

3 Requests for Dispensation

There had been no requests for dispensation

4 Open Forum

No members of the public were in attendance

5 Matters Arising from Previous Minutes

- (i) Orbit grass cutting – Clerk to organise meetings with Orbit and WCC to confirm exactly which pieces of land are owned by whom
- (ii) Problems with large vehicles on Mill Lane/ The Lankett – Councillor Dutton reported that the development does have a Construction Plan and that he has been in contact with the enforcement team at the council
- (iii) Lloyds Bank Fixed Term Deposit account - The clerk reported that the transfer has now been made
- (iv) Grass cutting/ Green bin charge – Clerk reported that Mick Jones is aware of the new charge for green bins and is in process of organising payment for the village grass cutting waste
- (v) Emergency Plan - The clerk reported that certain items of the Emergency Plan will need to be redacted prior to uploading on to the website. Document will be circulated prior to the next meeting
- (vi) Dog fouling – SDC has provided signage that has been put up at Cotters Croft. Councillor Dutton will speak to resident to see if the situation has improved

6 SDC Report

Councillor Rock submitted a report which can be found in Appendix C

WCC Report

WCC are providing 1000 laptops via schools for home schooling and continue

to support welfare schemes such as free school meals

County have agreed to fund nursery places for those children that parents are choosing not to send to nursery until spring term

Further HS2 road closures near Deppers Bridge. (29 Jan to 2 Feb B4452 between A425 and Butt Lane, 3 Feb to 4 Feb Deppers Bridge B4452 between Butt Road and Station Road and 5 to 6 Feb Station Road B4451 between Deppers Bridge and Lakin Drive)

7 Correspondence

The meeting noted the following correspondence:

- Burial Request
 - The council have received a request for burial on February 2nd
- Residents correspondence
 - Further correspondence regarding vehicles and damage caused by the new development at The Lankett
 - Concern about the tree in front of Lankett House
 - Concerns raised about the state of the playing fields and sports club
- Freedom of Information Request
 - How does the parish council provide general access to its virtual meetings?
- Road Closures
 - Temporary closure of C35 Lower End & C35 Church Hill, Avon Dassett for one day on 15th February 2021
- Garden Waste Service - Early Bird subscriptions now open
 - SDC's 'Clip and Collect', garden waste subscription service opened on Monday 11 January 2021
 - For residents who are interested in signing up to the scheme, there is an early bird offer of £35 for each green bin for a 12 month subscription starting on 1 April 2021
 - The annual charge is £40 for each green bin, but if residents sign up between 11 January and 28 February 2021, they can take advantage of this early bird offer
- Fenny Water Shareholder Newsletter
 - The treatment plant has been upgraded: New filters and ultraviolet units are now in place which significantly reduces operational costs and means that all maintenance work can be completed without the need to interrupt the supply. A battery back-up and notification system have been installed which provides 5 hours electricity in the event of a power cut. This is the last requirement stipulated by the Environmental Health officer to ensure uninterrupted sterilisation
 - There have been leaks in the system this year. Whilst system losses are now low (nose of our Severn Trent back up) these incidents, all caused by heavy vehicles, show that the ageing system is fragile. Planned fund raising has been put on hold during lock down but hope to start again in the New Year with a view to replacing the pipework. It is as easy to "replace a length" as "fix a leak" with twenty metres of new pipe laid
- Site Allocation Plan

- CPRE shared their response to the SAP
- Draft Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Show people Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)
 - SDC is running a public consultation on its proposed Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Show people SPD from Thursday 7 January to Friday 19 February
 - The SPD provides detailed advice and guidance to applicants when submitting planning applications relating to Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Show people accommodation. When finished (adopted), it will be used by SDC to help reach decision on whether to approve or refuse planning applications
 - The consultation draft sets out the need for additional accommodation within the District and identifies areas where new Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Show people sites may be suitable, subject to planning permission, as well as guidance on what any new sites should look like in respect of their design and layout
 - The SPD does not allocate land for new permanent sites as this can only be done through a higher level policy document. Prepared as an interim measure, the contents of the SPD will be subsumed into a Gypsy and Traveller Local Plan in due course. The Council has started work on a Gypsy and Traveller Local Plan and is currently exploring options to identify new permanent sites
 - The best way to view the consultation documents is online at www.stratford.gov.uk/gandt-spd. This webpage also includes a short video explaining in greater detail the content of the consultation draft
 - Information on how to comment is included within the SPD itself and on the website at www.stratford.gov.uk/gandt-spd. Comments must be received by the District Council by 5pm on Friday 19 February 2021
- Pop-up Business School START & BUILD A BUSINESS TRAINING EVENT
 - Starts Monday 15 Feb 2021
 - 5-day online course, 10am – 3pm daily
 - Completely free but you must register at www.popupbusinessschool.co.uk/stratford to guarantee a place
 - There are no eligibility criteria for attending this event. However it is promoted to those residing in the Stratford District
- Warwickshire County Council is running its 12th collective energy switch for residents
 - Over 1.2 million households across the country have already taken part and to date, switchers have saved an average of £232
 - Visit www.warwickshire.gov.uk/switchandsave and sign up for free, before 23 March
- COVID-19: Vaccine Fraud
 - Criminals are using the COVID-19 vaccine as a way to target the

public by tricking them to hand over cash or financial details. They are sending convincing looking text messages letting people know they are eligible for the vaccine or phoning people directly pretending to be from the NHS or local pharmacy

- The NHS will:
 - Never ask for payment – the vaccine is free
 - Never ask for your bank details
 - Never arrive unannounced at your home to administer the vaccine
 - Never ask you to prove your identity by sending copies of personal documents such as passport

(i) Councillors discussed the state of the playing fields and Councillor Dutton will get in touch with the Colts representatives to discuss further

8 Planning

(i) The following applications were received for consideration

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Consultation Expiry Date</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>Proposal</u>
20/03334/TREE	13 Jan 2021	1 The Willows , High Street, Fenny Compton, CV47 2FS	T1 - apple - Reduce height from approx. 5metres and spread from 4metres to height of 3-4metres T2 - apple - Reduce height and spread from approx. 3-4metres to approx. 3metres
20/03575/TREE	13 Jan 2021	Meadow Bank , Mill Lane, Fenny Compton, CV47 2YF	T1 - ash – Fell T2 - Lawson cypress – Fell
20/03429/FUL	15 Jan 21	Buttercup Barn, Rectory Farm Court, Avon Dassett Road, Fenny Compton Southam	Replacement of Ground floor door and First Floor window
20/03430/LBC	15 Jan 21	Buttercup Barn, Rectory Farm Court, Avon Dassett Road, Fenny Compton Southam	Replacement of Ground floor door and First Floor window
20/03490/FUL	4 Feb 2021	Bumble Bee House, Station Fields, Fenny Compton Southam CV47 2XD	Single storey side extension to the dwelling

The council **agreed** to respond with 'no representation' for all (20/03334/TREE, 20/03575/TREE, 20/03429/FUL and 20/03430/LBC were discussed between meetings)

(ii) The following decisions have been received since the last meeting:

<u>Reference</u>	<u>Decision Date</u>	<u>Status</u>	<u>Address</u>	
20/03316/TREE	23/12/2020	No objection	Old Toft, Bridge Street, Fenny Compton, CV47 2X	T1 Sorbus (noted as beech on notification form) - fell

9 Financial Administration

The meeting noted the bank balances and bank reconciliations (Appendix A & B) and discussed the following payments:

JAN_21_1	Lydia Cox (Salary and Expenses December)	£	333.12
JAN_21_2	E-ON (Streetlights December)	£	221.76
JAN_21_3	Utility Warehouse (Playing Field Electricity December)	£	10.68
JAN_21_4	Trevor Gill (Internal Audit)	£	150.00
JAN_21_5	Reimburse Derek Carless (Trees)	£	242.60

JAN_21_6	Sort IT (2021 website domain costs)	£ 18.00
JAN_21_7	Mick Jones (Plant trees and general maintenance)	£ 310.00
JAN_21_8	Reimburse Roly Whear for playing field maintenance	£ 179.96
JAN_21_9	Allotment Society subscription	£ 66.00
JAN_21_10	WALC (David Johnson training)	£ 30.00
Total		£ 1,562.12

- (i) Councillors discussed payment JAN_21_9 and decided not to renew the subscription to the Allotment Society as the allotment group has their own membership. All other payments were **approved**. (Proposed by Councillor Briscoe and seconded by Councillor Parkes)
- (ii) The clerk requested approval to set up a standing order for payments of the clerk's salary. Councillors unanimously **agreed**
- (iii) Councillor Payne reported that the checks of the quarter three accounts have been completed and everything is in order
- (iv) The clerk was asked to contact Lloyds to find out whether internet banking access can be changed to allow the clerk to set up payments online and then be approved by account signatories
- (v) 2019_20 Audit
The internal audit has been completed by Trevor Gill and the relevant reports have been submitted to Councillors for review. Between meetings the report was unanimously **approved**
The internal audit, bank reconciliation, AGAR and variance analysis has been submitted to the external auditors for review in draft form. In the meantime the clerk will begin the Exercise of Public Rights from January 19th
- (vi) The final draft of the budget (Appendix D) was reviewed. A precept of **£25,765** was unanimously **approved** by the Councillors (Proposed by Councillor Carless and seconded by Councillor Payne)
- (vii) Councillor Dutton reported that he had contacted various fraud lines to establish whether any crime had been committed by the previous clerk. None were found

10 Updates

- (i) Flood Prevention – After recent bad weather the resilience of the current systems was tested and held up well

The modelling undertaken by the Flood Risk Management team is almost complete and discussions are taking place about a possible public consultation

There has been no further progress regarding the Ridgeway development. It was agreed to provide Councillor Rock with photographic evidence of the pump not working

Councillors Rock and Crump left the meeting at 21.00

- (ii) Trees – The replacement trees have been planted
- (iii) Playing Field – Councillor Whear reported that the rubbish has been cleared from the playing fields and that the rubbish bin has been patched. The tap in the sports pavilion has also been repaired

Councillor Whear is waiting for Wickstead to respond regarding a quote for the matting in the under 8's play area

The clerk has contacted the cricket club regarding the removal of the scoring hut. It is agreed that it should be removed but no one is available to do so. Councillors discussed whether the rubble could be used elsewhere if the hut was dismantled

- (iv) Neighbourhood Plan – The consultation summary is being written. There were 47 respondents and 340 comments, including statutory consultees (such as Severn Trent Water, Sports England, SDC and Warwickshire Police). A formal document is being written that outlines comments and the changes to be made. The Parish Council will have to agree these changes at the February meeting and formally submit to SDC. Councillor Carless will send report prior to the meeting via email for review

A 'Consultation Statement' which outlines all consultation that has been done from the start of the project is also submitted at the same time. The project team are engaging a planning consultant. They will review the submission and help to write the 'Basic Condition Statement'. A grant will have to be applied for to cover the costs of the consultant

- (v) Allotments – Councillor Whear will draft a maintenance agreement Councillor Dutton reported that the lease is currently with the land registry
- (vi) Highways – Clerk to report the pavement opposite the village hall and a drain cover in Fieldgate Lane for repair
- (vii) Street Lighting –

The following quotations have been received and were **approved** by councillors (Proposed by Councillor Carless and seconded by Councillor Johnson)

- a. LP 2 Field Gate Lane lantern replacement - £385 plus VAT
- b. LP 2 Cotters Croft lantern replacement - £385 plus VAT
- c. LP 4 Cotters Croft lantern replacement - £385 plus VAT
- d. LP 5 Cotters Croft lantern replacement - £385 plus VAT
- e. LP 6 Cotters Croft lantern replacement - £385 plus VAT
- f. LP 2 High Street lantern replacement - £385 plus VAT

The clerk reported that the latest inventory has been sent to Western Power Distribution so that they can provide an updated EAC certificate. Eon will then be able to use this to calculate a new pence per unit for street lighting

- (viii) Traffic Calming – Councillor Johnson has spoken to the safer neighbourhood policing team regarding training for a Speed watch group. Councillors agreed to start advertising for volunteers but not to pursue any further until after lockdown

No further updates have been received regarding WCC assessment on speed limit reduction into the village

Councillor Dutton met the WCC traffic management team and showed them the problem areas in the village. Proposals were discussed to prevent large vehicles using Church Street (possibly a new sign at the junction with Memorial Road) and problems with parking near to the shop ('Keep Clear' signage on the road). One way systems and general parking issues were

also discussed. WCC will respond in 4-6 weeks with their recommendations

- (ix) Privacy Notice Ratification – Councillor Parkes has written policy based on the WALC recommended guidance. Councillors **agreed** to adopt the policy (Proposed by Councillor Carless and seconded by Councillor Briscoe)
- (x) Risk Assessments – Assessments have been completed by Councillor Briscoe for the bus shelters, new burial ground and the Bear and Ragged Staff. Councillor Briscoe highlighted that some maintenance work was needed at the Station Road bus shelter (brambles to be cut back and ivy growing through structure) and the Memorial Road bus shelter (guttering needs to be cleared). The clerk will contact Mick Jones to complete the work

Councillor Carless has completed assessments for the benches and salt bins and also undertaken repairs needed

Outstanding risk assessments to be completed before the next meeting are Millennium Stone (Councillor Parkes), Sports Pavilion (Councillor Dutton) and Bowls Pavilion (Councillor Payne)

11 Items to Publicise

- (i) Speed watch volunteers
- (ii) Trees planted
- (iii) Neighbourhood Development Plan updates
- (iv) Reminder that meetings are virtual and to contact clerk for log in details

12 Future Discussion

Agreement of Neighbourhood Development Plan updates following feedback, burial fees and policy ratifications

13 Date of next meeting

The next meeting planned is an Ordinary Meeting scheduled for Monday 15th February. The Parish Council is meeting virtually in line with COVID-19 legislation

MEETING CLOSED 22.00

Appendix A

November Financial Administration

Balances: (See attached bank reconciliation)	£
30 Day Notice (Commuted on deposit)	
Fieldgate Lane	910.61
12 Month Partial Withdrawal (High interest deposit):	
Cotters Croft	7,497.34
PC Balance	2,502.66
	10,000.00

Deposit	22,929.36	
Traffic Management	2,135.82	
Flood Relief Grant	2,003.60	
WCC Flood Attenuation Grant	812.36	
Over 8's Play Area	604.23	
NP Plan Projects	521.31	
Defibrillator	2,009.29	
WCC Violin Grant	-	
Deposit Account		31,015.97
Current Account		200.99
Total Balances (See Bank Reconciliation)		<u>42,127.57</u>
Less Payments (See agenda item 9)		
Lydia Cox salary and expenses	(374.62)	
Eon Electricity (Streetlighting)	(214.61)	
Playing Field Electricity	(9.41)	
Andrew Saunders Tree Surgeon	(1,800.00)	
Playing Fields grass cutting	(284.40)	
WALC Training	(18.00)	
Playing Field Water	(15.63)	
Total Payments		<u>(2,716.67)</u>
Total Balances carried forward		<u><u>39,410.90</u></u>

Appendix B


November Bank Reconciliation

Balances	£	
30 Day Notice	910.61	
12 Month Partial Withdrawal	10,000.00	
Deposit Account	31,015.97	
Current Account	1,109.34	
Less cheques outstanding		
2317 WALC	(33.60)	
2323 Lydia Cox	(435.22)	
2327 Roly Whear	(45.82)	
2328 WALC	(33.60)	
2331 Utility Warehouse	(10.11)	
2332 Spreader Services	(350.00)	
Current Account	200.99	
Total Bank		<u><u>42,127.57</u></u>

Cash Book Balance b/f 42,022.00
Less payments between meetings:

Add receipts since last meeting:	
Bank Interest	105.57
Total Cash Book	<u>42,127.57</u>

Appendix C - District Councillor for Napton and Fenny Compton Report Covid



Covid rates in Stratford District have fallen slightly from their peak and for the first time no Stratford longer has the lowest rates in Warwickshire. Rates in the Feldon and Southam divisions, having generally been the lowest in the district through 2020, have had a period over the last month of being about 25-33% above the average for the district. They have now reduced to align with the average for Stratford district around at 300 per 100,000.

Vaccination started on Friday 15 January at Southam Clinic. The build up to the vaccine programme for our area has been something of a major difficulty for local councillors with very poor communication from the South Warwickshire CCG because of excessive secrecy by NHS England. Our programme is not being done through GPs but organised by the CCG. People should not contact the NHS or GPs to ask for a jab, they should be contacted as prioritised. The mass vaccination hub in Birmingham might send invitations out to people here. This is an option. If residents not to go there, I am assured that this does not affect priority to go to the 'local' centre in Southam.

Eight grant schemes are in place in order to support our businesses through the lockdown. Since the November lockdown SDC has paid out around £4.5m to businesses and this includes payments of £2.4m that we are making this week to 1,145 businesses across the district. We are continuing to deal with unprecedented levels of claims / emails and phone calls from businesses desperate for help. An additional scheme announced by the Chancellor on January 6th is not included in those payments as the guidance was only issued on 13th Jan and the software to make the payments is

not due to reach us until 19th January, we will turn this around as soon as we can to ensure that payments are made quickly.

In relation to the work of enforcement, the Council has written to and will be visiting all of the supermarkets across the district. This is part of a countywide campaign to ensure that businesses are continuing to comply with the BEIS guidance.

You may be aware of scams seeking to get individuals banking issues, please find attached guidance from government on this issue.

8 Green Bin Collections

From 1st April charging for green bin garden waste collection is to go ahead. The proposed charge of £40 had been deferred from earlier in 2020, after widespread opposition. I and my colleagues opposed this change as we believed that the charge was fund-raising exercise not justified by the financial position. I am also concerned about the impact charging may have on the volume of garden waste which is recycled and the pressure on local recycling centres, but more details on the new system can be found in the Council magazine that has been delivered to homes at the moment.

If you want to keep having your green waste collected you can sign up between now and 28 February for a first year's discount of £5 to £35 for the year. www.stratford.gov.uk/gardenwaste or call 01789 260628.

Stratford is investigating new collection arrangements for the longer term in coordination with Warwick District Council called a 123+ system. This would involve:

- One weekly separate food waste collection
- Two weekly mixed recycling collection in a wheelie bin, plus garden waste service (which unfortunately would still be chargeable as described above)
- Three weekly general residual waste black bin collection

It is early days on this idea with a three week cycle instead of two weekly, and possible government action is another variable factor in all waste collection arrangements. It seems to be taking a long time for central government to say what they are going to do, having given an indication of supporting more waste recycling.

9 Other

Changes at Southam Police SNT - PC Jamie Chilern is taking over from PC Matt Simms who moves to the rural crime team. Insp Alison Wiggin at Stratford will also be moving to rural crime.

A gypsy and travellers policy documents is out for consultation. Also on Planning Policy, work is starting on a joint core strategy with Warwick District.

A proposal tabled on Monday by the Stratford Cabinet to consider charges for parking in market towns which would have included Southam, was withdrawn after some pressure from local councillors. There is some concern that this proposal may return in the future

Nigel Rock

Councillor for Napton and Fenny Compton Ward, nigel.rock@stratford-dc.gov.uk, 07971 343066

7.2 - Example Compton Chronicle newsletter



FENNY COMPTON

NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN

EXHIBITION


(WITH SOCIAL DISTANCING)

AT

FENNY COMPTON VILLAGE HALL

ON

7TH NOVEMBER 10AM-12PM
14TH NOVEMBER 2PM-4PM



CONSULTATION FEEDBACK

PLEASE FILL IN & RETURN BY
DECEMBER 12TH

(PLEASE RING 770676 IF YOU HAVE NOT
RECEIVED YOUR FEEDBACK FORM)

'HELP US
TO
HELP YOU'

'With the vision set out in this Neighbourhood Plan, development will be appropriate to the history and rural environment surrounding the built-up area of the Parish. The proposals within the Plan will enhance the village and make it a good place to live in the early 21st Century.'

COMPLETE YOUR CONSULTATION BOOKLET AND RETURN TO:

HILARY-SADDLERS COTTAGE HIGH STREET CV47 2YG (OPPOSITE THE COOP)
ROLY-16 FIELDGATE LANE CV47 2WB
JOHN-MILL HILL COTTAGE THE SLADE CV47 2YB

COMPLETE ONLINE @ [HTTP://FENNYCOMPTONNP.CO.UK](http://fennycomptonnp.co.uk)

7.3 Flyer distributed to all properties in the parish in October 2016

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

Public Meeting 16 November 7.45pm

Fenny Compton Village Hall

Would you like the village to have more control over where, when and what type of development should happen?

If so, please come along to this important meeting to find out more.

Agenda:

- 1. What are neighbourhood plans and what can they do? Matthew Neal, Stratford District Council**
- 2. The Brailes neighbourhood plan experience
Peter Jordan, Brailes neighbourhood plan working group**
- 3. Why Fenny Compton should have a neighbourhood plan
Hilary Birkbeck, Fenny Compton resident**
- 4. Questions, open forum and next steps**

For more information, please contact Derek Carless:

derek.carless@fennycompton-pc.gov.uk or 770944

7.4 Fenny Compton parish council minutes November 2016

The Minutes of the Parish Council Meeting held at the Village Hall, Fenny Compton on 21st November 2016 commencing at 7.45pm.

Present: Miss Deborah Lea in the Chair, Jon Dutton, Michael Guest, Derek Carless, Ian Hartwell, Councillor Christopher Williams & Parish Clerk Catherine Lambert.

1. **Apologies:** Sam Parkes. Councillor Williams gave his apologies and arrived at 8.05pm.
2. **Minutes of the last meeting:** Derek Carless, seconded by Michael Guest, proposed acceptance of the Minutes to the Parish Council Meeting held on the 17th October 2016.
3. **Declaration of Interests:** Derek Carless declared a personal interest in item 11. Derek Carless also declared a personal interest in item 9(d) as he is a member of the Footpath Group and his wife is a member of the Village Hall Committee.
4. **Open Forum:** None.
5. **Matters Arising:**
 - a) **Tree work:** The Clerk had received confirmation from the District Council for permission to CL/MG carry out the work in Squire Place, Andrew Saunders advised that this will be carried out at the beginning of January. The Clerk had met with Andrew Saunders to inspect the oak tree on the playing field which over hangs 15 Meadow Way. He felt that it was advisable to cut back two main branches and a side branch to alleviate the problem. He has quoted £150 to carry out this work. Michael Guest, seconded by Jon Dutton, proposed the acceptance of this quote which was agreed by all. The Clerk had encountered problems with the neighbour who also wanted the tree behind his and other resident's properties backing on to the playing field cut back. The Clerk advised that he should put this in writing for the Parish Council to consider. Michael Guest had looked at the overhanging trees at the top of the Avon Dasset Road. It was agreed to report this issue to the Highways Department. Michael Guest is still to report the trees by the Slade to Western Power.
 - b) **Footpath by Station Road Electricity Substation:** Derek Carless reported that he had DC spoken to the footpath team at the County Council, they are happy that the route used is within the limits as set out on the definitive map. They confirmed that the District Council own the land by the substation and there should be no objection to the footpath group clearing and reinstating the path.
 - c) **Defibrillator:** Sam Parkes asked the Clerk to report that the Parish Council have received SP a cheque from the First Responders Group for £2131.11 which now closes their account. This money will be earmarked for the use of the defibrillator and its future maintenance. Sam will now order a cabinet the prices seem to range between £500 and £700 and she is researching the best value for the Council's needs. The Parish Council asked the Clerk to request Sam approaches the Village Hall Committee regarding the location of the defibrillator and thank her for all her hard work.
 - d) **Land Registry:** Michael Guest reported that he had met with the solicitor and they had MG agreed that they could proceed with registering three areas; the War Memorial, the Avon Dasset Green and the triangle area in the verge by Rectory Farm Court. The solicitor is satisfied that there is enough evidence for ownership of the War Memorial and Avon Dasset Green, however the determination of the area by Rectory Farm Court was more ambiguous and would have to be settled in a court of law. Therefore, the Parish Council agreed to proceed with the registration of the War Memorial and Avon Dasset Green. It was agreed to obtain an estimate for the work involved.

- e) **Highways:** The Clerk had chased again for an update on the fallen sign on the A423 by CL/IH the Wharf. They apologised for the delay and had instructed contractors to make repairs. Ian Hartwell reported that he had contacted Orbit to report about the surfacing breaking up by the garages on Berry Meadow. They had reported it as an emergency repair but there has been no further progress. Ian agreed to contact Orbit again.
- f) **Street lighting:** The Clerk had reported the streetlight on Dog Lane which had been CL passed on to the contractors to make repairs. There has been no news on the replacement lanterns. The Clerk will contact the street lighting department for an update.
- g) **The Readings:** Ian Hartwell reported that the overgrown vegetation had been cut back.
IH
The housing association had done a fantastic job.
- h) **1 The Willows, High Street:** Councillor Williams reported that the Council is still waiting for Severn Trent Water to carry out repairs.
- i) **Monitoring of Planning Conditions:** The Clerk will send through the planning decision DC/CL notices to Derek Carless together with the existing planning spreadsheet.
- j) **Waylands Farm Footpath diversion:** The Clerk has not received formal confirmation of the footpath diversion.
- k) **Property Marking Pack:** The Clerk has received this from Lucy Lambert. It was agreed to organise a property marking event in the New Year and advertise this in the Chronicle.
- l) **Pensions:** Jon Dutton reported that he had contacted Mike Davies who had no further JD information to add. Jon will investigate further and report back at the next meeting.
- m) **Brook Street:** Jon Dutton reported that he still had to contact Sarah Philips. He had investigated the cost of a camera which varied from approximately £100, the problem would be where you would site it to capture evidence of offending vehicles. The Parish Council asked if Jon could speak to the County Council to ask if they have a camera which could be put up temporarily. Councillor Williams reported that they would need more evidence before the Highways Department would install a camera at a cost of £2000. The Highways Department had already enhanced the road markings. The Parish Council highlighted that these had not been effective and at some point there will be an accident. Councillor Williams agreed to submit a formal complaint to the police to see what else can be done.
- n) **Copies of Core Strategy:** The Clerk had collected a copy from Stratford on Avon District Council which was passed to Derek Carless.
- o) **Mike Davies Documentation:** The Clerk had email the District Council to close Mike Davies email account but had not received a reply. Jon Dutton had sorted through the play equipment documentation and had forwarded it to the Clerk. Jon Dutton and Derek Carless agreed to put up the signs for the playing field.
- p) **Facebook page:** Jon Dutton explained the benefits of Facebook for the Parish Council. It JD/IH was agreed that this was a good idea and Ian Hartwell agreed to be an administrator with Jon.

6. Correspondence:

- a) **WALC:** Government consultation on referendum principle on precepts.

- b) **Neil Robertson:** Asking for information on flooding by No 4 Manor Court. The Clerk had responded that the Parish Council cannot provide a specific opinion on the flood risk to a particular property.
- c) **Warwickshire County Council:** Safer Warwickshire Partnership News October 2016.
- d) **Warwickshire County Council:** Local Governance Arrangements review, including the future role of community forums.
- e) **WALC:** Newsletter of Safer Warwickshire Partnership Board.
- f) **Stratford on Avon District Council:** Rural Crime Newsletter Oct 2016.
- g) **Warwickshire Rural Housing Association:** Annual Report 2015-2016.
- h) **Stratford on Avon District Council:** Consultation on BT programme of intended public payphone removals. This does include the payphone in Fenny Compton. Fenny Compton Parish Council agreed to object to this proposal. Jon Dutton agreed to respond on the Council's behalf.
- i) **Fenny Compton Footpath Group:** Request for funding. To be discussed under the Finance item.
- j) **CSW Broadband:** Contract 3 - Public Consultation – Your chance to have your say.
- k) **Robert Purse:** Mr Purse is working to establish a Fenny Compton Allotments and Garden Society. Jon Dutton had responded with details of the established working group.
- l) **WALC:** New Legal Topic notes. Circulate to all Parish Councillors.
- m) **Fenny Compton Tots and Toddlers:** Request for funding. To be discussed under the Finance item.
- n) **Clarke Telecom:** A pre-consultation letter regarding a proposed base station installation at CTIL_201396_TEF_74861 Land at Manor Farm, Butt Hill, Napton on the Hill, Warwickshire. CV47 8NF. NGR E: 446684 N: 3261600.
- o) **Warwickshire County Council:** Warwickshire bear explains why Public Health matters.
- p) **Warwickshire County Council:** WCAVA/VASA Fundraising Workshop - How to write an effective funding bid Monday 5 December 2016.
- q) **Healthwatch Warwickshire:** November 2016 Newsletter. Forward to Jon Dutton.
- r) **Warwickshire County Council:** Warwickshire County Council Scam awareness Update.
- s) **Stratford on Avon District Council:** Stratford-on-Avon Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Further Consultation. Pass to Derek Carless.
- t) **Keep the Horton General:** Asking for the Parish Council's view on possible changes to the services at the Horton General Hospital in Banbury. It was agreed to take no action.
- u) **Gaydon Speed watch Group:** Request for a copy of the last speed survey carried out in Fenny Compton. The Clerk will provide this.
- v) **WALC:** Consultation events re care after leaving hospital.
- w) **Dave Miller:** Asking for the Parish Council's permission to reinstate the tennis court in the summer, which the Parish Council gave with their thanks. Dave also highlighted his concerns regarding the playing field gates and pavilion doors, which is being dealt with by the Parish Council. Finally he expressed his concerns regarding the trees which overhang the fence line of the houses on Meadow Way and Field Gate Lane. The Parish Council are currently monitoring these trees.
- x) **Stratford on Avon District Council:** The web team at Stratford-on-Avon District Council is currently developing a new corporate website which will incorporate a brand new design of the site

and, during this process, they will be moving the website to its future home on one of their new servers. This process is already underway, with a parallel run of the new site and the old (current) site set to begin by Christmas and a full switchover by 1st April 2017. They will need to schedule in a training session for the administrator of the Parish Council website so that they can become familiar with the new system.

- y) **Fenny Compton Village Hall:** Request for funding. To be discussed under the Finance item.

7. **Planning:**

- a) **Notice of Decision. Refusal 16/02958/FUL:** Full application for a dwelling.
Waylands
Farm, Wharf Road, Fenny Compton.
- b) **Notice of Decision. Consent with Conditions 16/03310/TREE:** T1: Sycamore:
reduce crown over house by 3m. T2: Sycamore: fell. Squire Place, Fenny Compton.
- c) **Planning Application Withdrawn 16/01793/FUL:** Relocation and erection of a 2m
high fence and gate with storage area to the north boundary. 2 Field Gate Lane, Fenny
Compton.
- d) **Notice of Decision. Consent with Conditions 16/03292/TREE:** T1 weeping silver birch:
fell. Harefield House High Street, Fenny Compton.
- e) **Stratford on Avon District Council:** 16/02284/REM: Land East Of High Street,
Fenny Compton. The Senior Planner asked if Fenny Compton Parish Council were content for this
application to be determined under delegated powers. The Parish Council agreed to this request.
- f) **Amended Planning Application 16/02489/REM:** Application for approval of Reserved Matters
(Access, Appearance, Landscaping, Layout and Scale) of outline planning permission 14/00124/OUT.
Land Next to the Lankett, Mill Lane, Fenny Compton. Comments are due by 28 November 2016.
Fenny Compton Parish Council objects on the grounds that they have concerns that a portion of the
access road width has been reduced to make access for agricultural implements impossible.
- g) **Planning Application 16/03367/FUL:** Provision of first floor balcony to rear. 11 Grants
Close, Fenny Compton. Mr David Finch. No representation.
- h) **Planning Application 16/02914/LBC:** Removal of existing C20 stud division walls, false beam
and joisted ceiling from C17 dining room, together with C20 stud division wall from first floor. The
Red House, Church Street, Fenny Compton. Mrs Fiona Merrick. No representation.
- i) **Planning Application 16/03512/FUL:** Proposed temporary permission (2 years) for the siting of
demountable structure for use as a classroom and storage area in connection with existing
training use (extension of 14/01631/FUL) Apple Construction Training Ltd, Unit 2 and 4, Station
Fields, Fenny Compton. Mrs K Bendzak. Circulate to Parish Councillors.
- j) **Notice of Planning Appeal: 16/00990/OUT:** Outline application to the construction of up to 25
dwellings (35% affordable) with all matters reserved except access. The appeal will be determined
on the basis of an Informal Hearing at a date to be confirmed. Any additional comments are due by
21st December 2016.

k) **Compton Building's site:** Councillor Williams reported that he had spoken to the agent acting for Marshall's. They are talking to two prospective buyers for the site, however, they are experiencing difficulties with the demands of the health requirements of the Section 106 agreement. They confirmed that the buyer will not be Bloor Homes.

8. **County and District Councillor's Report:** Cllr Councillor Williams gave the following report:

The Council is waiting for the Autumn Statement by the Chancellor of the Exchequer on Wednesday before deciding the next stage of developing their budget proposals for the next financial year. The Council had carried out a series of Public Consultations throughout the County to try to find out which measures are more acceptable than others. There is a clear requirement to concentrate on care of the aged and children.

There has been much confusion about the current steps that are being taken in Parliament to reduce the number of MPs from 650 to 600. It appears that the present constituency of Kenilworth and Southam could disappear if the proposals are accepted and be realigned with Rugby/Stratford. If this realignment occurs Fenny Compton could have a new MP. The composition for the new Constituency is a numerical compilation, not geographical.

This realignment of constituencies does not affect either the County or District boundaries although Fenny Compton will be part of the newly agreed Feldon Division in the County Council election to be held next year.

As a result of the 2016 County Councillor's Grant Fund, the Aqueous Group have been successful in their bid for £500 for flood risk reduction, a further £700 to the Dasset Violin School for the purchase of instruments and a further £500 has been awarded to the Abacus Preschool to pay for an outdoor area expansion.

The decision by the Parish Councils of Gaydon and Lighthorne to refer land adjacent to the Old Gated Road, Gaydon/Lighthorne Heath to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government has been evaluated. The Secretary of State has decided, having regard to policy documents, not to call in this application. He is content that it should be determined by the Local Planning Authority. This is a similar decision to that made for the call in for the Gaydon part of the Gaydon/Lighthorne Heath development in October 2016.

9. **Finance:**

- a) **Pavilion Electricity:** The Clerk has received the November invoice for £8.51 this will be taken from the accrued credit
- b) **Cheque signatories:** The Clerk had completed the online form which she printed and was CL signed by two Parish Councillors and will be sent to the bank.
- c) **Streetlight Electricity Contract:** The Clerk obtained a new quote which is fixed for 24 months. Derek Carless, seconded by Ian Hartwell, proposed the acceptance of this quote which was agreed by all.
- d) **Funding Requests:** The Parish Council received the following applications for funding:
 - The Fenny Compton Footpath Group. Jon Dutton, seconded by Ian Hartwell, proposed the donation of £50, which was agreed by all.
 - Fenny Compton Tots and Toddlers. Jon Dutton, seconded by Derek Carless, proposed a donation of £100, which as agreed by all.

Fenny Compton Village Hall. Jon Dutton, seconded by Ian Hartwell, proposed a donation of £2200, which was agreed by all.

- e) **Village Grass mowing Quote:** Mick Jones had submitted a quote to mow the village verges at a cost of £390 per cut, compared to £380 the previous year, and £10 per hour for landscape maintenance which is unchanged. Derek Carless, seconded by Ian Hartwell, proposed acceptance of this quote which was agreed by all.
- f) **Budget:** To be discussed at the next meeting.

Bank Balances 21 November 2016

Commuted sum on deposit	£3,733.09
Deposit Account	£17650.16
Higher interest fixed term deposit	£15000.00
Current Account	£1057.90
Partial Withdrawal High Interest Deposit	£10443.80

Transfers

21/11/16: Business Call to Current Account	£700.00
22/11/16: Business Call to Current Account	£1300.00

Interest Income included in Bank Balances

Business Call Account	£1.48
Commuted Sum	£0.16

Cheques paid since the last meeting

1941: The Information Commissioner: Data Protection Registration Renewal.	£35.00
---	--------

Cheques requiring payment

1942: SSE: Streetlighting October 2016.	£175.21
1943: Fenny Compton Village Hall: Hire of hall for Neighbourhood Plan meeting.	£20.00
1944: MFM Services: Mowing the playing field – October.	£269.00
1945: Playsafety Limited: Annual play equipment inspection.	£117.60
1946: Smart n Tidy: Cleaning and planting War Memorial Area.	£203.00
1947: M Jones: Grass cutting and Landscape Maintenance.	£500.00
1948: Derek Carless: Printing Costs for Neighbourhood Plan meeting.	£18.75
1949: Jon Dutton: Materials to board score hut window on playing field.	£18.89
S/O: Catherine Lambert: 2 months' salary.	£680.45

Payments Received

Stratford on Avon District Council: Grass verge mowing contribution.

£194.74 Fenny Compton First Responders: Closing balance of their account to be used

£2131.11 towards the associated costs of the new defibrillator.

Ian Hartwell, seconded by Deborah Lea, proposed acceptance of the financial statement, which was agreed.

10. **Updates:**

a) **Flood Prevention:** Michael Guest reported that he had attended a meeting with Councillor Williams and David Thorpe the land agent, however there was not much progress, however they did ask the land agent to set up a heads of terms agreement for the attenuation scheme. The County Council have agreed in principle for the preliminary investigation tests to be carried out. However there is no funding for it to be carried out this year. Councillor Williams reported that he had attended a meeting with the flood prevention team, the cost has risen greatly, the Environment Agency want contingency factors. Councillor Williams requested that the business plan should to be with him before Christmas.

It was highlighted that there had been significant rainfall and there are a lot of fallen leaves around. Aqueous cannot be responsible for this. Brian Peers had been out to clear the drains the best he could, thanks were given to him for this. Councillor Williams has reported the drain on the corner of Northend Road and is awaiting a response.

b) **Playing Field and play equipment:** Jon Dutton reported good news that the tap has been repaired in the pavilion and an invoice is yet to follow. Someone has changed the code on the lock to the playing field car park or the lock is not working. Jon agreed to contact the Colts to get the new code. The gate does work but needs lifting to open. To rectify this the hanging post needs digging out and re concreting, which needs better weather. Jon Dutton agreed to ask for a quote. It was agreed to try to obtain a third quote for the replacement of the doors to the pavilion. Jon Dutton had boarded up the broken window to the score hut at a cost of £18.89.

c) **Play Equipment:** The Clerk has received the report from the RoSPA play equipment inspection, which she forwarded to Jon Dutton, who will report back at the next meeting.

d) **Business Continuity Action Plan:** The Clerk is continuing to implement the recommendations.


e) **Neighbourhood plan:** The Parish Council thanked Derek Carless for organising the Neighbourhood Plan Public Meeting which took place on the 16th November. There was a disappointing turnout since the event was well publicised. Only 10 residents put down their names as interested in receiving information and no one put their name down to volunteer for the steering group. If the neighbourhood plan is going to go forward there needs to be more people to be practically involved. Hilary Birkbeck is happy to be involved and knock on doors to increase awareness. The next communication should go into the Chronicle to report on the outcome of the meeting. Derek Carless agreed to draft an article. The Parish Council agreed to support the principle of the neighbourhood plan and would like to take it forward but they need more support. Derek Carless agreed to start preliminary work to agree the plan boundary.

11. **Casual Vacancy:** The two candidates had met with the Parish Council to discuss the role. After due consideration Michael Guest, proposed the co-option of Neil Andrew to the role of Parish Councillor, which was seconded by Jon Dutton and agreed by all. The Clerk will notify the Monitoring Officer and Returning Officer of the Parish Council's decision.

12. **Items for future discussion:**

- a) Speed survey do we want to carry out a new one.
- b) War Memorial – repairs to the paving.
- c) Apologies for next meeting Ian Hartwell.

7.5 Fenny Compton neighbourhood plan area designation map



7.6 Steering group terms of reference

Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Terms of Reference

Fenny Compton Parish Council has agreed to draft a Neighbourhood Plan (NP) for the parish. The plan will involve the whole community and a steering group will be formed to oversee the NP's development on the parish council's behalf.

What is a neighbourhood plan?

Neighbourhood Plans are community led, guiding the future development and conservation of an area, in this case, Fenny Compton Parish. It will include a number of policies or proposals for:

- Improving the area as a place to live and work
- Provision of new facilities
- Allocation of key sites for development.

It may deal with a wide range of social, economic and environmental issues, e.g. housing, employment, heritage and transport, as decided by the community through surveys and consultation. Once adopted, the plan will become part of the district council's development plan and decision makers have a legal requirement to take it into account when determining planning applications.

What is the steering group's main aim?

The steering group's purpose is to oversee the Neighbourhood Plan's preparation for the parish. This includes community consultation and liaison with other interested parties through public meetings, workshops, surveys and contact through village websites, social media and village newsletter articles.

What are the steering group's objectives?

To steer and facilitate the Neighbourhood Plan's production process. The plan will set out policies for the parish's future development and ultimately it is hoped that the community will vote to adopt the plan through a village referendum.

Mission statement

Steering Fenny Compton's development to meet the community's future needs whilst preserving its landscape, heritage and environment.

Membership and governance

The steering group will consist of members of the local community including two parish council representatives. Where appropriate the steering group will expand to include members who will bring a particular skill or relevant experience required to fulfill a particular aspect of the NP, eg to help complete the environmental survey.

The steering group parish council representatives will provide NP progress updates at each parish council meeting.

Further volunteers will assist the steering group in carrying out the tasks required to complete the NP. These tasks may include survey distribution and collection or acting as street champions. Every member of the Fenny Compton community is welcome to volunteer to help with the production of the NP.

The steering group will consult all interested stakeholders allowing them to input into the NP production. These stakeholders include local residents, businesses, community groups and land owners.

What are steering group members' roles and responsibilities?

The steering group will appoint a Chair, Vice Chair and Treasurer. Other roles will be added as required. These are likely to include coordinators for the website and social media, consultations and questionnaires and street champions.

What arrangements are in place for bringing in replacement and/or additional members?

Additional members will be co-opted to replace any members who are unable to continue their involvement and to strengthen the group in respect of particular issues or opportunities identified in the course of the plan preparation.

How will declaration of interests be managed?

Members will be asked to declare any pecuniary interests in particular issues or land as appropriate as a condition of their participation in the plan preparation.

If voting is required, what voting arrangements are in place?

Voting if appropriate will be by show of hands with the chairman having a casting vote as per the parish council's standing orders.

Which decisions will be referred to the parish council?

The parish council will be kept informed via verbal updates from the two steering group members present at parish council meetings. Written notes will be made at each steering group meeting and will be published on the NP website.

How will the steering group share information with the community?

The Steering Group will make all the information regarding the NP available to the community via its:

- Website: www.fennycomptonnp.co.uk and
- Facebook page: Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan.

There will also be regular updates in the Compton Chronicle and parish council minutes. Steering group meetings will also be open to members of the public.

Events will be advertised on the NP website and Facebook page, village noticeboards and Compton Chronicle.

The steering group meeting notes and workshop, consultation and survey summaries will be made available via the NP website and will also be available for public inspection via the parish clerk and the steering group chairman.

How will the neighbourhood plan be funded?

Grant funding applications will be made to cover costs incurred in producing the NP. All costs will be approved by the parish council in accordance with its standing orders.

Costs will be incurred through hiring independent specialists to assist with survey response analysis and in drafting policies. The District Council's Consultation and Insight Team will be used to help with the survey design.

How will the plan be monitored?

Plan preparation will be regularly monitored by the parish council through monthly verbal updates and NP steering group meeting notes. Ongoing arrangements for reviewing and monitoring the plan's implementation after adoption will be set out in the NP and responsibility for this rests with the parish council.

Changes to these terms of reference

Should any amendments be required to these terms of reference, the changes should be approved by the parish council.

Steering group dissolution

The steering group will operate for two years or until the adoption of the NP, whichever is the sooner.

Upon the steering group's dissolution, any remaining resources will be passed to parish council.

7.7 Housing needs survey form

Housing Needs Survey for Fenny Compton Parish

ONLY to be completed if your household, or anyone in it, has a housing need

Dear Householder,

As part of the Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan process, we are undertaking a housing needs survey to identify the types and sizes of homes that local people need. This is your chance to influence the type of housing that is built in the parish over the next 15 years. If you or your family need a different type of property (owned or rented) to the one you currently live in, then it is important you complete and return this form.

The survey is being carried out in partnership with Warwickshire Rural Community Council (WRCC), an independent charity that works to sustain rural communities. The survey results will be used to help draft the Neighbourhood Plan, ensuring that the community's housing needs are at the forefront of Fenny Compton's future development.

Do you know of people with a local connection to the parish who would like to live here? If you know of anyone with a strong connection to the parish but currently living elsewhere please encourage them to contact Sarah Brooke-Taylor, Rural Housing Enabler for WRCC, on 01789 842182 or email sarahbt@wrccrural.org.uk so that a survey form can be sent to them. They should currently work in the parish, have previously lived in the parish or have a close relative (parent, sibling or child) currently living in the parish.

All information you give will be treated in strict confidence and the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group will not see individual replies. This data is collected to identify housing need only and will not be used for any other purpose. The analysis will be carried out independently by WRCC and it will retain all survey forms.

Thank you for your help in conducting this survey.

Completed forms should be returned by 24 June 2017 using the enclosed freepost envelope.

Q1: Details of all household members seeking housing and contact details

Title	Surname	First name	Relationship to you	Date of birth / age

Your contact details	
Name	
Address	
Telephone number	
Email address	

All information will be treated in strict confidence and neither the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group nor any of its representatives will see individual replies.

Q2: Your current housing circumstances (please tick)

Own your home / no mortgage

☐

Housing association rent

☐

Own your home / mortgage

☐

Housing association shared ownership

☐

Rent privately

☐

Tied accommodation

☐

Live with parents

☐

Live with friends

☐

Other (please specify)

☐

House type (please tick)

House

☐

Bungalow

☐

Flat/maisonette

☐

Park / mobile home

☐

Other (please specify)

Number of bedrooms

Does anyone in your household predominantly work from home? Yes / No

If so, do they occupy or need dedicated work space? Yes / No

Q3: Local connection

Do you / have you / were you ... (please tick all that apply)?

Currently live in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If so, for how long?	years
Previously lived in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If so, for how long?	years
Currently work in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If so, for how long?	years
Close relatives in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>	(eg, parent, sibling or child)	
Born in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Q4: Why do you/your household need alternative housing? (please tick all that apply)

Need larger accommodation

☐

Need physically adapted home

☐

Need to be closer to relatives

☐

Need to be closer to a carer or
dependent

☐

Other (please specify below)

☐

Need to downsize

☐

Need less expensive home

☐

Need to be closer to employment

☐

Need own home

☐

Need supported or specialised
accommodation (please specify
below)

☐

Please provide details of any specific housing needs (eg disability requirements) for yourself or any members of your household who are seeking housing with you.

Q5: Housing waiting list (please tick)

Are you on the District Council's housing waiting list? Yes ☐ No ☐

You should go on this list if you are seeking a housing association property. Details are on the back page.

Q6: Type of housing required (please tick)

Housing association rent	<input type="checkbox"/>	Owner occupier	<input type="checkbox"/>
Housing association shared ownership (part rent, part buy)	<input type="checkbox"/>	Private rent	<input type="checkbox"/>

Housing type (please tick)

House ☐ Bungalow ☐ Flat/maisonette ☐

Number of bedrooms ☐

Q7: Financial information

Please specify basic annual household income (joint income where applicable).

Up to £14,999		£15,000-£19,999		£20,000-£29,999	
£30,000-£39,999		£40,000-£49,999		£50,000-£59,999	
£60,000-£69,999		£70,000-£79,999		£80,000-£89,999	
£90,000-£99,999		£100,000+			

If owner occupier accommodation is required at what price range are you looking to purchase (please tick all that apply)?

Up to £199,999		£200,000-£249,999		£250,000-£299,999	
----------------	--	-------------------	--	-------------------	--

£300,000-£349,999		£350,000-£399,999		£400,000+	
-------------------	--	-------------------	--	-----------	--

If you require a shared ownership or owner occupied home what is the maximum amount your household could afford?

Maximum mortgage (assume 3.5 x income)	£
Equity in existing home	£
Savings	£
Other	£
TOTAL	£

Additional comments (comments may be replicated anonymously in the report)

Thank you for completing this form.

Please return in the FREEPOST envelope by 24 June 2017

If you have questions regarding this survey or you require additional survey forms please contact Sarah Brooke-Taylor, Rural Housing Enabler at WRCC, Warwick Enterprise Park, Wellesbourne CV35 9EF. Telephone: 01789 842182 / email: sarahbt@wrccrural.org.uk

Stratford-on-Avon District Council's housing waiting list

Application forms are available by:

- telephone 01789 260861
- email housingadviceteam@stratford-dc.gov.uk

- download from www.homechoiceplus.org.uk

Rural exception sites are small sites, located within or adjoining an existing settlement, used for affordable housing where the site would not normally be used for housing. Such sites seek to address the demonstrated housing needs of the local community by accommodating households who are either current residents or have an existing family or employment connection. Small numbers of local market homes (homes available to purchase by people with a local connection) may be allowed, for example where it is essential to enable the delivery of affordable units without grant funding.

‘Affordable housing’ is homes available from a housing association either to rent at a low (subsidised) rent or available on a shared ownership basis. Shared ownership is a middle ground between renting a property and full ownership whereby a ‘shared owner’ buys a share of the property, typically 50% initially, and pays rent on the remaining share. A ‘shared owner’ can usually increase their share of the property but may be restricted from buying it outright.

WRCC is a registered charity No.1081017 and a Company Limited by Guarantee in England and Wales No. 3930819

Find out more at www.ruralwarwickshire.org.uk



Housing Needs Survey Report for Fenny Compton Parish Council

July 2017

**Analysis by Sarah BrookeTaylor
Rural Housing Enabler , WRCC**

Contents

1. Introduction

2. Planning Context

3. Results

Q1: Details of all household members seeking housing and contact details

Q2: Your current housing circumstances

- i) Current dwelling tenure
- ii) Current dwelling type
- iii) Number of bedrooms
- iv) Working from home

Q3: Local connection

Q4: Why do you/your household need alternative housing?

Q5: Housing waiting list

Q6: Type of housing required

Q7: Financial information

Q8: Additional comments

4. Determination of Specific Housing Need

5. Conclusion

6. Acknowledgements

7. Contact Information

Appendices

- A: Survey form**
- B: Property search**
- C: Breakdown of need**
- D: Home Choice plus**

1. Introduction

Fenny Compton Parish Council commissioned WRCC to conduct a local Housing Needs Survey during June 2017, with a return deadline of 24th June 2017. The aim of the survey was to collect local housing needs information within and relating to Fenny Compton parish.

A survey form was hand-delivered to every home in the parish and contained an introduction explaining why the survey was taking place. Households in need of alternative affordable or market housing were requested to complete and return the survey form. Additional copies were available for people not currently living in Fenny Compton parish but with a strong local connection. A copy of the survey form can be seen as Appendix A to this report.

The survey form asked for details of the respondent together with details of the household in need and other sensitive information such as financial details. Respondents were assured that any information they disclosed would be treated in strict confidence. Information provided in response to some of the questions has helped with the analysis but is confidential and therefore not reproduced within this report.

Completed survey forms were returned by Freepost envelope direct to the Rural Housing Enabler and analysis of the information provided took place in July 2017. Completed survey forms are retained by WRCC.

For the purposes of this document the term respondent refers to an individual survey form.

2. Planning Context

At a national level, current guidelines (National Planning Policy Framework, March 2012) emphasise the role of local communities in the planning process. For example, it encourages communities to “plan positively for local development, shaping and directing development in their area ...”

At a local level, Stratford-on-Avon District Council is currently preparing a new local plan to guide development in the district to 2031 and beyond. Amongst other things this emerging plan aims to build upon the success of previous plans in providing opportunities for local communities to promote housing schemes, as well as other forms of development, that meet an identified local need.

There is also scope for a local community to prepare a neighbourhood plan to steer development within their area and, in particular, assist in meeting any local housing that may be identified in this report or as a result of subsequent surveys.

Your community can choose to promote a ‘local needs scheme’ in its own right, relying on policies in the emerging local plan or via a neighbourhood plan. In either case a local needs scheme can include both affordable housing and local market housing. Such schemes will be supported within or adjacent to existing settlements provided that:

- It has been demonstrated that there is a local need for affordable housing and the scheme reflects identified local need,
- The scheme has been initiated from within the local community and has the support of the relevant Parish Council,

- Satisfactory arrangements for the management and occupation of the properties have been made to ensure that the homes to be provided will meet identified local housing needs both initially and in perpetuity.

Unless a neighbourhood plan expressly provides otherwise a local needs scheme would be subject to a planning obligation, referred to as a 'Section 106 Agreement', which limits occupation of the homes, including any local market homes, to people with a defined local connection.

'Affordable housing' is defined as homes available from a housing association either to rent at a low (subsidised) rent or available on a shared ownership basis. Shared ownership is a middle ground between renting a property and full ownership whereby a 'shared owner' buys a share of the property, typically 50% initially, and pays rent on the remaining share. A 'shared owner' can usually increase their share of the property.

3. Results

Approximately 320 survey forms were distributed to local residents and 8 survey forms were completed and returned. One further survey form was returned uncompleted. Of the 8 completed forms one of these provided details of two households and the information below therefore relates to 9 households in need of alternative housing.

Q1: Details of all household members seeking housing and contact details


The information provided by respondents is confidential and not reproduced herein.

Q2: Your current housing circumstances

i) Current dwelling tenure


The following chart shows the dwelling tenure profile for the survey respondents.

Tenures traditionally considered as being within the 'social sector' account for 33% of the total and 56% of respondents currently live with their parents.




ii) Current dwelling type

The chart below shows the types of homes that the survey respondents currently live in. Unsurprisingly houses represent the largest factor, at 67%.



iii) Number of bedrooms

The chart below shows the number of bedrooms within the dwellings that the respondents currently occupy.




iv) Working from home

Respondents were asked "does anyone in your household predominantly work from home?" and, if so, whether "they occupy or need dedicated work space?" One respondent indicated that they predominantly work from home but that dedicated work space was not required.


Q3: Local connection

Respondents were asked to indicate their connection to the parish and were able to indicate more than one type of local connection. All responses are shown in the chart below.



Q4: Why do you/your household need alternative housing?

Respondents were asked why their household needed alternative housing and the following chart shows the various reasons. Respondents were able to indicate more than one reason for need.



Q5: Housing waiting list

Two of the respondents indicated that they are registered on the Stratford-on-Avon District Council housing waiting list, known as Home Choice Plus.

Q6: Type of housing required Q7: Financial information

The responses to these questions have aided the analysis but are not themselves analysed and/or reported.

Q8: Additional comments

At the end of the survey form respondents were invited to add additional comments and these are reproduced below, except where comments could identify an individual respondent.

- Son [comment removed] needs own bedroom. We want to stay in Fenny Compton as husband works [locally] and son is starting Kineton High School in September. [comment removed]
- Despite having a reasonable deposit, all houses, especially new builds, are far too expensive. It is currently impossible to get on the housing ladder despite having a £10,000 deposit and working full time.
- My current home has a very tiny 2nd bedroom that is not adequate [comment removed]. I need 2 large bedrooms or a 3 bedroom bungalow. I have been on the Council waiting list now for 7 years.
- [comment removed] I have lived in Fenny Compton since I was 9 years old and will find it difficult to move away from the village where I have built up a social network over many years. Orbit Housing Association are currently assisting me to find alternative accommodation but are almost certainly going to offer me accommodation outside of Fenny Compton. I would like to be able to move back to the village as quickly as possible.
- Need larger family home with option to buy in the future - current house not available to buy apparently. Needs 3 bedrooms minimum & larger garden.
- I really need to move out. [comment removed]. Unfortunately there isn't enough room and I need more space.

4. Determination of Specific Housing Need

Where a respondent indicates a preference for shared ownership their ability to enter into a shared ownership arrangement is assessed. The mortgage the respondent could raise is compared against a 50% share (the usual starting percentage for shared ownership) of a comparable owner-occupied property, as demonstrated through the research shown in Appendix B to this report. Having assessed whether the respondent could afford to enter into a shared ownership arrangement if they could not do so they are re-classified as being in need of rented accommodation from a housing association. Similarly where a respondent indicates a preference for an owner-occupier dwelling their ability to enter into such an arrangement is assessed and where it is identified they could not do so they are re-classified as being in need of shared ownership or rented accommodation.

5. Conclusion

Eight survey forms were returned to WRCC indicating 9 households in need of alternative housing, as indicated below:.

Housing association rent

- 1 x 1 bed bungalow or ground floor maisonette
- 1 x 2 bed adapted bungalow or ground floor maisonette
- 2 x 1 bed maisonette
- 2 x 2 bed house

Housing association shared ownership

- 2 x 1 bed maisonette
- 1 x 2 bed house

A breakdown of the identified needs can be seen as Appendix C to this report.

In addition it should be noted that as at May 2017 there were 15 households with a Fenny Compton address registered on the local authority housing waiting list, known as Home Choice Plus. Whilst some of these households may not wish to continue residing in Fenny Compton parish experience from across the district shows that typically most people living in a parish will wish to continue residing there because of established social networks etc. This particularly applies to families with children. A summary of these 15 households can be found at Appendix D.

6. Acknowledgements

Gratitude is expressed to all those who helped to deliver the survey letters across the parish.

7. Contact Information

Mrs Catherine Lambert - Clerk to Fenny Compton Parish Council

Contone House, Bridge Street, Fenny Compton, Warwickshire CV47 2XY

Tel: 01295 770354

Email: info@fennycompton-pc.gov.uk

Website: www.fennycompton-pc.gov.uk

Sarah Brooke-Taylor - WRCC, Rural Housing Enabler

Warwick Enterprise Park, Wellesbourne, Warwickshire CV35 9EF

Tel: 01789 842182

Email: sarahbt@wrccrural.org.uk

Website: www.wrccrural.org.uk

Housing Needs Survey for Fenny Compton parish

ONLY to be completed if your household, or anyone in it, has a housing need

Dear Householder,

As part of the Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan process, we are undertaking a housing needs survey to identify the types and sizes of homes that local people need. This is your chance to influence the type of housing that is built in the parish over the next 15 years. If you or your family need a different type of property (owned or rented) to the one you currently live in, then it is important you complete and return this form.

The survey is being carried out in partnership with Warwickshire Rural Community Council (WRCC), an independent charity that works to sustain rural communities. The survey results will be used to help draft the Neighbourhood Plan, ensuring that the community's housing needs are at the forefront of Fenny Compton's future development.

Do you know of people with a local connection to the parish who would like to live here? If you know of anyone with a strong connection to the parish but currently living elsewhere please encourage them to contact Sarah Brooke-Taylor, Rural Housing Enabler for WRCC, on 01789 842182 or email sarahbt@wrccrural.org.uk so that a survey form can be sent to them. They should currently work in the parish, have previously lived in the parish or have a close relative (parent, sibling or child) currently living in the parish.

All information you give will be treated in strict confidence and the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group will not see individual replies. This data is collected to identify housing need only and will not be used for any other purpose. The analysis will be carried out independently by WRCC and it will retain all survey forms.

Thank you for your help in conducting this survey.

Completed forms should be returned by 24th June 2017 using the attached Freepost envelope.

Q1: Details of all household members seeking housing and contact details

Title	Surname	First name	Relationship to you	Date of birth / age

Your contact details	
Name	
Address	
Telephone number	
Email address	

All information will be treated in strict confidence and neither the Parish Council nor any of its representatives will see individual replies.

Q2: Your current housing circumstances (please tick)

Own your home / no mortgage	<input type="checkbox"/>	Housing association rent	<input type="checkbox"/>
Own your home / mortgage	<input type="checkbox"/>	Housing association shared ownership	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rent privately	<input type="checkbox"/>	Tied accommodation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Live with parents	<input type="checkbox"/>	Live with friends	<input type="checkbox"/>

Other (please specify)

House type (please tick)

House	<input type="checkbox"/>	Bungalow	<input type="checkbox"/>	Flat/maisonette	<input type="checkbox"/>	Park / mobile home	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	(please specify)					

Number of bedrooms

Does anyone in your household predominantly work from home? Yes / No

If so, do they occupy or need dedicated work space? Yes / No

Q3: Local connection

Do you / have you / were you ... (please tick all that apply)?

Currently live in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If so, for how long?	years
Previously lived in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If so, for how long?	years
Currently work in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>	If so, for how long?	years
Close relatives in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>		
Born in the parish?	<input type="checkbox"/>		

Q4: Why do you/your household need alternative housing? (please tick all that apply)

Need larger accommodation	<input type="checkbox"/>	Need smaller accommodation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Need physically adapted home	<input type="checkbox"/>	Need less expensive home	<input type="checkbox"/>
Need to be closer to relatives	<input type="checkbox"/>	Need to be closer to employment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Need to be closer to a carer or dependent	<input type="checkbox"/>	Need own home	<input type="checkbox"/>
		Need supported or specialised accommodation (please specify below)	

Please provide details of any specific housing needs (eg disability requirements) for yourself or any members of your household who are seeking housing with you.

Q5: Housing waiting list (please tick)

Are you on the District Council's housing waiting list? Yes ☐ No ☐

You should go on this list if you are seeking a housing association property. Details are on the back page.

Q6: Type of housing required (please tick)

Housing association rent association shared	<input type="checkbox"/>	Owner occupier rent	Housing	<input type="checkbox"/>
Private ownership (part rent, part buy)	<input type="checkbox"/>			<input type="checkbox"/>

Housing type (please tick)

House ☐ Bungalow ☐ Flat/maisonette ☐

Number of bedrooms

Q7: Financial information

Please specify basic annual household income (joint income where applicable).

Up to £14,999		£15,000-£19,999		£20,000-£29,999	
---------------	--	-----------------	--	-----------------	--

£30,000-£39,999		£40,000-£49,999		£50,000-£59,999	
£60,000-£69,999		£70,000-£79,999		£80,000-£89,999	
£90,000-£99,999		£100,000+			

If owner occupier accommodation is required at what price range are you looking to purchase (please tick all that apply)?

Up to £199,999		£200,000-£249,999		£250,000-£299,999	
£300,000-£349,999		£350,000-£399,999		£400,000+	

If you require a shared ownership or owner occupied home what is the maximum amount your household could afford?

Maximum mortgage (assume 3 x income)	£
Equity in existing home	£
Savings	£
Other	£
TOTAL	£

Q8: Additional comments (comments may be replicated anonymously in the report)

Thank you for completing this form.

Please return in the FREEPOST envelope by 24th June 2017.

If you have questions regarding this survey or you require additional survey forms please contact
Sarah Brooke-Taylor, Rural Housing Enabler at WRCC, Warwick Enterprise
Park, Wellesbourne CV35 9EF. Telephone: 01789 842182 / email: sarahbt@wrccrural.org.uk

Any properties that may be identified as a result of this survey can only be let or sold to people with a strong local connection.

Stratford-on-Avon District Council's housing waiting list Application

forms are available by:

- telephone 01789 260861
- email housingadvice@stratford-dc.gov.uk • download from www.homechoiceplus.org.uk

Rural exception sites are small sites, located within or adjoining an existing settlement, used for affordable housing where the site would not normally be used for housing. Such sites seek to address the demonstrated housing needs of the local community by accommodating households who are either current residents or have an existing family or employment connection. Small numbers of local market homes (homes available to purchase by people with a local connection) may be allowed, for example where it is essential to enable the delivery of affordable units without grant funding.

'Affordable housing' is homes available from a housing association either to rent at a low (subsidised) rent or available on a shared ownership basis. Shared ownership is a middle ground between renting a property and full ownership whereby a 'shared owner' buys a share of the property, typically 50% initially, and pays rent on the remaining share. A 'shared owner' can usually increase their share of the property but may be restricted from buying it outright.

WRCC is a registered charity No.1081017 and a Company Limited by Guarantee in England and Wales No. 3930819
Find out more at www.ruralwarwickshire.org.uk

Appendix B

Property search within Fenny Compton parish June 2017

Agent	Street	Settlement	No of beds	Type	Price £
-------	--------	------------	------------	------	---------

9.1 For sale

Hamptons International	Mill Lane	Fenny Compton	5	detached house	550,000
Fine & Country	Cotters Croft	Fenny Compton	3	detached house	400,000
Connells	Brook Street	Fenny Compton	2	flat	125,000

9.2

Previously sold

Mar-17	Dog Lane	Fenny Compton	2	semi-detached house	172,000
--------	----------	---------------	---	---------------------	---------

Jan-17	Cotters Croft	Fenny Compton	3	terraced house	137,500
Nov-16	Meadow Way	Fenny Compton	3	semi-detached house	232,500
Sep-16	Mill Lane	Fenny Compton	5	detached house	634,000
Apr-16	Dog Lane	Fenny Compton		detached house	685,000
Feb-16	Northend	Fenny Compton	4	detached house	315,000
Feb-16	Station Road	Fenny Compton	3	semi-detached house	254,000
Feb-16	Station Road	Fenny Compton	4	semi-detached house	317,500
Jan-16	Berry Meadow	Fenny Compton	3	semi-detached house	215,000
Jan-16	High Street	Fenny Compton		detached house	320,000

House type & size	Average £	Average £ -5%	Average £ -10%
2 bed flat	125,000	118,750	112,500
2 bed semi-detached house	172,000	163,400	154,800
3 bed semi-detached house	233,833	222,142	210,450
3 bed detached house	400,000	380,000	360,000
3 bed terraced house	137,500	130,625	123,750
4 bed semi-detached house	317,500	301,625	285,750
4 bed detached house	315,000	299,250	283,500
5 bed detached house	592,000	562,400	532,800

Appendix C

ID	Local connection verified	Household composition	Reason/s for need	Specific housing needs (eg disability requirements)	Tenure	House size and type
1	Yes	Two adults, one child	Larger accommodation, own home	No	Housing association rent	2 bed house
2	Yes	One adult	Own home	No	Housing association shared ownership	1 bed maisonette
3	Yes	One adult	Larger accommodation, physically adapted home	Physically adapted home	Housing association rent	2 bed adapted bungalow or ground floor maisonette
4	Yes	One adult	Own home	Mobility problems	Housing association rent	1 bed bungalow or ground floor maisonette
5	Yes	One adult	Own home	No	Housing association shared ownership	1 bed maisonette
6	Yes	Two adults, one child <1yr	Own home, larger accommodation	No	Housing association shared ownership	2 bed house
7	Yes	Single adult, one child (0-16yrs)	Own home, larger accommodation	No	Housing association rent	2 bed house
8	Yes	One adult	Own home	No	Housing association rent	1 bed maisonette

8a	Yes	One adult	Own home	No	Housing association rent	1 bed maisonette
----	-----	-----------	----------	----	--------------------------	------------------

Appendix D – Home Choice Plus

Home Choice Plus is the scheme used to allocate housing association properties across the participating local authority areas, which includes Stratford-on-Avon District Council.

At May 2017 the following households with a Fenny Compton address were registered.

Household type	No. of children in household	House type/size
Couple	0	1 bed maisonette
Couple	0	1 bed maisonette
Family	1	2 bed house
Family	1	2 bed house
Family	2	2 or 3 bed house
Family	2	2 or 3 bed house
Family	3	3 bed house
Family	4	3 or 4 bed house
Pensioner/DLA	0	1 or 2 bed bungalow
Pensioner/DLA	0	1 or 2 bed bungalow
Pensioner/DLA	0	1 or 2 bed bungalow
Pensioner/DLA	0	1 or 2 bed bungalow
Single	0	1 bed maisonette
Single	0	1 bed maisonette
Single	0	1 bed maisonette

If local needs properties are developed by a community as a result of information obtained through a housing survey and other evidence it would be subject to a planning obligation limiting occupation of the homes, including any local market homes, to people with a defined local connection.

7.9 Flyer distributed to all properties in the parish in February 2018

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN

'Open Meeting'

Fenny Compton Village Hall

21st February @ 7pm

Help US take control of our Village's future!

There is a re-application for planning of **39 houses** on the Dassett Road, so with the £15 billion of government support over next five years from the 'Autumn Budget' to increase housing supply, the pressure is on. New applications for development are on the horizon!

We **urgently** need new blood in our group. The Parish Council supports us strongly and there has been a lot of progress in developing the plan, but there is still a lot more work to do!

Without your active support, we are unlikely to be able to complete the plan, and will be much less able to influence the outcome of issues affecting the village, including traffic in the High Street.


Please help determine our village's future.

Come to the meeting so we can hear your concerns!!

BUAB-Built Up Area Plan of Fenny Compton

7.10 Main survey form

NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN SURVEY



CLOSING DATE JUNE 18TH 2018

FILL IN THE SURVEY ON-LINE WWW.FENNYCOMPTONNP.CO.UK

Introduction

What do you think Fenny Compton will be like in 15 years? Where will people live?

What amenities will there be and where will people work? What will residents do in their spare time?

The way to shape Fenny Compton's future is by having a Neighbourhood Plan. A crucial element of the Neighbourhood Plan is evidence gathered from a comprehensive survey of residents' views. The survey captures your feelings on development in your community over the next 15 years.

As a community, your views will be used in the Plan, which is part of the Council's planning process deciding what development takes place in the Parish up to the 2030s. Anyone living in the Parish can complete this survey. We will survey people who work in the Parish, but live elsewhere in our Business survey.

The survey is open to all adults living in the Parish of Fenny Compton.

Please complete this survey by 18 June 2018.

Your street representative will call to collect your completed survey or you can complete the survey online at the link below: <http://fennycomptonnp.co.uk/residents-survey-2018/>

Many thanks

Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

Part 1: About you

We would like to find out some details about your household and where you live. This helps us to group responses together and understand how development affects people differently across the Parish.

1.1	Which street do you live on?	
1.2	Is your home a...?	
	Detached house	
	Semi-detached house	
	Terraced house	

	Bungalow	
	Flat / maisonette	
	Other, please state below	

1.3	Do you...?	Rent your home from a private landlord	
		Rent your home from a housing association	
		Own your home with a mortgage	
		Own your home outright	
		Live with relatives	
		Other, please state below	

1.4	How many bedrooms are there in your home?	
-----	---	--

1.5	How many people live in your household?	
	Of these, how many people 18 years or over?	
	How many people under 18 years?	

1.6	How old are you?	Under 18 years	
		18-29 years	
		30-49 years	
		50-69 years	
		70-85 years	
		Over 85 years	

1.7	How many years have you lived in Fenny Compton?	
-----	---	--

Part 2: Living in Fenny Compton Parish

What is important about living in the Parish of Fenny Compton? Your answers will help to build evidence about the how residents' view the community they live in.

2.1 Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important.	1 Not important at all	2	3	4	5 Very important
--	------------------------	---	---	---	------------------

The house I live in					
Local schools					
Transport links					
Convenience for work					
Local amenities / facilities					
Community spirit					
Close to family / friends					
Low crime					

2.2	What is it about Fenny Compton Parish that you value? Tick one box only	Historic buildings	
		Village atmosphere	
		Rural environment	
		The people	
		Other, please state	

2.3	Do you have any comments to add to the questions above?

Part 3: Development in Fenny Compton Parish

Your answers in this part will provide evidence showing residents' opinions and concerns about the number and type of housing and other developments in the Parish over the next 15 years.

3.1	How important are the following issues are to you regarding further development in Fenny Compton Parish? Tick one box per row	Very Important	Quite important	Not important
	Character of the Parish			
	Allocated green space / public open space			
	Traffic volume / speed			
	Lack of facilities for young people			
	Inadequate public transport			
	Potential harm to the natural environment			

	Additional housing			
	Availability of local schooling			
	Local amenities / facilities			
	Anti-social behaviour			
	Other, please state below			

3.2	Over the next 15 years, how many homes should be built in Fenny Compton Parish after the Compton Buildings site has been developed? Tick one box only.	Less than 10	
		11-25	
		Over 25	
		Don't know / no opinion	

3.3	What scale of housing developments should be built in Fenny Compton Parish? Tick one box only.	Small developments up to 3 homes	
		Medium developments of 4 to 10 homes	
		Larger developments over 10 homes	
		Don't know / no opinion	

3.4	What are your views on placing an annual limit on development? Tick one box only.	Annual limit up to 5 homes	
		Annual limit of 5 to 15 homes	
		No annual limit	
		Don't know / no opinion	

3.5	Where would you prefer to see new developments built over the next 15 years? Tick one box only.	In-fill sites within the village built-up area	
		Sites at the edge of the village	
		Sites in the Parish outside the village	
		Brownfield (previously built on) sites	
		Don't know / no opinion	

3.6	How much need is there in Fenny Compton Parish for the following types of housing? Tick one box per row	How much need?	Much	Some	None
		Low cost starter homes to own			
		Small family homes			
		Large family homes			
		Bungalows			
		Rented homes (private)			
		Rented homes (housing association)			
		Part buy / part rent shared ownership			
		Housing for older people			
		Residential care			
		Adapted homes for disabled people			

		Homes dedicated to local people			
		Self-build homes			
		Live / work units			
		Other, please state below			

3.7	Should the Neighbourhood Plan consider allocating land for business use?	Yes	
		No	
		Don't know	

3.8	Which of the following business types would you like to see developed in Fenny Compton Parish over the next 15 years? Tick all that apply.	Office-based	
		Workshops	
		Rural crafts	
		Farming	
		Shops / cafés	
		Hotels / pubs	
		Country / outdoor pursuits	
		Don't know / no opinion	
		Other, please state below	

3.9	Do you think Fenny Compton Parish should have a 'business centre' providing workspace and meeting facilities for local start-ups, small enterprises, freelancers and home workers?	Yes	
		No	
		Don't know	

3.10	If a brownfield site became available within Fenny Compton's built-up area, how would you like to see it developed?

Part 4: Transport

The answers you provide in this section will build up evidence about how transport systems are used within Fenny Compton and how this links to the potential for development within the Parish.

4.1		Every day	
-----	--	-----------	--

	How often do you use a private motor vehicle (e.g. car, van, motorbike)? Tick one box only	Most days	
		Occasionally	
		Rarely	
		Never	

4.2	How often do you use a taxi? Tick one box only	Every day	
		Most days	
		Occasionally	
		Rarely	
		Never	

4.3	How often do you use the local bus service? Tick one box only	Every day the bus runs	
		Most days	
		Occasionally	
		Rarely	
		Never	

4.4	What would encourage you to use the bus more often? Tick all that apply.	More / better destinations	
		Cheaper fares	
		Better transport connections	
		More frequent services	
		Faster journey times	
		Evening / night / Sunday service	
		Easier access getting on/off the bus	
		None of the above	
		Other, please state below	

4.5	Villages such as Harbury offer a car club that enables private and business users to hire electric-powered vehicles as and when required. Are you interested in setting up a car club for Fenny Compton Parish?	Yes	
		No	
		Don't know	

4.6	How many vehicles do you own / keep at home?	
-----	--	--

4.7	Not applicable	
	Garage	

	Where do you normally keep your vehicle at night? Tick one box only	Driveway (including shared)	
		Street outside home	
		Street away from home	
		Other, please state below	

4.8	Do you have adequate parking at home for your vehicles?	Not applicable	
		Yes	
		No	

4.9	What are your general concerns about parking your vehicle(s) in Fenny Compton Parish? Tick all that apply	Not applicable	
		Availability of parking spaces	
		Damage from passing vehicles	
		Vehicles blocking your entrance	
		Vehicles blocking the road	
		Other, please state below	

4.10	Do you know anywhere in the Parish where parked vehicles cause inconvenience, danger and/or environmental damage? Please state below.

4.11	Do you know anywhere in the Parish where passing vehicles cause inconvenience, danger and/or environmental damage? Please state below.

4.12	What are your views about cycling around the Parish? Please state below.

Part 5: Commuting to work

Your answers in this section will provide evidence on how many people in the Parish commute to work as a proportion of the overall community.

5.1	Do you normally commute to work outside the Parish? Tick one box only	Yes	
		No – move onto Part 6	

5.2	How often do you commute to work outside the Parish? Tick one box only	Every working day	
		At least once a week	
		Less than once a week	

5.3	Which method(s) of transport do you normally use to commute? Tick all that apply.	Car	
		Van / pick-up	
		Motorbike	
		Bicycle	
		Bus / coach	
		Taxi	
		Train	
		Other, please state below	

5.4	How far do you normally commute? If you commute to different places, tick more than one box.	Up to 5 miles	
		6-10 miles	
		11-25 miles	
		26-50 miles	
		More than 50 miles	

5.5	Would you like to see more local work opportunities?	Yes	
		No	
		Don't know	

5.6	What would make commuting to work easier for you?

Part 6: Access to services and amenities

Your answers in this section will show what kinds of essential services might be needed within Fenny Compton Parish.

6.1	Do you find it difficult to access any of the following services from Fenny Compton Parish? Tick one box per row	Difficulty?	Yes	No
		Doctor		
		Hospital		
		Dentist		
		Optician		
		Other health services (e.g. Chiropodist)		
		Post office		
		Bank		
		Food shop		
		Vet		
		Education		
		Other, please state		

6.2	Which services would you like to see provided in the Parish?

6.3	Do you have any comments about access to learning / education services (for any age group)?

6.4	What would make access to services easier for you?

Part 7: Community

Your answers in this section will provide evidence to show how residents feel about community-based services and amenities. This may help the Parish Council and other public bodies decide where to spend funds raised by additional development.

7.1	How often do you use the following community services and facilities in the Parish?	How often?	Every week	Less often	Never
		Children's play area			
		Sports and social clubs			
		Post Office			
		Pubs			
		Fenny Compton Village Hall			
		Church / chapel			
		Community open spaces			
		Footpaths / towpath			
		Other, please state			

7.2	What improvements would you like to see to existing community services and facilities?

7.3	Which additional facilities would you like to have in the Parish?

7.4	Do you have any comments about open spaces in the Parish?
-----	---

--	--

7.5	Which community groups are you actively involved in?

7.6	Which community groups / activities would you like to see in the Parish?

7.7	Are you satisfied with following facilities in the Parish?	Are you satisfied?	Yes	No	Don't know
		Churchyard			
		Dog bins			
		Litter bins			
		Public seating (e.g. benches)			
		Salt bins			
		Village greens			

Part 8: Nature, building and design

Fenny Compton is set in a beautiful landscape with many historically important listed buildings. This section provides evidence in the plan of residents' views about the natural landscape, how this relates to the buildings in the village and the design of newly-built properties.

8.1	Which of the following is the most important to you in protecting the natural environment? Tick one box per row	Very Important	Quite important	Not important
	Minimised light pollution			
	Minimised noise from traffic / industry			
	Preservation of wildlife habitats / biodiversity			
	Preservation of existing hedgerows / woodlands			
	Protecting public views			
	Don't know / no opinion			

	Other, please state below				

8.2 Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important. Tick one box per row	1 Not important at all	2	3	4	5 Very important
Define and preserve the existing boundaries of the village					
Design new developments to match the character of existing buildings					
Design new developments to sit well in the landscape					
Use traditional local building materials					
Energy efficient homes with lower running costs					
Buildings that minimise or reduce the risk of flooding					

8.3	Fenny Compton's 2008 Parish Plan says, 'Any changes within or extension of the village should respect its character and if possible enhance the immediate area. Key vistas from within the village should also be protected.'	Yes	
		No	
		Should the Neighbourhood Plan contain a policy?	Unsure

8.4	Which public views are particularly important to you? Describe where you are standing and the view you are looking at

8.5	Do you have any comments on design / specification of developments in Fenny Compton Parish?

8.6	Which of the following do you think are the most important to promote in a Neighbourhood Plan? Tick up to 3 boxes.	Maintain / improve current green spaces	
		Enhanced protection for historic features	
		Enhanced protection for the landscape	
		Better pedestrian / cycle access	
		Positive management of local wildlife	
		Improved flood prevention measures	
		Promote energy efficient homes	
		Don't know / no opinion	
		Other, please state below	

8.7	Should the Neighbourhood Plan promote the use of renewable energy in the Parish?	Should these feature in the Neighbourhood Plan?	Yes	No	Don't know
		Electric vehicle charge points			
		Small-scale wind power generators (e.g. a small windmill)			
		Large-scale wind power generators (large turbines)			
		Domestic solar power (e.g. on roofs of houses)			
		Large-scale solar power (e.g. in fields)			
		Where would you site these facilities?			

Part 9: Green spaces

The National Planning Policy Framework gives local communities the opportunity to protect very important green areas by designating them as 'local green spaces'. This prevents them being built on in all but the most exceptional circumstances. Your answers will help provide evidence of support for local green spaces in the Parish.

9.1 Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important.	1 Not important at all	2	3	4	5 Very important
Day-to-day care of existing open green spaces					

More open green spaces / green corridors					
A Green Spaces Plan to manage future needs					
More work to protect local wildlife habitats					
Help for volunteers to manage open green spaces					
Separate spaces for wildlife and exercising pets					
More allotments					

9.2	Which greenspaces in the Parish do you feel could be appropriate for designation as Local Green Spaces?

Part 10 Using the Community Infrastructure Levy

Under certain circumstances, where planning permission is granted, a levy is payable to Stratford District Council by the developer. Some of the money comes to the Parish Council to invest in community infrastructure. How would you like to see this money spent?

10.1 Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important. Tick one box per row	1 Not important at all	2	3	4	5 Very important
Play equipment for under 5s					
Play equipment for 5 to 12year olds					
Equipment for teenagers (e.g. skate ramp)					
Fitness equipment for adults (e.g. outdoor gym)					

Communal orchards					
Improved walking routes					
New Walking routes					
Cycle-friendly paths					
More trees					
Public open space for dog walking					
Wildlife areas					
Flood alleviation schemes					
Improved / new village hall					
Improved / new sports pavilion					
Meeting place for teenagers / young adults					

10.2	Do you have any comments to add to the question above?

Part 11: Summing up


Thank you for completing this long survey. The evidence you have provided will directly influence the Plan and future development in the Parish up to the 2030s.

11.1	Of all the issues raised in this survey which three concern you most?
1	
2	
3	

7.11 Main survey results

FENNY COMPTON PARISH
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN
RESIDENTS SURVEY RESULTS
2018

FINAL REPORT



Prepared by:
Stratford-on-Avon District Council
Performance, Consultation & Insight Unit
August 2018

CONTENTS

		Page
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	METHODOLOGY	1
3.0	SUMMARY OF RESULTS	3
4.0	RESULTS IN DETAIL	7
4.1	Profile	7
4.2	Living in Fenny Compton Parish	11
4.3	Development in Fenny Compton	13
4.4	Transport	19
4.5	Commuting to Work	23
4.6	Access to Services and Amenities	26
4.7	Community	27
4.8	Nature, Building and Design	29
4.9	Green Spaces	33
4.10	Using the Community Infrastructure Levy	34
4.11	Summing Up	35

APPENDICES – Contained in a separate report

Q2a	Is your home a...? Other, please state below
Q3a	Do you...? Other, please state below
Q11a	What is it about Fenny Compton Parish that you value? Other, please state
Q12	What is it about Fenny Compton Parish that you value? Do you have any comments to add to the questions above?
Q18	How much need is there in Fenny Compton Parish for the following types of housing? Other
Q20	Which of the following business types would you like to see developed in Fenny Compton Parish over the next 15 years?
Q22	If a brownfield site became available within Fenny Compton's built-up area, how would you like to see it developed?
Q26	What would encourage you to use the bus more often? Other
Q29	Where do you normally keep your vehicle at night? Other
Q31	What are your general concerns about parking your vehicle(s) in Fenny Compton Parish? Other
Q33	Do you know anywhere in the Parish where passing vehicles cause inconvenience, danger and/or environmental damage?
Q34	What are your views about cycling around the Parish?
Q40	What would make commuting to work easier for you?

Q41 Do you find it difficult to access any of the following services from Fenny Compton Parish?

Q42 Which services would you like to see provided in the Parish?

Q43 Do you have any comments about access to learning / education services (for any age group)?

Q44 What would make access to services easier for you?

Q45 How often do you use the following community services and facilities in the Parish?

Q46 What improvements would you like to see to existing community services and facilities?

Q47 Which additional facilities would you like to have in the Parish?

Q48 Do you have any comments about open spaces in the Parish?

Q49 Which community groups are you actively involved in?

Q52 Which of the following is the most important to you in protecting the natural environment?

Other

Q55 Which public views are particularly important to you? Describe where you are standing and the view you are looking at.

Q56 Do you have any comments on design / specification of developments in Fenny Compton Parish?

Q57 Which of the following do you think are the most important to promote in a Neighbourhood Plan? Other

Q58 Should the Neighbourhood Plan promote the use of renewable energy in the Parish? Where would you site these facilities?

Q60 Which greenspaces in the Parish do you feel could be appropriate for designation as Local Green Spaces?

Q61 Under certain circumstances, where planning permission is granted, a levy is payable to Stratford-on-Avon District Council by the developer. Some of the money comes to the Parish Council to invest in community infrastructure. How would you like to see this money spent? Do you have any comments to add to the question above?

Q62 Of all the issues raised in this survey which three concern you most? Concern 1

Q62 Of all the issues raised in this survey which three concern you most? Concern 2

Q62 Of all the issues raised in this survey which three concern you most? Concern 3

INTRODUCTION

This report contains the results of the survey produced for the community by the Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group, made up of local volunteers.

The Group were keen to find out:

- What residents think Fenny Compton will be like in fifteen years' time
- Where people will live
- What amenities there will be
- Where people will work
- What residents will do in their spare time

The way to shape Fenny Compton's future is by having a Neighbourhood Plan. The survey captures residents' views on development in the community over the next 15 years.

The results from the Survey will be vital to the evidence base which forms the foundations of the Neighbourhood Development Plan.

METHODOLOGY

The Performance, Consultation and Insight section at Stratford-on-Avon District Council (SDC) offers its services as a market research agency to other District Councils, Parish and Town Councils and other public bodies. All work undertaken by the Unit is done in line with the Market Research Society's Code of Conduct. The section was engaged by Fenny Compton, as they offer a fully comprehensive independent market research project to eliminate bias from the Plan Group.

Every household received two questionnaires, with any adult in the Parish invited to complete a questionnaire, with the additional option of completing the survey online. All households in the Parish were visited by volunteers – 314 in total.

The survey ran from May 30th 2018, with a closing date on June 18th with questionnaires collected after this date.

152 paper questionnaires were returned, with 102 questionnaires also completed via the website. 254 questionnaires were completed in total.

All the information provided was processed by an independent third party, and Stratford-on-Avon District Council (SDC) aggregated and analysed the responses to create this final report. This allowed complete confidentiality for the responses.

The report follows the order of the questionnaire. Charts and tables are used throughout the report to assist the interpretation of the results. In some cases, anomalies appear due to "rounding". The term "base" in the tables and charts refers to the number of responses to a particular question. These are shown with a bracket around the number.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Profile

- Asked what type of house they live in, almost half of all residents live in a detached house and exactly four out of ten live in a semi-detached house.
- 49% of households are owned outright with a mortgage, with exactly a third owned outright. 16% of households are rented.
- 38% of properties included in the survey have three bedrooms and 37% have four bedrooms.
- 41% of households in Fenny Compton contain two people.
- 67% of households have two residents aged 18 or over.
- 42% of households in the Parish contained no one under 18 years of age, a quarter of households had one and a further quarter had two.
- 40% of the sample is 30 to 49 years old, 36% in the 50 to 69 years old and 16% in the 70 to 85 year old age bracket.
- Asked how long they had lived in Fenny Compton, 31% had been there between 3 and 10 years, with 27% between 11 and 20 years.

Living in Fenny Compton Parish

- The highest importance to residents about living in Fenny Compton Parish was the house they live in with 81% rating it as very important. 66% rate low crime as very important and 51% community spirit.
- The convenience for work (21% not important at all) and local schools (18% not important at all) were the least important factors.
- Residents value the rural environment the most about Fenny Compton (55%) followed by the village atmosphere (27%) and the people (9%).

Development in Fenny Compton

- From a list of issues that may be important regarding further development in Fenny Compton Parish, 87% indicated that traffic volume/speed was very important. Only 2% rated it as not important. 98% (71% very important/27% quite important) felt having allocated green space/public open space was as important, 98% rated the local amenities/facilities as very or quite important, and 97% rated the potential harm to the natural environment the same way. Anti-social behaviour was also an important issue to residents regarding any further

development. The two factors of least importance was the additional housing (38% not important) and inadequate public transport (21% not important).

- Over the next fifteen years, 57% of residents believe that less than ten homes should be built in Fenny Compton Parish after the Compton Buildings site has been developed. Just over a quarter (26%) feel that between 11 and 25 homes could be constructed and just over one in ten (11%) over 25 properties.
- Residents would prefer to see future housing developments in Fenny Compton Parish to be built as small development up to three homes in size (62%). 30% of respondents would be happy to see medium developments of up to ten homes and 5% preferred large developments over ten homes.
- Two-thirds of residents (68%) would like to see an annual limit of up to five homes placed on development, 17% an annual limit of 5 to 15 homes and 7% were happy with no annual limit.
- 61% of respondents would prefer new development built on brownfield (previously built on) sites over the next 15 years. 14% felt they should be on in-fill sites within the village built-up area.
- Residents were asked what need there was for different types of housing. The most need in residents eyes was for low cost starter homes to own (44% much need), with 41% answering there was much need for homes dedicated to local people.
- There was also stronger support for small family homes, bungalows, housing for older people and adapted homes for disabled people. Exactly half of respondents felt there was no need for large family homes and self-build homes, 48% felt the same about residential care, 47% about live/work units and 45% about private rented homes.
- Exactly half of respondents believe the Neighbourhood Plan should consider allocating land for business use, with exactly three out of ten saying the Plan should not.
- Asked what business types residents would like to see developed in Fenny Compton Parish over the next 15 years, 62% were in favour of rural crafts, 57% farming, 54% shops/cafes and 47% workshops.
- 41% of respondents think that Fenny Compton Parish should have a 'business centre' providing workspaces and meeting facilities for local start-ups, small enterprises, freelancers and home workers. 34% did not agree and 25% did not know.

Transport

- 59% use a private motor vehicle every day with a further 31% on most days. 10% use taxis on an occasional basis. 1% use the local bus service every day, with over three-quarters (78%) never using a bus.

- To encourage the use of the bus service more often, 63% of residents wanted more frequent services and 41% more/better destinations. A third wanted better transport connections and an evening/night/Sunday service.
- Villages such as Harbury offer a car club that enables private and business users to hire electric-powered vehicles as and when required. Asked if a similar idea should be started in Fenny Compton, 19% said yes they were, exactly half were against and 31% did not know.
- Four out of ten households have two vehicles owned/kept at home, with just over a quarter having one vehicle.
- 71% keep their vehicle at night on a driveway, 12% on the street outside their home, 9% in a garage and 2% on a street away from their home.
- 81% of respondents felt they have adequate parking at home for their vehicle, with 16% saying no.
- Asked for their general concerns about parking their vehicles in Fenny Compton Parish, 43% were concerned about vehicles blocking the road, 28% about them blocking their entrance, 27% of the availability of parking spaces and 23% from possible damage from passing vehicles.

Commuting To Work

- Two-thirds of residents normally commute to work outside the Parish.
- In terms of frequency, 85% commute to work outside the Parish every working day, 14% at least once a week and 1% less than once a week.
- 94% of commuters do so by car, 7% use a van/pick-up and 6% a bicycle.
- Commuting distances vary with 43% commuting between 11 and 25 miles to their place of work, 30% travelling 6 to 10 miles, 14% both travel 26 to 50 miles and more than 50 miles.
- Just over half of commuters (51%) would like to see more local work opportunities, just under a quarter (23%) said no and a further quarter (26%) did not know.

Access to Services and Amenities

- Looking at access to various services from the Parish, 38% had difficulty accessing a Post Office and 34% a bank. These two were the prominent responses.

Community

- 61% of residents were the local footpaths and towpath every week, with 43% using community open spaces on a similar regularity. Just under a third (31%) visited the pub on a weekly basis. 7% never used the footpaths/towpath and 9% never visited the pub.
- 71% of residents never visited the Sports and Social Club, 62% likewise the play area and 56% the Church/Chapel.

- 83% were satisfied with the village greens, 80% the churchyard and 73% the litter bins.
- 24% of residents were not satisfied with the public seating in the Parish and 21% were not satisfied with the salt bins and litter bins.

Nature, Building and Design

- Residents were given a list of factors that can be used to protect the natural environment. 85% rated it very important that there should be preservation of existing hedgerows/woodlands, with the same percentage saying it is very important to preserve wildlife habitats/biodiversity. With a 77% very important rating protecting public views was highly thought of. 12% said it was not important to minimise light pollution.
- Given another set of factors, 71% felt it was very important that buildings are built that minimise or reduce the risk of flooding and 68% indicated it was very important to design new developments to sit well in the landscape.
- Fenny Compton's 2008 Parish Plan says 'any changes within or extension of the village should respect its character and if possible enhance the immediate area. Key vistas from within the village should also be protected'.
- 88% of residents believe that the Neighbourhood Plan should contain a policy that protects key vistas.
- Looking at various environmental factors, 68% wished to see enhanced protection for the landscape, 60% the maintenance/improvement of current green spaces and 49% felt it important for improved flood prevention measures to be promoted in the Neighbourhood Plan. The promotion of energy efficient homes and better pedestrian/cycle access were less important factors.
- For renewable energy options, the most popular for 74% of residents was more use of domestic solar power. Exactly half were in favour of small-scale wind power generators and electric vehicle charging points.
- There was little support of large-scale solar power initiatives (62% said no) and for large-scale wind power generators (75% said no).

Green Spaces

- More than half of residents rated three factors as very important for the Plan. 54% felt it very important that there should be more work to protect the local wildlife habitats, 53% rated it very important to have day-to-day care of existing open green spaces and 52% felt it very important to have a Green Spaces Plan to manage future needs.

Using the Community Infrastructure Levy

- Residents were given a list of things investment could be put into and how important these things were to them. Over half of residents (52%) rated it very important for flood alleviation

schemes, 41% rated is very important to have a meeting place for teenagers/young adults, a third for wildlife areas and a further third for more trees.

- The two least important things for residents were for communal orchards (23% felt it not important) and fitness equipment for adults (22% felt it not important).

4.0 RESULTS IN DETAIL

PROFILE

This section looked at finding out details about the household. This helps to understand how development affects people differently across the Parish.


Residents were asked to indicate the street they lived on.

Table 1:

Street lived on in the Parish	Number
High Street	26
Bridge Street	20
Fieldgate lane	20
Meadow Way	19
Church Street/Memorial Road	18
Station Road	17
Cotter's Croft	16
Mill Lane	16
Northend Road/Brook Street	15
Berry Meadow	13
Dog Lane	11
Thompson's field	11
Avon Dasset Road	9
Grants Close	9
Brook Street	7
The Slade	5
Squire Place	3
Memorial road	2
Rectory Farm Court	2
Ridge Way	2
Station Fields (Wharf Road)	2
The Readings	2
Other	4

Asked what type of house they live in, almost half of all residents live in a detached house and exactly four out of ten live in a semi-detached house. 4 other types were mentioned and these are included in the Appendix.

Chart 1:



49% of households are owned outright with a mortgage, with exactly a third owned outright. 16% of households are rented. 4 other responses were mentioned and these are included in the Appendix.

Table 2:

Do you...?	Number	%
Own your own home with a mortgage	122	49
Own your home outright	82	33
Rent your home from a housing association	31	13
Rent your home from a private landlord	7	3
Live with relatives	4	2
Other	1	0
Base: (All Respondents)	(247)	

38% of properties included in the survey have three bedrooms and 37% have four bedrooms.

Table 3:

Number of bedrooms in home	Number	%
1	0	0

2	35	14
3	95	38
4	92	37
5 or more	29	12
Base: (All Respondents)	(251)	

41% of households in Fenny Compton contain two people.

Table 4:

Number of people living in household	Number	%
1	26	10
2	104	41
3	46	18
4	59	24
5 or more	16	6
Base: (All Respondents)	(251)	

67% of households have two residents aged 18 or over.

Table 5:

Number of people living in household – 18 years or older	Number	%
1	27	12
2	153	67
3	34	15
4	11	5
5 or more	2	1
Base: (All Respondents)	(227)	

42% of households in the Parish contained no one under 18 years of age, a quarter of households had one and a further quarter had two.


Table 6:

Number of people living in household – Under 18 years	Number	%
0	68	42
1	42	26
2	40	25
3	6	4

4	4	2
5 or more	1	1
Base: (All Respondents)	(161)	

40% of the sample is 30 to 49 years old, 36% in the 50 to 69 years old and 16% in the 70 to 85 year old age bracket.

Chart 2:



Asked how long they had lived in Fenny Compton, 31% had been there between 3 and 10 years, with 27% between 11 and 20 years.

Table 7:

Number of years lived in Fenny Compton	Number	%
Up to 2 years	26	10
3-10 years	79	31
11-20 years	68	27
21 to 30 years	28	11
More than 30 years	51	20
Base: (All Respondents)	(252)	

LIVING IN FENNY COMPTON PARISH

This section considered what is important about living in Fenny Compton. The response will help to build evidence about how the residents view the community they live in.

The highest importance to residents about living in Fenny Compton Parish was the house they live in with 81% rating it as very important. 66% rate low crime as very important and 51% community spirit.


The convenience for work (21% not important at all) and local schools (18% not important at all) were the least important factors of those from the list provided.

Table 8:

What is important about living in the Parish of Fenny Compton? Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important?	1	2	3	4	5
The house I live in (248)	1 (0%)	2 (1%)	12 (5%)	33 (13%)	200 (81%)
Local schools (239)	43 (18%)	22 (9%)	38 (16%)	43 (18%)	93 (39%)
Transport links (243)	24 (10%)	30 (12%)	46 (19%)	67 (28%)	76 (31%)
Convenience for work (236)	49 (21%)	14 (6%)	46 (19%)	69 (29%)	58 (25%)
Local amenities / facilities (241)	1 (0%)	6 (2%)	46 (19%)	81 (34%)	107 (44%)
Community spirit (245)	5 (2%)	4 (2%)	35 (14%)	77 (31%)	124 (51%)
Close to family / friends (241)	33 (14%)	38 (16%)	66 (27%)	39 (16%)	65 (27%)
Low crime (248)	1 (0%)	5 (2%)	22 (9%)	57 (23%)	163 (66%)
Base: (All Respondents) Shown in ()					

Residents value the rural environment the most about Fenny Compton (55%) followed by the village atmosphere (27%) and the people (9%). 14 other responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.

Chart 3:



Asked to add comments about the Living in Fenny Compton Parish section, 77 responses were supplied and are included in the Appendix.

DEVELOPMENT IN FENNY COMPTON


This section will provide evidence showing residents' opinions and concerns about the number and type of housing and other developments in the Parish over the next 15 years.

From a list of issues that may be important regarding further development in Fenny Compton Parish, 87% indicated that traffic volume/speed was very important. Only 2% rated it as not important. 98% (71% very important/27% quite important) felt having allocated green space/public open space was as important, 98% rated the local amenities/facilities as very or quite important, and 97% rated the potential harm to the natural environment the same way. Anti-social behaviour was also an important issue to residents regarding any further development.

The two factors of least importance was the additional housing (38% not important) and inadequate public transport (21% not important).


17 other responses were made and these are listed in the Appendix.

Chart 4:




Over the next fifteen years, 57% of residents believe that less than ten homes should be built in Fenny Compton Parish after the Compton Buildings site has been developed. Just over a quarter (26%) feel that between 11 and 25 homes could be constructed and just over one in ten (11%) over 25 properties.

Chart 5:



Residents would prefer to see future housing developments in Fenny Compton Parish to be built as small development up to three homes in size (62%). 30% of respondents would be happy to see medium developments for up to ten homes and 5% preferred large developments over ten homes.

Chart 6:




Two-thirds of residents (68%) would like to see an annual limit of up to five homes placed on development, 17% an annual limit of 5 to 15 homes and 7% were happy with no annual limit. Chart 7:



61% of respondents would prefer new development built on brownfield (previously built on) sites over the next 15 years. 14% felt they should be on in-fill sites within the village built-up area.

Chart 8:




Residents were asked what need there was for different types of housing. The most need in residents eyes was for low cost starter homes to own (44% much need), with 41% answering there was much need for homes dedicated to local people.

There was also stronger support for small family homes, bungalows, housing for older people and adapted homes for disabled people.

Exactly half of respondents felt there was no need for large family homes and self-build homes, 48% felt the same about residential care, 47% about live/work units and 45% about private rented homes.


5 other responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.

Chart 9:




Exactly half of respondents believe the Neighbourhood Plan should consider allocating land for business use, with exactly three out of ten saying the Plan should not.

Chart 10:




Asked what business types residents would like to see developed in Fenny Compton Parish over the next 15 years, 62% were in favour of rural crafts, 57% farming, 54% shops/cafes and 47% workshops. 15 other types were given and these are included in the Appendix.

Chart 11:



41% of respondents think that Fenny Compton Parish should have a 'business centre' providing workspaces and meeting facilities for local start-ups, small enterprises, freelancers and home workers. 34% did not agree and 25% did not know.

Chart 12:



189 responses were received to the question, "If a brownfield site became available within Fenny Compton's built-up area, how would you like to see it developed?" and they are shown in the Appendix.

TRANSPORT

This section was included to build up evidence about how transport systems are used within Fenny Compton and how this links to the potential for development within the Parish.

59% use a private motor vehicle every day with a further 31% on most days. 10% use taxis on an occasional basis. 1% use the local bus service every day, with over threequarters (78%) never using a bus.

Table 9:

How often do you use...?	Every day	Most days	Occasionally	Rarely	Never
Private motor vehicle (e.g. car, van, motorbike) (250)	147 (59%)	78 (31%)	17 (7%)	3 (1%)	5 (2%)
Taxi (250)	1 (0%)	0 (0%)	25 (10%)	100 (40%)	124 (50%)
Local bus service (250)	2 (1%)	1 (0%)	15 (6%)	37 (15%)	195 (78%)
Base: (All Respondents) Shown in ()					

To encourage the use of the bus service more often, 63% of residents wanted more frequent services and 41% more/better destinations. A third wanted better transport connections and an evening/night/Sunday service.


19 other reasons were given and these are listed in the Appendix.

Table 10:

What would encourage you to use the bus more often?	Number	%
More frequent services	153	63
More/better destinations	100	41
Better transport connections	80	33
Evening/night/Sunday service	79	33
Faster journey times	58	24
Cheaper fares	51	21
None of the above	50	21
Easier access getting on/off the bus	14	6
Other	10	4
Base: (All Respondents)	(243)	

Villages such as Harbury offer a car club that enables private and business users to hire electric-powered vehicles as and when required. Asked if a similar idea should be started in Fenny Compton, 19% said yes they were, exactly half were against and 31% did not know.

Chart 13:




Four out of ten households have two vehicles owned/kept at home, with just over a quarter having one vehicle.

Table 11:

Number of vehicles owned/kept at home	Number	%
0	8	3
1	66	27
2	98	40
3	36	15
4	26	11
5 or more	11	4
Base: (All Respondents)	(227)	


71% keep their vehicle at night on a driveway, 12% on the street outside their home, 9% in a garage and 2% on a street away from their home. 3 other responses were provided and are listed in the Appendix.

Chart 14:



81% of respondents felt they have adequate parking at home for their vehicle, with 16% saying no.

Chart 15:



Asked for their general concerns about parking their vehicles in Fenny Compton Parish, 43% were concerned about vehicles blocking the road, 28% about them blocking their entrance, 27% of the availability of parking spaces and 23% from possible damage from passing vehicles.

24 other responses were provided and these are included in the Appendix.

Chart 16:



Residents were asked to state where anywhere in the Parish there were parked cars causing inconvenience, danger and/or environmental damage. 219 responses were made and they are listed in the Appendix.

Similarly residents were asked the same but for passing vehicles. The 115 responses are included in the Appendix.


Asked for their views in general about cycling in the Parish, 180 responses were provided and these are included in the Appendix.

COMMUTING TO WORK

This section will provide evidence on how many people in the parish commute to work as a proportion of the overall community.


Two-thirds of residents normally commute to work outside the Parish.

Chart 17:




In terms of frequency, 85% commute to work outside the Parish every working day, 14% at least once a week and 1% less than once a week.

Chart 18:




94% of commuters do so by car, 7% use a van/pick-up and 6% a bicycle,

Chart 19:




Commuting distances vary with 43% commuting between 11 and 25 miles to their place of work, 30% travelling 6 to 10 miles, 14% both travel 26 to 50 miles and more than 50 miles.

Chart 20:



Just over half of commuters (51%) would like to see more local work opportunities, just under a quarter (23%) said no and a further quarter (26%) did not know.

Chart 21:



Commuters to work were asked what would make it easier for them. The 87 responses are included in the Appendix.


ACCESS TO SERVICES AND AMENITIES

This section was included to find out what kinds of essential services might be needs within Fenny Compton Parish.

Looking at access to various services from the Parish, 38% had difficulty accessing a Post Office and 34% a bank. These two were the prominent responses.

8 other responses were made and these are listed in the Appendix.

Chart 22:



Residents were asked what services they would like to see provided in the Parish. 152 responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.

Residents were asked to comment about access to learning/education services (for any age group). 104 responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.

85 people commented on what would make access to services easier for them, and these are listed in full in the appendix.

COMMUNITY


This section was included to help provide evidence to show how residents feel about community-based services and amenities. This may help the Parish Council and other public bodies decide where to spend funds raised by additional development.

Residents were given a list of community services and facilities in the Parish and asked how frequently they used them. 61% of residents were the local footpaths and towpath every week, with 43% using community open spaces on a similar regularity. Just under a third (31%) visited the pub on a weekly basis. 7% never used the footpaths/towpath and 9% never visited the pub.

71% of residents never visited the Sports and Social Club, 62% likewise the play area and 56% the Church/Chapel.

4 other services/facilities were mentioned and these are included in the Appendix.

Chart 23:



124 responses were made by residents when asked what improvement they would like to see to existing community services and facilities. These are listed in the Appendix.

99 responses were made as to what additional facilities they would like to have in the Parish and these are listed in the Appendix.

Asked to comment about open spaces in the Parish, 113 responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.

Asked what community group they were actively included in, 149 responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.


84 responses were made as to what community group/activities they would like to see in the Parish.

Residents were given a list of facilities in the Parish and asked if they were satisfied with them.

83% were satisfied with the village greens, 80% the churchyard and 73% the litter bins.

24% of residents were not satisfied with the public seating in the Parish and 21% were not satisfied with the salt bins and litter bins.

Chart 24:



NATURE, BUILDING AND DESIGN


Fenny Compton is set in a beautiful landscape with many historically important listed buildings. This section provides evidence in the plan of residents' views about the natural landscape, how this relates to the buildings in the village and the design of newly-built properties.

Residents were given a list of factors that can be used to protect the natural environment. 85% rated it very important that there should be preservation of existing hedgerows/woodlands, with the same percentage saying it is very important to preserve wildlife habitats/biodiversity. With a 77% very important rating protecting public views was highly thought of.

12% said it was not important to minimise light pollution.

Five other responses were made and these are listed in the Appendix.

Chart 25:



Given another set of factors, 71% felt it was very important that building are built that minimise or reduce the risk of flooding and 68% indicated it was very important to design new developments to sit well in the landscape.

There was broad support for all the factors listed.


Table 12:

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important	1	2	3	4	5
Define and preserve the existing boundaries of the village (242)	7 (3%)	9 (4%)	40 (17%)	39 (16%)	147 (61%)
Design new developments to match the character of existing buildings (241)	5 (2%)	6 (2%)	29 (12%)	49 (20%)	152 (63%)
Design new developments to sit well in the landscape (243)	4 (2%)	3 (1%)	28 (12%)	42 (17%)	166 (68%)
Use traditional local building materials (240)	7 (3%)	5 (2%)	38 (16%)	56 (23%)	134 (56%)
Energy efficient homes with lower running costs (240)	4 (2%)	7 (3%)	35 (15%)	57 (24%)	137 (57%)
Buildings that minimise or reduce the risk of flooding (238)	3 (1%)	3 (1%)	23 (10%)	40 (17%)	169 (71%)
Base: (All Respondents) Shown in ()					

Fenny Compton's 2008 Parish Plan says 'any changes within or extension of the village should respect its character and if possible enhance the immediate area. Key vistas from within the village should also be protected'.

88% of residents believe that the Neighbourhood Plan should contain a policy that protects key vistas.

Chart 26:



Residents were asked what public views were particularly important to them and to describe them in as much detail. The 179 responses are listed in the Appendix.

132 responses are included in the Appendix where residents comment on the design/specification of developments in Fenny Compton Parish.

Looking at various environmental factors, residents were asked to list the three most important to them.

68% wished to see enhanced protection for the landscape, 60% the maintenance/improvement of current green spaces and 49% felt it important for improved flood prevention measures to be promoted in the Neighbourhood Plan.

The promotion of energy efficient homes and better pedestrian/cycle access were less important factors.

There were 6 other responses and these are included in the Appendix.

Table 13:

Which of the following do you think are the most important to promote in a Neighbourhood Plan?	Number	%
Enhanced protection for the landscape	159	68


Maintain/improve current green spaces	141	60
Improved flood prevention measures	115	49
Enhanced protection for historic features	105	45
Positive management of local wildlife	81	34
Promote energy efficient homes	54	23
Better pedestrian/cycle access	50	21
Don't know/no opinion	3	1
Other	1	0
Base: (All Respondents)	(235)	

Residents were given a list of renewable energy options and asked which they would like the Neighbourhood Plan to promote. The most popular for 74% of residents was more use of domestic solar power. Exactly half were in favour of small-scale wind power generators and electric vehicle charging points.

There was little support of large-scale solar power initiatives (62% said no) and for large-scale wind power generators (75% said no).

Asked where they would site these facilities, 77 responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.

Chart 27:



GREEN SPACES

The National Planning Policy Framework gives local communities the opportunity to protect very important green areas by designating them as 'local green spaces'. This prevents them being built on in all but the most exceptional circumstances. The responses will help provide evidence of support for local green spaces in the Parish.

In terms of green spaces residents were given a list of factors that could improve the green spaces in the Parish.

More than half of residents rated three factors as very important for the Plan. 54% felt it very important that there should be more work to protect the local wildlife habitats, 53% rated it very important to have day-to-day care of existing open green spaces and 52% felt it very important to have a Green Spaces Plan to manage future needs.

Table 14:

Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important	1	2	3	4	5
Day-to-day care of existing open green spaces (238)	0 (0%)	4 (2%)	37 (16%)	70 (28%)	127 (53%)
More open green spaces/green corridors (234)	2 (1%)	12 (5%)	59 (25%)	60 (26%)	101 (43%)
A Green Spaces Plan to manage future needs (235)	5 (2%)	11 (5%)	41 (17%)	56 (24%)	122 (52%)
More work to protect local wildlife habitats (232)	2 (1%)	10 (4%)	35 (15%)	59 (25%)	126 (54%)
Help for volunteers to manage open green spaces (233)	5 (2%)	10 (4%)	44 (19%)	65 (28%)	109 (47%)
Separate spaces for wildlife and exercising pets (234)	13 (6%)	37 (16%)	57 (24%)	59 (25%)	68 (29%)
More allotments (220)	29 (13%)	36 (16%)	74 (34%)	45 (20%)	36 (16%)
Base: (All Respondents) Shown in ()					

Residents made 104 responses in total after being asked what greenspaces in the Parish they felt could be appropriate for designation as Local Green Spaces and these are listed in the Appendix.

USING THE COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY

Under certain circumstances, where planning permission is granted, a levy is payable to Stratford District Council by the developer. Some of the money comes to the Parish Council to invest in community infrastructure.

Residents were given a list of things investment could be put into and how important these things were to them.

Over half of residents (52%) rated it very important for flood alleviation schemes, 41% rated it very important to have a meeting place for teenagers/young adults, a third for wildlife areas and a further third for more trees.

The two least important things for residents were for communal orchards (23% felt it not important) and fitness equipment for adults (22% felt it not important).

Asked to make comments on the question, 58 responses were made and these are included in the Appendix.

Table 15:


Please rate the following on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 is not important at all and 5 is very important	1	2	3	4	5
Play equipment for Under 5's (224)	16 (7%)	39 (17%)	76 (34%)	45 (20%)	48 (21%)
Play equipment for 5 to 12 year olds (224)	9 (4%)	36 (16%)	66 (29%)	49 (22%)	64 (29%)
Equipment for teenagers (e.g. skate ramp) (228)	25 (11%)	35 (15%)	53 (23%)	48 (21%)	67 (29%)
Fitness equipment for adults (e.g. outdoor gym) (227)	49 (22%)	34 (15%)	60 (26%)	48 (21%)	36 (16%)
Communal orchards (226)	53 (23%)	40 (18%)	64 (28%)	42 (19%)	27 (12%)
Improved walking routes (228)	12 (5%)	25 (11%)	58 (25%)	74 (32%)	59 (26%)
New walking routes (226)	18 (8%)	35 (15%)	63 (28%)	56 (25%)	54 (24%)
Cycle-friendly paths (228)	27 (12%)	40 (18%)	45 (20%)	51 (22%)	65 (29%)

More trees (230)	18 (8%)	21 (9%)	58 (25%)	58 (25%)	75 (33%)
Public open space for dog walking (223)	33 (15%)	32 (14%)	57 (26%)	47 (21%)	54 (24%)
Wildlife areas (232)	10 (4%)	15 (6%)	45 (19%)	83 (36%)	79 (34%)
Flood alleviation schemes (233)	7 (3%)	9 (4%)	37 (16%)	59 (25%)	121 (52%)
Improved/new village hall (230)	19 (8%)	25 (11%)	73 (32%)	61 (27%)	52 (23%)
Improved/new sports pavilion (230)	17 (7%)	27 (12%)	56 (24%)	61 (27%)	39 (30%)
Meeting place for teenagers/young adults (231)	16 (7%)	17 (7%)	43 (19%)	61 (26%)	94 (41%)
Base: (All Respondents) Shown in ()					

SUMMING UP

The final question asked residents to list the three issues that concern them the most that were raised in the survey. 224 first concerns were made, 206 second concerns were listed and 190 third concerns were included. All are listed in the Ap

7.12 Business survey form



Neighbourhood Plan - Business Questionnaire 2018

Dear Business Owner/Manager

We would like your help with this questionnaire which can be completed up to **MONDAY 3RD SEPTEMBER**. The Fenny Compton Parish Neighbourhood Plan is an opportunity for local businesses to influence what happens in our Parish over the next twenty years.

Businesses are vital to the vitality and viability of our community; therefore we want to understand the businesses in our Parish, the reasons you are located here, what would make you stay in the area and what your future needs are so that we can try to help meet. The Plan will set out a vision as to what the community will be like to live and work in over the next twenty years and, hopefully, how that vision can be achieved.

This work should result in a robust Neighbourhood Plan that will be considered as a material consideration in any future decisions involving planning applications, infrastructure and economic/housing development.

Your input into the Plan really is essential in providing evidence of the views of the businesses in our Parish.

Alternatively you can complete this questionnaire online by going to the website <http://fennycomptonnp.co.uk>

Please tick the appropriate box or answer in the space provided.

Section 1 – Business Details

Q1 What is the nature of your

business?

Q2 How do you operate?

☐ A limited company
☐ A sole trader
Other

☐ A partnership

Q3 Is your business carried out from?

☐ An office or business premises not owned by
yourself
☐ Your own business premises
☐
Your
hom
e
Oth
er

Q4 For how long has your business operated in the Parish?

☐ Up to 1 ☐ year6 to 10 years ☐ 21 plus years
☐ 2 to 5 years11 to 20 years ☐

Q5 How many employees are ...?

Full time (i.e. 35 hours or more) (including yourself)?

Part time (i.e. less than 35 hours per week)
(including yourself)?

Q6 How many members of your workforce ...?

Live in Fenny Compton

Outside Fenny Compton

Section 2 - Location in Parish

- Q7** Why are you located in the Parish? For example, might it be location, availability of workforce, access, and cost? Please give as much detail as possible.

- Q8** What could help your business to stay in the Parish? For example, might it be availability of land and/or premises, its location to services and other businesses, transport links, changes to planning policy? Please give as much detail as possible.

- Q9** How does Fenny Compton Parish meet the needs of your business?

- Q10** Are your business premises suitable for your...?

Yes

No

Section 3 - Employment

- Q11** In your opinion should the Neighbourhood Plan encourage business / commercial development that provides local employment?

☐ Yes

☐ No

Current needs

☐☐

Future needs for up to 5 years time

☐☐

Q12 If you answered yes, how do you think this should be achieved...?

	Yes	No	Don't Know
Expansion of existing businesses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Clustering near existing businesses	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Development of small craft units	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Development of co-working units	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Development of small business parks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Development of large business parks	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If you answer no for any of the above, please specify the reason

Q13 Should the Neighbourhood Plan allocate more land for employment purposes?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Don't know

Q14 Which types of site should be allocated for employment uses? (Tick all that apply)

- ☐ Brownfield land (previously developed)
- ☐ Existing buildings
- ☐ Greenfield land (undeveloped)
- ☐ Other, please give details

Q15 Where should employment land be located? (Tick all that apply)

- ☐ In or around Village
- ☐ Elsewhere in the parish
- ☐ Don't consider there is a need for additional employment land
- ☐ Don't know
- ☐ Other, please give details below

Q16 Should existing employment sites be protected from changes of use?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Don't know

Q17 Should the Neighbourhood Plan include policies that promote working from home?

☐ Yes

☐ No

☐ Don't know

Q18 What should the Neighbourhood Plan contain to encourage employment in the Parish?

Section 4 - Transport

Q19 Approximately, what percentage of your workforce need transport to get to work?

Q20 Including you, how many of your employees use the following as a main type of transport to get to your workplace?

Car / Van	<div></div>
Motorbike	<div></div>
Bicycle	<div></div>
Bus	<div></div>
Taxi	<div></div>
Foot	<div></div>

Q21 Would your workforce prefer an alternative method of transport to your workplace?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If yes, please give particulars e.g. provision of cycle path, increased public transport etc.

Thank you for completing this questionnaire. Your views are appreciated. Please return this

questionnaire by:

- putting it through the letterbox of 'Saddlers Cottage High Street'
- leaving it at the 'Merrie Lion'

7.13 Children and young persons' survey results



NEIGHBOURHOOD SURVEY CHILDREN AND YOUNG PERSON REPORT

January, 2019

Dr. Sophie Hadfield-Hill

METHODOLOGY

The data for this report was collected in June and October 2018. The survey was designed by a group of young people living in the village and was distributed through The Dasset School, Fenny Compton Scout Group, the Fenny Compton Facebook group and through young people's known networks.

In total 87 young participants took part, including 41 young people that live in the village and 46 that visit Fenny Compton regularly.

PROFILE OF SURVEY PARTICIPANTS

Young people living in Fenny Compton

Forty one young people living in the village completed the survey. All participants were aged 8-18. Of this sample, 49% were aged 8-10; 32% aged 11-13 and 20% aged 14-18 (Figure 1).

The majority of participants have lived in the village for the whole of their childhoods with 80% having lived in the village for over 8 years (Figure 2).

Of the participants, the majority go to school in the village at the Dasset Church of England Primary School (49%), with the remainder of the participants going to secondary schools across Warwickshire and beyond. The three most popular secondary schools attended by participants are Kineton (22%), Southam College (5%) and Warwick Prep School (5%) (Figure 3).

In terms of transport to school, the majority travel by foot (37%), followed by car and taxi (27%) and bus (11%) (Figure 4).

Many of the participants take part in at least one activity in the village, with Scouts (30.4%); Cubs (21.7%) and Football (15.2%) being the most popular. Other activities mentioned included Violin school (4.3%); Explorers (2.2%); Footpath Group (2.2%); Music (2.2%) and Messy Church (2.2%).




Figure 1: Age profile of participants (those that live in the village)




Figure 2: Number of years that participants have lived in Fenny Compton




Figure 3: Schools attended by participants
that live in the village)




Figure 4: How participants travel to school (those
that live in the Village)

Young participants were distributed across the village, the road names are shown below (Table 1) and their location marked on the map (Figure 5):




Figure 5: Location of young participants in Fenny Compton

Table 1: Participants' home locations:

Dog Lane	Meadow Way
Church Street	Northend Road
Station Road	Rectory Farm Court
High Street	Bridge Street
Bridge Street	High Street

Avon Dassett Road	Mill Lane
Thompson Fields	The Readings
The Lankett	

PROFILE OF SURVEY PARTICIPANTS

Young people who visit Fenny Compton

Forty six young people who regularly visit the village completed the survey.

All participants were aged 8-18, 74% aged 8-10; 22% aged 11-13 and 4% aged 14-18.

Of those participants who use the village on a regular basis, the overwhelming majority attend the Dassett Church of England Primary School (70%) with nine other primary and secondary schools in the minority.

In terms of transport to school, the majority travel by car or taxi (45.7%) and bus (39.1%). A minority of participants walk or cycle (Figure 6).

Many of the participants who are visiting the village on a regular basis attend clubs and societies, with Scouts (30.9%); Cubs (25.5%); and football (12.7%) being the most popular (Figure 7).




Figure 6: Mode of transport to school
(those that visit Fenny Compton)




Figure 7: Activities that participants attend in the village (those that visit Fenny Compton)

QUESTION: WHAT DO YOU LIKE ABOUT LIVING IN FENNY COMPTON?

Participants were asked to choose three words to describe how they feel about Fenny Compton. This data has been presented in the form of a word cloud, with the size of the words depicting the most frequently articulated words. Figure 8 and Figure 9 show the words used by participants both living in the village and those that visit on a regular basis.

Overwhelmingly for those young people that live in the village, 'Happy' and 'Safe' were the most common words used, with a range of other descriptive words used to describe this feeling of comfort and safeness, i.e. calm, friendly, peaceful, warm.



Figure 8: Words used to describe Fenny Compton
(young people who live in the village)

For those young people who visit on a regular basis, 'happy' was also depicted as the most common word with 'excited', 'safe' and 'good' also commonly used. As can be seen with the other words in the cloud, the majority are positive words showing that young people feel welcomed in Fenny Compton.



Figure 9: Words used to describe Fenny Compton (by young people regularly visiting)

QUESTION: WHAT OTHER CLUBS / SOCIAL ACTIVITIES DO YOU THINK THERE SHOULD BE IN THE VILLAGE?

This was an open question and young people made reference to a whole host of indoor and outdoor activities that they would like to see provided in the village. A list of all these activities are shown below. Of those young people that lived in the village, the most common ideas were as follows:

- Rugby club (9%)
- Art club (7%)
- Cricket club (7%)
- Tennis club (7%)
- Youth club (7%)

Several participants noted that there needs to be i) more activities / facilities for older young people, rather than children and ii) there should be opportunities for intergenerational sports and clubs for diverse ages of people in the village.

Taken as a collective, the most common activities suggested were as follows (see also Figure 10):

- Rugby club (6.8%)
- Tennis club (6.8%)
- Skate park (5.7%)

Ice-skating (1)	Activities for teenagers (1)	Cookery school (3)
Pool (2)	Parks across the village (1)	Climbing club (4)
Reading club (1)	Biking activities (3)	Forest school (1)

Paintballing (4)	Art club (4)	Basket Ball (4)
Youth Club (4)	Music club (2)	Crazy golf (4)
Tennis club (6)	Rugby club (6)	More equipment at park (3)
Fishing club (1)	Drama club (1)	Skate park (5)
Gaming club (1)	Games room (1)	Badminton club (2)
Cricket club (4)	No more (3)	More pubs (1)
Football (adult /children) (1)	Orienteering (1)	Bingo for old people (1)
Go Karting (1)	Boxing (1)	Table tennis (1)
Café (1)	Dance (1)	Yoga (1)
Bowling (1)	Guides (3)	Netball (1)
Rock museum (1)		

Figure 10: All suggestions made by young people for activities in the village

QUESTION: WHAT IS YOUR FAVOURITE PLACE IN FENNY COMPTON? WHERE DO YOU LIKE TO GO WITH YOUR FRIENDS?

A range of responses were given to the open question about where young people like to go with their friends. The graph below shows these thematic responses. Of those young people that live in the village, the most common places young people like to go are i) the park (34%); the field (23.4%); the Dassett Hills (10.6%); the Co-op (6.4%); and the Slade (6.4%) (Figure 11).

For those young people that visit Fenny Compton, their favourite places to go are the Dassett Hills (28.9%); Park (26.7%) and School (20%) (Figure 12).




Figure 11: Places where young people like to go in Fenny Compton (those that live in the village)




Figure 12: Places where young people like to go in Fenny Compton
(those that visit the village)

QUESTION: WHICH PLACES IN THE VILLAGE NEED IMPROVING AND WHY?

Young people articulated that numerous improvements are needed in the village. Of the 52 suggestions there were some clear trends. Despite 'the park' being a favourite place of young people, 31% believed that this needed improving. Other common suggestions were that improvements were needed to the shop / shopping facilities (12%); the Pavilion (10%); the playground (8%); and the layout of the roads (6%). All responses across the sample are tabulated below:

Table 2: Suggested improvements

Code	Number	Percentage
Playground	4	8%
Bowling Green	1	2%
Park	16	31%
Pavilion	5	10%
Post Office	2	4%
Road layout	3	6%
Too many houses	1	2%
More public transport	1	2%
More parking	1	2%
Village Hall	3	6%
Shop	6	12%
Slade farm	1	2%
Bus shelter	2	4%

Telephone box	1	2%
Train station	1	2%
Social tension	1	2%
School	2	4%
Building site	1	2%


In terms of improvements which are needed at the park, young people, in their qualitative responses suggested that more play equipment and lighting is needed:

‘The park [needs] more up to date equipment’ (Aged 8, resident)

‘The park because it needs an enclosed football pitch’ (Aged 9, resident) ‘I think the park needs lights’ (Aged 9, resident)

QUESTION: WHAT ARE THE MAIN PROBLEMS IN THE VILLAGE? CHOO THREE WORDS TO DESCRIBE HOW YOU FEEL?

Young participants were asked to choose three words to describe the main problems in the village. Young people living in Fenny Compton expressed a range of worries. The most commonly articulated concerns relate to: i) facilities (14.8%); ii) crime (11.1%); iii) speeding (9.3%); iv) street lamps (9.3%) v) social division (7.4%); and vi) road and pavement layout (7.4%).






Figure 13: Young people's perceptions of issues in the village
(those that live in Fenny Compton)

Below are some of the qualitative comments which relate to these core concerns that young people have:

'Not enough places for young people to meet up' (Age 13, resident)

'Broken street lamps' (Age 16, resident)

'Dangerous roads' (Age 16, resident)

'Druggies, underage smokers and drinkers' (Age 13, resident)

Only 8 participants who do not live in Fenny Compton answered this question, their concerns in the majority related to the facilities, litter and parking.

QUESTION: IF YOU COULD CHANGE ONE THING IN THE VILLAGE, WHAT WOULD IT BE?

A range of suggestions were given regarding suggested changes needed to improve Fenny Compton, twenty three suggestions were made by young people living in the village. The most common responses related to i) more facilities for young people (21.7%); ii) improvements to the roads and pavements (17.4%); and iii) improvements to the park (17.4%). Several participants also suggested that changes were needed to improve i)

social tensions and differences (8.7%); ii) parking (8.7%); and iii) the facilities on offer (8.7%) (Figure 14).




Figure 14: Young people's suggestions for improvements
(participants living in the village)

Of those young people that do not live in the village, a minority commented on the changes they think are needed. Common suggestions included, more facilities for young people and more facilities in general.

QUESTION: HOW IMPORTANT WOULD IT BE FOR FENNY COMPTON TO HAVE EACH OF THESE FACILITIES?

Young participants were asked to rank a range of services and facilities as 'Important', 'Quite important' and 'Not important' for Fenny Compton. For young people living in the village the most important services and facilities for them are: i) safe pavements and road crossings (93%); ii) safe roads to cycle and walk (90%); iii) good internet access (90%); iv) primary school (88%); v) good phone access (88%); vi) doctors (86%); vii) local shop (83%) All the results can be seen in the graph and table below.




Figure 15: Participants rank of the importance of the facilities,
(young people living in Fenny Compton)

Table 3: Participants ranking the importance of facilities
(those living in the village)

	Important	Quite Important	Not important
Local shop	83%	18%	0%
Primary school	88%	12%	0%
Secondary school	18%	18%	65%
Doctors	86%	14%	0%
Youth Club	27%	41%	32%
Library	24%	40%	36%
Good park	69%	26%	5%
Safe roads to cycle and walk	90%	7%	2%
Safe pavements and road crossings	93%	7%	0%
Frequent public transport to a town	55%	33%	13%
Good internet access	90%	5%	5%
Good phone access	88%	10%	2%
Adequate parking	68%	28%	5%
Footpaths	76%	19%	5%
Village pub	67%	24%	10%
Hairdressers	20%	39%	41%

Fire station	88%	10%	2%
Village hall	71%	27%	2%
Scout hut	53%	30%	18%
Pavilion	46%	46%	9%

Of those young people who do not live in Fenny Compton but completed the survey, their most important services and facilities included: i) safe pavements and road crossings (86.7%); ii) safe roads to cycle and walk (84.4%); and iii) the fire station (84.4%). The full results in a graph and table is shown below:




Figure 16: Participants rank of the importance of the facilities, (young people visiting Fenny Compton)

Table 4: Participants ranking the importance of facilities
(young people visiting the village)

	Important	Quite Important	Not important
Local shop	64.4%	35.6%	0.0%
Primary school	73.3%	24.4%	2.2%
Secondary school	33.3%	28.9%	33.3%
Doctors	80.0%	17.8%	2.2%
Youth Club	28.9%	44.4%	26.7%
Library	44.4%	31.1%	24.4%
Good park	66.7%	28.9%	4.4%
Safe roads to cycle and walk	84.4%	17.8%	0.0%
Safe pavements and road crossings	86.7%	15.6%	0.0%
Frequent public transport to a town	53.3%	40.0%	4.4%
Good internet access	73.3%	22.2%	4.4%
Good phone access	75.6%	26.7%	0.0%
Adequate parking	55.6%	24.4%	0.0%
Footpaths	71.1%	26.7%	2.2%
Village pub	48.9%	40.0%	13.3%
Hairdressers	40.0%	28.9%	33.3%
Fire station	84.4%	13.3%	4.4%
Village hall	68.9%	26.7%	4.4%
Scout hut	44.4%	20.0%	33.3%
Pavilion	28.9%	33.3%	11.1%


*7.14 Section 14 consultation form distributed to all properties in
the parish*



FENNY COMPTON

NEIGHBOURHOOD DEVELOPMENT PLAN

CONSULTATION FEEDBACK



PLEASE COMPLETE AND RETURN

SEE BACK PAGE FOR DETAILS



Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan Summary

Fenny Compton is a rural settlement situated in the ancient Feldon landscape of Warwickshire, mentioned in the Domesday Book as Contone. The village is classed as a Level 2 service Village in the Stratford Upon Avon Core Strategy. The population currently stands at about 800.

Stratford upon Avon District Council's Core Strategy states that Fenny Compton is one of 12 villages in the District that should accommodate approximately 700 new houses over the planning period, of which no more than around 12% (84) should be provided in any one settlement. Since the Core Strategy was adopted, 28 dwellings have been built and planning permission granted for a further 100 units on a brownfield site within the Parish of Fenny Compton. The exact number of houses allocated in the Neighbourhood Development Plan will depend on how many can be accommodated in a sustainable way.

The Strategic Vision for the Neighbourhood Plan for the Parish of Fenny Compton is based on responses taken from the Neighbourhood Survey conducted in 2018.

We are consulting on a Plan based on these key principles:

1. Within the Parish, new developments will be sympathetic to its history and surroundings, while introducing features of modern living and minimising environmental impact.
2. Fenny Compton's strategic vision is underpinned by the views of residents revealed in the Parish's survey carried out in 2018.
3. The survey shows the value that residents put upon the rural environment surrounding the village. Almost 2 in 3 residents feel it is very important to define and preserve the existing boundaries of the village.
4. Residents consider sustainable power production on a local and domestic scale to be important for future development within the village.
5. There is concern about flooding in the village. Reflecting the memories of recent flooding events, minimising the risk of flooding in new development was very important.

The Neighbourhood Plan develops 9 key principles into practical policies that explain how the strategic vision can become a reality in the period up to 2031.

Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Plan Feedback Form

The neighbourhood plan develops key principles into practical policies that explain how the strategic vision can become a reality in the period up to 2031.

Key Principle	Policies	Comments
Appropriate development In harmony and at an appropriate scale to the existing village and the wider landscape	<p>Sensitive Building Design:using the existing Village Design Statement paying attention to local styles; setting out points on materials; the location of development sites and the size of buildings and developments.</p> <p>Sustainable Housing:Future housing in small scale developments after the Compton Buildings site is built out; all areas outside the built up area boundary (BUAB) is classed as countryside; off road parking at the ratio of one parking space per bedroom; sustainability where new builds meet national standards for insulation and use of renewable technology.</p>	
Promoting road safety Ensuring new developments do not compromise safety for road and pavement users	<p>Appropriate Traffic Management Measures:seek to minimise impact on local highway network; reduce vehicular impact through speed measures.</p>	

Key Principle	Policies	Comments
<p>Supporting Parish amenities</p> <p>Encouraging new community facilities that meet residents' wishes</p>	<p>Protection of Village Community Assets: income from new development (£106 agreements) invested in community facilities; new facilities to be provided if an existing one is compromised by an acceptable development; developments that improve and enhance community facilities to be encouraged.</p> <p>Development of new community facilities: supported where they preserve local character; do not harm the landscape or existing residential amenities; provide improved facilities for young people and older residents.</p>	
<p>Promoting recreational spaces</p> <p>Using development to encourage new and maintain existing recreational spaces</p>	<p>Protecting Village Recreational Assets: use of income from new developments (£106 agreements) invested in community facilities; not supporting the loss or partial loss of existing recreational spaces and facilities unless replaced; supporting proposals that enhance and improve existing facilities including: village hall, bowls club, sports pavilion and field, allotments.</p>	
<p>Flood prevention</p> <p>Setting out how development can help improve the Parish's flood prevention infrastructure</p>	<p>Ensuring developments manage the flood risk: managing surface water run off; managing risk of streams flooding; appropriate systems to be put in place such as sustainable drainage systems and flood water storage.</p>	

Key Principle	Policies	Comments
<p>Environmental sustainability</p> <p>Encouraging the installation of renewable power generation technologies and the use of green energy appropriate to the village location</p>	<p>Domestic energy production: new developments and building conversions designed to be self-sufficient in power production from renewable sources.</p> <p>Electric vehicle charging: all new dwellings and commercial developments should include at least one electric vehicle charging point.</p>	
<p>Promoting business and employment</p> <p>Encouraging coherent and sustainable minor commercial development in line with residents' wishes</p>	<p>Minor commercial development: development at an appropriate scale to the village context; minimise impact on residential areas and traffic generation; use green technologies for power generation; provide electric car charging points.</p> <p>Home working: development of new dwellings should provide space adaptable for home working.</p>	
<p>Promoting connectivity</p> <p>Ensuring smart connectivity is built into new residential and commercial developments in the Parish</p>	<p>High-speed homes: all new dwellings to incorporate cable or suitable ducting to support high speed broadband.</p> <p>Connected infrastructure: all new dwellings and commercial premises should provide high speed connectivity from building to road side.</p>	

Key Principle	Policies	Comments
<p>Natural environment</p> <p>Accepting development that preserves valued landscapes and green spaces in the Parish</p>	<p>Protection of valued landscapes: development proposals to demonstrate integration with or enhancement of the landscape character.</p> <p>Local Green Spaces: preserving local green spaces for community use including the school sports field.</p> <p>Verges and hedges: development should respect the rural nature of the village and ensure existing hedges and verges are maintained.</p> <p>Wildlife: supporting and maintaining existing ecological networks, supporting new ecological habitats, primary hedge lines conserved and positive management of wildlife corridors, wildlife habitats and landscape features, existing Parish-owned trees preserved and managed and additional planting encouraged, diversity of unimproved and semi-improved grassland on steep hillsides conserved through regular grazing.</p>	
<p>Caring for heritage assets</p> <p>To protect and conserve the heritage assets that shape the present character of the Parish</p>	<p>Conserving or enhancing the historic environment: development proposals demonstrate how the Neighbourhood's historic environment will be conserved or enhanced; detrimental impact of any development on any heritage asset will be weighed against community benefit; the conservation area will be similarly regarded.</p>	



HOW TO HAVE YOUR SAY:

*COMPLETE THIS CONSULTATION BY ADDING
YOUR COMMENTS TO EACH PAGE OF THIS
BOOKLET*

*RETURN TO YOUR NEAREST
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN VOLUNTEER:*

HILARY: SADDLERS COTTAGE, HIGH STREET, CV47 2YG
(OPPOSITE THE COOP)


ROLY: 16 FIELDGATE LANE, CV47 2WB

JOHN: MILL HILL COTTAGE, THE SLADE, CV47 2YB

OR COMPLETE ONLINE

*[HTTP://FENNYCOMPTONNP.CO.UK/CONSULTATIONS/
FORMAL-CONSULTATION-2020/](http://fennycomptonnp.co.uk/consultations/formal-consultation-2020/)*

CLOSING DATE -DECEMBER 12TH



7.15 Banbury Guardian and Stratford Herald newspaper advertisements

Notice of Consultation
Fenny Compton Draft Neighbourhood Plan
2011-2031

This is to announce a public consultation into the Fenny Compton Draft Neighbourhood Plan 2011-2031.

The consultation runs from 2 November 2020 to 13 December 2020.

The plan can be accessed at;
<http://fennycomptonnp.co.uk/consultations/formal-consultation-2020/>
together with an executive summary and comment form. Comments should be sent to john@fennycomptonnp.co.uk

NOTICE OF CONSULTATION
Fenny Compton Draft Neighbourhood Plan
2011-2031

This is to announce a public consultation into the Fenny Compton Draft Neighbourhood Plan 2011-2031.

The consultation runs from 2 November 2020 to 13 December 2020.

The plan can be accessed at <http://fennycomptonnp.co.uk/consultations/formal-consultation-2020/> together with an executive summary and comment form. Comments should be sent to john@fennycomptonnp.co.uk

7.16 List of consultees

Generic Consultation consultees

Akins Ltd	windfarms@atkinsglobal.com
ancient monuments society	office@ancientmonumentsociety.org.uk
arqiva	enquiries@arqiva.com
Birmingham International Airport	andrew.davies@birminghamairport.co.uk
CABE	info@designcouncil.org.uk
Canal and River Trust	planning@canalrivertrust.org.uk
Capital and Property Projects	property@warwickshire.gov.uk
Coal Authority	planningconsultation@coal.gov.uk
Council for British Archaeology	webenquiry@archaeologyuk.org
Council for British Archaeology	casework@britarch.ac.uk
Cotswold Conservation Board	alison.rood@cotswoldsconb.org.uk
Coventry Diocese DAC Secretary	will.jones@covcofe.org
Civil Aviation Authority	mark.wakeman@caa.co.uk
Coventry Airport	rsweeney@coventryairport.co.uk
CTC - National Cycling Charity	righttoride@ctc.org.uk
CTC - National Cycling Charity	cycling@ctc.org.uk
Historic England	peter.boland@historicengland.org.uk
English Heritage Parks and Gardens	kim.auston@english-heritage.org.uk
Environment Agency	HNLsustainableplaces@environment-agency.gov.uk
Environment Agency	swmplaning@environment-agency.gov.uk
Force Crime Prevention Design Advisor	mark.english@warwickshire.pnn.police.uk
Forestry Commission	paul.webster@forestrycommission.gov.uk
Forestry Commission	nwwm@forestrycommission.gov.uk
Garden History Society	conservation@gardenhistorysociety.org
Georgian Group	david@georgiangroup.org.uk
Glide Sport UK	office@glidesportuk.co.uk
Homes England	enquiries@homesengland.gov.uk
Highways Agency (Midlands)	planningM@highwaysengland.co.uk
Inland Waterways Association	iwa@waterways.org.uk
Joint Radio company	windfarms@jrc.co.uk
Kernon Countryside Consultants	info@kernon.co.uk
London Oxford Airport	info@londonoxfordairport.com
MBNL(Acting for Everything Everywhere)	info@mbnl.co.uk
Ministry of Defence	deopsnorth-lms7safe@de.mod.uk
Accessible Stratford	med2swan@gmail.com
Mr Butler (CPRE)	namb999@btinternet.com
CPRE	office@cprewarwickshire.org.uk
National Air Traffic Services	nerlsafeguarding@nats.co.uk
National Grid Gas Distribution	plantprotection@cadentgas.com
National Grid UK Transmission	n.grid@amec.com
National Planning Casework Service	pcu@communities.gsi.gov.uk
National Trust	james.sharp@nationaltrust.org.uk
National Trust	chris.lambart@nationaltrust.org.uk
Natural England	consultations@naturalengland.org.uk

Natural England	jamie.melvin@naturalengland.org.uk
Network Rail	townplanning.LNW@networkrail.co.uk
Ofcom	spectrum.licensing@ofcom.org.uk
Off Route Airspace	steve.hyett@caa.co.uk
SDC Conservation	planning.conservation@stratford-dc.gov.uk
WCC Principle Highway Control Officer	joannearcher@warwickshire.gov.uk
Ramblers Association	policy@ramblers.org.uk
SDC Planning and Environment	planning.applications@stratford-dc.gov.uk
Royal Agricultural Society of England	martynluscombe@hotmail.com
RSPB	colin.wilkinson@rspb.org.uk
Severn Trent Water	Planning.APEast@Severntrent.co.uk
Severn Trent Water	net.dev.east@severntrent.co.uk
Sport England West Midlands	planning.westmidlands@sportengland.org
Sport England West Midlands	bob.sharple@sportengland.org
Stratford-on-Avon Gliding Club	chairman@stratfordgliding.co.uk
Stratford-on-Avon Gliding Club	nick.jaffray@btopenworld.com
Sustrans	edward.healey@sustrans.org.uk
Thames Water Utilities	thameswaterplanningpolicy@savills.com
Thames Water Utilities	devconteam@thameswater.co.uk
The Design Council	kate.jones@designcouncil.org.uk
Theatres Trust	planning@theatrestrust.org.uk
Upper Avon Navigation Trust Ltd	elainebaird@avonnavigationtrust.org
Victorian Society	notifications@victoriansociety.org.uk
Warwickshire Badger Group	sahyll@yahoo.co.uk
Warwickshire Bat Group	enquiries@warksbats.co.uk
Warwickshire Police	planningconsultations@warwickshire.police.uk
Warwickshire Police	ian.king@warwickshire.pnn.police.uk
Warwickshire Police Road Safety	roadsafety@warwickshire.police.uk
Warks Primary Care Trust	graham.nuttall@property.nhs.uk
NHS Property Services Ltd	mark.jones@property.nhs.uk
Warwickshire Rural Housing Association	sarahbt@wrccrural.org.uk
Warwickshire Wildlife Trust	annie.english@wkwt.org.uk
Warks Wildlife Trust	gina.rowe@wkwt.org.uk
WCC - planning	planningstrategy@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Archaeology	annastocks@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Extra Care Housing	timwillis@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC NDP Liaison Officer	jasbirkaur@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Flood Risk	michaelgreen@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Ecology	planningecology@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Forestry	forestry@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Fire & Rescue Service	fireandrescue@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Gypsy & Traveller Officer	paulgibbs@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Health & Communities	timwillis@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Highways	highwayconsultation@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Land Registry	peterendall@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Libraries	libraryenquiryteam@warwickshire.gov.uk
WCC Rights of Way	elainebettger@warwickshire.gov.uk
Wellesbourne Airfield	mjlittler@hotmail.com

Wellesbourne Airfield	tower@wellesbourneairfield.com
Western Power Distribution	wpdwayleavesmidlands@westernpower.co.uk
Woodland Trust	enquiries@woodlandtrust.org.uk
Warwickshire Rural Community Council	kims@wrccrural.org.uk
Warwickshire Amphibian and Reptile Team	tim@gribblybugs.com
Stansgate Planning	mail@stansgate.co.uk
Coventry and Warwickshire Partnership NHS Trust	enquiries@covwarkpt.nhs.uk
South Warwickshire Clinical Commissioning Group	hannah.willeets@southwarwickshireccg.nhs.uk
Community Forum - Stratford area	southernareateam@warwickshire.gov.uk
Stratford Business Forum	jon@stratford-business-forum.co.uk
Strutt and Parker	simon.handy@struttandparker.com
Bromford Housing Group	neil.craft@bromford.co.uk
Stonewater Housing Association	matthew.crucefix@stonewater.org
Fortis Living Housing Association	mbaggett@fortisliving.com
Warwickshire Rural Housing Association	neil.gilliver@midlandsrural.org.uk
Orbit Group	jason.clarke@orbit.org.uk
Waterloo Housing Group	neil.adie@platoformhg.com
Shakespeares England	enquiries@shakespeares-england.co.uk
SSA Planning, Nottingham	mark.mcGovern@ssaplanning.co.uk
SDC Planning Policy	planning.policy@stratford-dc.gov.uk
Wormleighton Parish Meeting	ik1937@btinternet.com
Farnborough Parish Council	farnboroughpc@outlook.com
Avon Dassett Parish Council	avondassett.clerk@googlemail.com
Burton Dassett Parish Council	clerk@burtondassett-pc.gov.uk
Ward Member Napton & Fenny Compton	nigel.rock@stratford-dc.gov.uk
Ward Member Red Horse	john.feilding@stratford-dc.gov.uk
Ward Member Bishops Itchington	chris.kettle@stratford-dc.gov.uk
Ward Member Southam South	andrew.crump@stratford-dc.gov.uk
County Councillor	cllrwilliams@warwickshire.gov.uk
Daventry District Council	planningpolicy@daventrydc.gov.uk

7.17 Responses to section 14 consultation

Respondent ID	Comment ID	Section/Key Principle	Comment	NDP Steering Group response
CS	CS-119	Exec summary	Regarding the inaccuracy of the BUAB and its non-compliance with Core Strategy AS 10 -- there are several comments about the BUAB. These need to be dealt with together so that the information is accurate. We need to check whether the BUAB has been actually accepted by SDC and find out whether any additional conditions apply or whether changes need to be made. This may have to be taken up with the Parish Council	Updated in line with the comment
CS	CS-120	Key Principle 1	Parking standards – I feel strongly that we need to stand up for this policy but we'll need to back it up with evidence of other NPs and National policies/recommendations. Often the regs use weasel words such as 'minimum of' but doesn't preclude 'more than' so we need to have evidence that there are existing developments where our preferred parking policy is in place	Updated in line with the comment
CS	CS-121	Environmental Sustainability	Setting the bar high and Aspirations section – explore this in more detail, provide examples of good practice already in evidence in FC eg houses with solar panels, air source heat pumps and other technologies. Must reference the new Climate Change SPD.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
CS	CS-122	Section 1.3 para 13.5	Aspirations – where would we put this section. Can we find an example of this in another made NP?	Steering group have added an aspirations section as an appendix

CS	CS-123	MAPS	There are several map issues to deal with. Some maps were created by Jason, others by a technician at SDC. There may be difficulties in obtaining redrawn maps in the current circumstances. I will ask Eva about this.	Updated in line with the comment
CS	CS-124	Sustainable development	Separate the policies and add in more appropriate places. Parking strategy already mentioned -- doesn't need to be repeated	Updated in line with the comment
CS	CS-125	Policy:Appropriate Traffic Management Methods	The whole of this policy needs revisiting and perhaps moving to 'Aspiration' as suggested Perhaps we can find traffic management things which are within the planning remit to refer to if we want to keep the policy	The parish council has drafted an aspirations statement which has been included
CS	CS-126	Flood Risk map	We might be able to get better maps from the EA . We have had considerable difficulty so far. I will ask Greg if he can help as he has worked with the EA in the past and his company might be able to get us the maps we need.	Updated in line with the comment
CS	CS-127	Policy:Protection of Valued Landscapes	We need to make our policy about valued landscapes stronger – look at other made plans to get better wording – this was considered to be very important to our village residents and I wouldn't want it to be lost or diminished	This has been actioned.
Highways England	Highways England-392	Promoting road safety	As the plan does not introduce any new development sites or transport related policies that are likely to impact upon our network, we consider that the contents of the plan are for local determination, and we have no further comments to make.	This is a standard response from a statutory consultee - no response required

Inland Waterways Association (Warwickshire branch)	Inland Waterways Association (Warwickshire branch)-374	Natural environment	[We] offer [our] general support for the Fenny Compton neighbourhood plan. Whilst our major interests centre on the navigable waterways, in this case the Oxford Canal, we also wish to see robust and well written NPD's adopted to help preserve the special features which define an area. We feel that good NPD's do prevent much poor quality, obtrusive and often unnecessary developments that have blighted parishes in the past. We look forward to commenting on specific points, if required, in the future.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
Natural England	Natural England-386	Natural environment	Natural England does not have any specific comments on the draft Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Development Plan. However, we refer you to the attached annex [in the email circulated to the Steering Group] which covers the issues and opportunities that should be considered when preparing a Neighbourhood Plan.	This is a standard response from a statutory consultee - no response required

Natural England	Natural England-387	Caring for heritage assets	<p>Historic England generally has no adverse comments to make upon the draft plan which we feel overall takes a proportionate approach to the main historic environment issues pertaining to Fenny Compton. We are generally supportive of both the content of the document and the vision and key principles set out in it and are pleased to note that the Plan evidence base is generally well informed by reference to the Warwickshire Historic Environment Record and includes assessments of landscape character. The recognition afforded to archaeological remains, heritage assets and the Fenny Compton conservation area is welcomed but we are concerned that Policy 12.1 "Conserving or enhancing the historic environment" is rather generic in its current form and risks merely restating National Policy and Guidance. Perhaps further thought could be given to making the Policy more locally specific drawing out the special nature of Fenny Compton and wonder whether Policy Planners at Stratford on Avon Council could assist in this.</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
Natural England	Natural England-388	Design and infrastructure	<p>We commend the emphasis given to the maintenance of local distinctiveness and the conservation of landscape character, wildlife and biodiversity. The commitment to support well designed locally distinctive development that is sympathetic to the character of the area including its rural character, strategic views and green spaces is equally commendable and we are sure the Village Design Statement will greatly assist in that.</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

Place Partnership (on behalf of Warwickshire Police)	Place Partnership (on behalf of Warwickshire Police)-389	Design and infrastructure	<p>Place Partnership Limited (PPL) is instructed by Warwickshire Police (WP) to submit representations to the public consultation on the Fenny Compton Neighbourhood Development Plan (FCNDP). WP support the 'Appropriate Development' key principle and the two policies that are proposed to deliver this. However, WP are concerned that designing out crime is not one of the proposed policies. Having such a policy would reflect a known priority for the local community in the Parish and be in accordance with the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Paragraphs 8 (b), 11, 16, 20, 28, 91 (b), 95 and 127 (f) of the NPPF; Policy CS.9 (B (7) and paragraph 3.8.5 of the Stratford-on-Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031 (adopted July 2016); and Development Requirements SPD (July 2020) – page 36 (street lighting), page 49 (passive/natural surveillance), page 57 (boundary treatment), page 112 (shopfront security), page 187 (parking design) and page 267 (walkability/permeability). <p>In view of this WP suggests the addition of the following policy for 'Appropriate Development':</p> <p>Designing Out Crime: It is important new development schemes in the Parish can demonstrate how they will achieve a safe low-crime environment. This should be done through the application of Secured by Design standards and principles. To give a brief summary of Secured by Design (SBD), it is a long-running flagship initiative of the National Police Chiefs' Council (formally Association of Chief Police Officers). Its objective is to design out crime during the planning process. It is a highly respected standard in the sector, supported by numerous public bodies (including Stratford-on-Avon District Council) and professional bodies. SBD is therefore a vital guidance resource for planners. SBD was created in 1989, is available online, regularly updated and consequently there is no danger of it ceasing to exist during the lifetime of the Neighbourhood Plan. As per WP's</p>	Designing out crime policy drafted and included
---	---	---------------------------	---	---

		<p>comments above, it is suggested that this section of the Neighbourhood Plan includes a new policy entitled 'Designing Out Crime'. The following wording is proposed for this:</p> <p>3.3 Policy: designing out crime</p> <p>3.3.1 Secured by Design: New developments should help to maintain a low crime and safe environment. This should be achieved through the incorporation of Secured by Design principles and standards.</p> <p>3.3.2 Design and Access Statements: Planning applications should demonstrate how they have complied with this policy in their supporting documentation, such as in Design and Access Statements.</p> <p>3.3.3 Design Out Crime Officers: Applicants can seek further information from Warwickshire Police's Design Out Crime Officers on how to incorporate Secured by Design into their proposals. The inclusion of this new policy would be in accordance with and be supported by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Paragraphs 8 (b), 11, 16, 20, 28, 37, 91 (b), 95, 124 - 131 of the NPPF;• Policy CS.9 (B (7) and paragraph 3.8.5 of the Stratford-on-Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031 (adopted July 2016);• Development Requirements SPD (July 2020) – page 36 (street lighting), page 49 (passive/natural surveillance), page 57 (boundary treatment), page 112 (shopfront security), page 187 (parking design) and page 267 (walkability/permeability); and• The National Design Guide (2019). <p>Finally, the following content of National Planning Practice Guidance explains why strong planning policies concerning this issue are very important to have: "Good design that considers security as an intrinsic part of a masterplan or individual development can help to achieve places that are safe as well as attractive, which function well, and which do not need subsequent work to achieve or improve resilience... Good design means a wide range of crimes from theft to terrorism are less likely to happen by</p>	
--	--	--	--

			<p>making those crimes more difficult."Paragraph: 010 Reference ID: 53-010-20190722 Revision date: 22 07 2019</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

Place Partnership (on behalf of Warwickshire Police)	Place Partnership (on behalf of Warwickshire Police)-390	Supporting Parish amenities	<p>Whilst WP support the wording given, it is felt that it would be strengthened by encompassing the definition of community facilities given in the Stratford-on-Avon District Core Strategy 2011 to 2031 (adopted July 2016). The following new wording is therefore proposed:Development of new community facilities: Provision for health and social care, education, emergency services, meeting spaces and cultural facilities (e.g. libraries, arts and places of worship), open space, sports venues, local shops and pubs will be supported where they preserve local character, landscape, amenities and benefit residents.The national policy position to support this exists in the NPPF (adopted February 2019). Paragraphs 8, 26, 32 and 92 together confirm that sustainable development means securing a safe environment through the delivery of social infrastructure needed by communities. In this respect, paragraph 20 specifically states policies should deliver development that makes sufficient provision for security and other infrastructure. Paragraphs 16, 26, 28, 32 and 38 collectively envisage this being delivered through joint working by all partners concerned with new developments.5.2 Policy: Development of new community facilities – Page 34Whilst WP supports this policy, it would be strengthened through the inclusion of the following amendments:5.2.1 Development proposals for new or improvements to existing community facilities and infrastructure will be supported. This includes, but is not limited to health and social care, education, emergency services, meeting spaces and cultural facilities (e.g. libraries, arts and places of worship), open space, sports venues, local shops and pubs. Proposals must preserve local character and distinctiveness, avoid unacceptable harm to the landscape and protect amenities for existing and future residents of all ages.The inclusion of this new wording would be supported by and is in accordance with</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
---	---	-----------------------------	--	--

			<p>the following:- Paragraphs 8, 20, 26, 28, 32, 38, 91, 92, 95 and 127 of the NPPF; and Strategic Objective 9, Policy CS.25, paragraph 7.1.4 and Policy CS.27 of the Stratford-on-Avon District Core Strategy (adopted July 2016).</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

Place Partnership (on behalf of Warwickshire Police)	Place Partnership (on behalf of Warwickshire Police)-391	Promoting road safety	WP confirm they welcome and support this principle and policy. They look forward to working with the Parish Council in implementing it. WP request only that the policy includes the following additional paragraph: 4.1.3 All new developments must ensure that they are always fully accessible by emergency service vehicles and personnel. The inclusion of this additional wording will help ensure emergency service response times continue to be acceptable in the Parish, which in turn will protect and save lives.	Policy updated to include the wording as requested
R1	R1 -128	Environmental sustainability	Sensible insulation required. Air-sourced heat pumps a good idea.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R1	R1 -129	Promoting business and employment	[Development at appropriate scale] i.e. not 100 houses!	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R1	R1 -130	Design and infrastructure	So I hope that the proposed development of 100 houses at Compton Buildings will be limited to 84 houses maximum, in line with SDC core strategy.	Outline planning permission has been granted by SDC for 100 homes and so the steering group chose to make no update
R1	R1 -131	Promoting road safety	Speeding through the village needs to be tackled. Houses without foundations close to the road (like mine and Woad House) are adversely affected by speeding lorries and tractors in particular. [I would] Create a cycle path between the village and the new development to encourage reduction in cars to shop/school/doctors etc. [I would] extend the cycle path under the bridge and install a traffic light system there to reduce the chance of accidents under the bridge.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process

R1	R1 -132	Supporting parish amenities	Desperately need a new pavilion/scout hut at the playing fields as the current one is literally falling down.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R1	R1 -133	Flood prevention	'Green drives', green spaces within the development needed to reduce run-off. Some sort of pump system under the bridge as increased tarmac will lead to flooding.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R1	R1 -134	Natural environment	Hedges are not enough! Wild scrub also needs protecting as a habitat.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R10	R10-191	Design and infrastructure	Important that any new housing blends in with existing village and every house has parking spaces.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R10	R10-192	Promoting road safety	Very important	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R10	R10-193	Supporting Parish amenities	Agree, within reason.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R10	R10-194	Promoting recreational spaces	Definitely enhance existing facilities.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R10	R10-195	Flood prevention	Most important to ensure flooding does not increase due to new buildings.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R10	R10-196	Environmental sustainability	Agree.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R10	R10-197	Promoting business and employment	Only small commercial developments.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R10	R10-198	Promoting connectivity	Yes, agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R10	R10-199	Natural environment	Fully agree.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R10	R10-200	Caring for heritage assets	Important to maintain conservation area.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-201	Design and infrastructure	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-202	Promoting road safety	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-203	Supporting Parish amenities	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-204	Promoting recreational spaces	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-205	Flood prevention	Potentially S106 agreements to reduce risk.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R11	R11-206	Environmental sustainability	Agree strongly. Need to consult with WPD on adequate capacity.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-207	Promoting business and employment	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-208	Promoting connectivity	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R11	R11-209	Natural environment	Help on encouraging biodiversity would be helpful.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R11	R11-210	Caring for heritage assets	Agreed, but needs to an appropriate balance between conservation and energy reduction (e.g. single glazing).	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R12	R12-211	Design and infrastructure	Parking is an issue in the village. Anything to increase off-street parking would be good.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R12	R12-212	Promoting road safety	There is no enforcement of breaches in speed levels in the village i.e. flashing signs to identify the speed of travel over the limit.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process

R12	R12-213	Supporting Parish amenities	Perhaps the village hall should be considered for an S106 funded refurbishment? The Parish Council should invest in better street lighting throughout the village so people can walk at night. It is very poor in places and discourages people from walking. Footpaths are poor and narrow with vegetation reducing widths of many areas. All could be improved by investing residents' money i.e. the precept.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R12	R12-214	Promoting recreational spaces	The sports pavilion in the sports field would benefit from a review and refurbishment.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R12	R12-215	Flood prevention	Regular flushing of the drainage system (including drain gates) would help this.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R12	R12-216	Environmental sustainability	Excellent! New houses should have to have solar panels on their roofs. EVCs [Electric Vehicle Charging points] – Excellent!	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R12	R12-217	Promoting business and employment	Minimising vehicle use is to be encouraged. [Homeworking] definitely. COVID-19 has proved that.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R12	R12-218	Promoting connectivity	Yes! Connections are not reliable.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R12	R12-219	Natural environment	This should be a priority. [Local Green space] is well-used. New houses should have hedges rather than fencing – create new hedges!	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R12	R12-220	Caring for heritage assets	Consideration to and help for the Parish burial ground [Churchyard] wall, which has collapsed in several sections.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R13	R13-221	Design and infrastructure	To include bungalows in overall plans. Permission for single sites only after Compton Works site has completed.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R13	R13-222	Supporting Parish amenities	Make sure surgery, school and sewerage are adequate for additional housing.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R13	R13-223	Promoting recreational spaces	Agree that these should be supported and enhanced.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R13	R13-224	Flood prevention	Yes	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R13	R13-225	Natural environment	[Local Green Spaces] – Good!	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R14	R14-226	Design and infrastructure	Compton Buildings should be built out before any ‘reserve’ sites are considered.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R14	R14-227	Promoting road safety	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R14	R14-228	Supporting Parish amenities	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R14	R14-229	Promoting recreational spaces	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R14	R14-230	Flood prevention	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R14	R14-231	Environmental sustainability	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R14	R14-232	Promoting business and employment	It's not realistic to be totally self-sufficient [with domestic energy production] – proportional. [Electric vehicle charging] points is not realistic for all new dwellings – [it should say] only where possible.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R14	R14-233	Promoting connectivity	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R14	R14-234	Natural environment	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R14	R14-235	Caring for heritage assets	Agreed	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R15	R15-236	Design and infrastructure	Really agree about importance of parking provision	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R15	R15-237	Promoting road safety	Pavements to the railway bridge and under it could be improved / installed.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for

				review outside of the NDP process
R15	R15-238	Supporting Parish amenities	Great!	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R16	R16-239	Design and infrastructure	This needs close monitoring as SDC do not do this well. Look at other local villages where this has been abused by developers and ignored by SDC. How can you MANAGE it over time?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R16	R16-240	Promoting road safety	They will compromise safety regardless. More traffic on the roads, issues with parking of course risk goes and safety goes down.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R16	R16-241	Supporting Parish amenities	S106 no longer exists, so why use an out of date process? Mention CIL etc. S106 was not as straightforward or as simple as this statement suggests. It is a short-term buy off with little or no long-term input.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R16	R16-242	Promoting recreational spaces	This is an agreement to lose green recreational space. You should be safeguarding all current space in the village before it goes. Try covenants? Use Comptons	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R16	R16-243	Flood prevention	More people, more cars, more tarmac means more flooding. No strategic plan appears to be in place for Fenny Compton. Is your policy still tied up with Avon Dassett? If not, can the public be shown your current policy for flood protection? Informed decisions.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R16	R16-244	Environmental sustainability	Local government is powerless in this. National government needs to regulate or legislate. Little you can do about this.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R16	R16-245	Promoting business and employment	Developers will do it otherwise. May get ECP in the village, but where and what planning is involved? Why ask when you are limited in what you can do? [Promoting business and employment] What planning issues apply here? [Home working] Private matter, excuse for more building! Parking?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R16	R16-246	Promoting connectivity	Again, an aspiration, until regulated or big companies made to deliver to similar communities as this – aspirational if you have no power to deliver. [Connected infrastructure] How are you going to ensure this is delivered?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R16	R16-247	Natural environment	How are you going to do and ensure this? Neighbourhood Plans can be overridden by District and National Government especially by Planning Committees. It is a chocolate fireguard if you believe that you are empowered.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R16	R16-248	Caring for heritage assets	National Government will override all of this. Politics eh? The government is looking to change the process. Neighbourhood Plans will at some point be defunct, but you have got to try.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R17	R17-249	Supporting Parish Amenities	Is there a [illegible] of community facilities	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R17	R17-250	Environmental sustainability	Would we allow wind generation?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R18	R18-251	Design and infrastructure	What constitutes the built-up area boundary once Compton Buildings site is built on?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R18	R18-252	Promoting road safety	Path under the railway bridge would help with speed and pedestrian safety	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R18	R18-253	Supporting Parish amenities	Including school places? Doctor's surgery capacity?	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R18	R18-254	Promoting recreational spaces	And children's play areas	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R18	R18-255	Environmental sustainability	How?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R19	R19-256	Design and infrastructure	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R19	R19-257	Promoting road safety	Highways and parking improvements required. Currently all local traffic goes through the village centre – ring road required. This could also limit village growth.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for

				review outside of the NDP process
R19	R19-258	Environmental sustainability	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R19	R19-259	Promoting business and employment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R19	R19-260	Promoting connectivity	What about improvements to existing dwellings and factories?	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R2	R2 -135	Environmental sustainability	I would suggest a minimum of two charging points, given our Government's latest policy re. electric vehicles.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R20	R20-261	Promoting road safety	This has been proven not to work already. Speeding remains an issue in and out of the village. Ridgeway – the newest development – has people parking on the pavement.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R20	R20-262	Supporting Parish amenities	What does this mean? Nothing. New village hall?	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process

R20	R20-263	Promoting recreational spaces	How? What form does this 'investment' take? Grants from the Parish Council? Dream on.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R20	R20-264	Flood prevention	Last year's [2019] sudden flood from Ridgeway in heavy rain shows this is not adhered to already.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R20	R20-265	Environmental sustainability	Which means what? Building wind turbines?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R20	R20-266	Natural environment	[Protection of valued landscapes] Valued by whom? Residents? SDC? Property developers? [Verges and hedges] Already ignored. The Lankett hedgerow destroyed by development. The Parish Council should stop cutting grass in the summer to encourage insect life, seeds and plants and biodiversity.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R20	R20-267	Caring for heritage assets	The whole village should be a conservation area.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R20	R20-268	Design and infrastructure	All very well on paper, but HS2 is a prime example of how government and SDC will ignore all of it. Our voices are already silenced.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R21	R21-269	Design and infrastructure	Fully agree with keeping the boundaries outside the BUAB. Nice idea to have one parking space per bedroom, but hard to believe that developers would agree to it.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R21	R21-270	Promoting road safety	Speed measures and education.	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R21	R21-271	Supporting Parish amenities	Agree. Developers should be made to contribute more. Developers should be made to pay for roads that are damaged by heavy vehicles involved in new builds. Younger people need more facilities.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R21	R21-272	Promoting recreational spaces	Important aspect of village life. Must keep the village hall, sports field, bowling green and allotments. New pavilion would be good.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R21	R21-273	Flood prevention	Essential as Fenny Compton is surrounded by hills and built on marshy land.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R21	R21-274	Environmental sustainability	Very important to look at renewable energy. Also essential to 'future proof'.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R21	R21-275	Promoting business and employment	Agree [it is] important to encourage local small businesses and provide opportunities for local people. [Home working] harder to enforce and probably elitist.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R21	R21-276	Promoting connectivity	Essential nowadays.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R21	R21-277	Natural environment	Fully agree with all of these points. This year [2020] has reinforced the value of nature and we are lucky to live in such a wonderful environment that should be protected.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R21	R21-278	Caring for heritage assets	Important to maintain some character although not limit innovation where appropriate.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R22	R22-279	Promoting road safety	Without speed bumps if possible.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R22	R22-280	Natural environment	Is the strip of connected land at present used by the school still being examined on a five-year rollover?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R23	R23-281	Design and infrastructure	Sensitive design but equally don't want to see a new development looking like a 'toy town'. New houses are new houses so some modern design statements would be good to see.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R23	R23-282	Promoting road safety	As well as speed measures, perhaps some double yellow lines are pinch points (corner of Church Street and Memorial Road for example). Appropriate street lighting. Pavements repaired appropriately if they need to be dug up for amenities.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R23	R23-283	Promoting recreational spaces	Can development income be used to improve recreational spaces at Abacus and School as well?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R23	R23-284	Environmental sustainability	Also encourage use of public transport. More bus links. Safe to be able to walk to school and work.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R24	R24-285	Design and infrastructure	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-286	Promoting road safety	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-287	Supporting Parish amenities	This money NOT to go back to the district council.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R24	R24-288	Promoting recreational spaces	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-289	Flood prevention	Imperative due to the position of the village.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-290	Environmental sustainability	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-291	Promoting business and employment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-292	Promoting connectivity	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-293	Natural environment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R24	R24-294	Caring for heritage assets	There are Roman remains in field where building is proposed. These to be preserved.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R25	R25-295	Promoting road safety	Speeding an issue...cars and bikes. Paths not wide enough and uneven. Danger crossing road by Grants Close as no pavements. Perhaps Zebra Crossing.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R25	R25-296	Supporting Parish amenities	Re-structure sports centre to include the Bowls Club. This would free up an area for parking for school and residents. Move industrial unit from village centre to the edge of the village – possibly near the railway. This would free up a valuable area for development.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process

R26	R26-297	Design and infrastructure	Definitely agree with this policy	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R26	R26-298	Promoting road safety	We need a bypass – it could follow the LMS [Freight line to MOD Kineton]. The High Street is particularly dangerous due to parking and volume of traffic.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R26	R26-299	Supporting Parish amenities	We suggest a 'Padel' tennis court that would appeal to children and adults and is fun to play. In some countries this is replacing tennis. Cricket and football are dying out in villages because it is difficult to find enough players to form teams.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R27	R27-300	Design and infrastructure	It is really important to maintain the unique location and character of the village, particularly the conservation area and to protect the village from the destruction of the vistas of the Dassett Hills by housing development, which may ruin this.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R27	R27-301	Promoting road safety	The village is small and already traffic flow is a problem. Further housing development will impact this due to the village location. All houses will need cars for their occupants to travel to work, which will greatly increase the number of vehicles moving through the village. Traffic management is of vital important for safety.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R27	R27-302	Promoting recreational spaces	Al very important to those living in the village.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R27	R27-303	Flood prevention	This is vital as there is frequent flooding in the village.	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R27	R27-304	Natural environment	The natural environment of the village must be preserved and maintained.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R27	R27-305	Caring for heritage assets	This is fundamental to the character and unique features of this village.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R28	R28-306	Design and infrastructure	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R28	R28-307	Promoting road safety	1. Include the need for lower speed limit from the Wharf Inn into the village.2. Consider making the High Street one-way outside the school.3. Can the Co-op encourage shoppers to park in the small car park behind the store to reduce the chaos caused by shoppers parking on the High Street?4. Could the road under the railway bridge be a single lane with one direction having priority? This would allow room for the creation of a safe (railed-off) pedestrian walkway under the bridge.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R28	R28-308	Supporting Parish amenities	Ensure S106 agreements are obtained in full.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R28	R28-309	Promoting recreational spaces	Invest in multi-functional sports pavilion to encourage the development of more village sports.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process

R28	R28-310	Flood prevention	Need to ensure that developers have a full understanding of the village flood risks and ensure these are taken into account when developers put forward their flood risk strategy for their new development (e.g. the exacerbation of flooding by the surgery since the new housing development nearby [Ridge Way]). Flood risk areas by new developments will impact the development and adjacent roads.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R28	R28-311	Environmental sustainability	[Domestic energy production] How realistic is this?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R28	R28-312	Natural environment	Agree with all of this. Particularly important to preserve the school sports field and the village park/playing field/	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R28	R28-313	Caring for heritage assets	Agree. [It's] important to conserve our village heritage.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-314	Design and infrastructure	We agree with this. As Compton Buildings fulfils our commitment to new housing, all additional development should be very small scale.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-315	Promoting road safety	Traffic through the village is already congested.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R29	R29-316	Supporting Parish amenities	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-317	Promoting recreational spaces	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R29	R29-318	Flood prevention	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-319	Environmental sustainability	[Domestic energy production] Great ambition!	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-320	Promoting business and employment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-321	Promoting connectivity	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-322	Natural environment	[Valued landscapes] This is important – so easy to lose.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R29	R29-323	Caring for heritage assets	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R3	R3 -136	Promoting recreational spaces	Longer-term, it would be desirable to move the village hall to a new location and in a new building with parking facilities	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R3	R3 -137	Natural environment	It would be good to have agreements with local farmers to allow wider access on foot to grassland used only for grazing – especially Gredenton [Hill?].	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R30	R30-324	Design and infrastructure	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R30	R30-325	Promoting road safety	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-326	Supporting Parish amenities	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-327	Promoting recreational spaces	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-328	Flood prevention	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-329	Environmental sustainability	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-330	Promoting business and employment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-331	Promoting connectivity	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-332	Natural environment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R30	R30-333	Caring for heritage assets	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R31	R31-334	Design and infrastructure	[Sensitive Building Design] Very thoughtful. [BUAB] Boundaries should be set. We don't want either field developed next to Compton Buildings. Green spaces are important.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R31	R31-335	Road safety	It would be helpful if residents used their parking spaces instead of the highway.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R31	R31-336	Natural environment	If money is available, some tree surgery in The Slade. Oak trees in some cases are choked by ivy. Please replace the Red Hawthorn at top of Dog Lane and two Cherries in Church Street.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R32	R32-337	Design and infrastructure	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-338	Promoting road safety	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-339	Supporting Parish amenities	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-340	Promoting recreational spaces	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-341	Flood prevention	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-342	Environmental sustainability	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-343	Promoting business and employment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R32	R32-344	Promoting connectivity	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-345	Natural environment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R32	R32-346	Caring for heritage assets	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R33	R33-347	Design and infrastructure	We moved to the village from Bishops Itchington, which we felt had become too big. We wouldn't want to see any large scale development that would spoil the feel of the village. If housing is to be built, surely the Compton Buildings site should be the first to be used? Not sure why it hasn't if permission has been granted?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R33	R33-348	Promoting road safety	We do feel traffic is too fast through the village. Maybe some enforcement is needed?	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R33	R33-349	Supporting Parish amenities	Agree, although larger developments should not be allowed if the developer is using larger [community] investment as a 'bribe' to get their developments passed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R33	R33-350	Promoting recreational spaces	Agree, although larger developments should not be allowed if the developer is using larger [community] investment as a 'bribe' to get their developments passed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R33	R33-351	Flood prevention	As a new resident, [I've noticed] there does seem to be a problem with standing water when it rains heavily.	
R33	R33-352	Environmental sustainability	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R33	R33-353	Promoting business and employment	Agree – if a small unit was available, I would consider moving my business from Banbury.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R33	R33-354	Promoting connectivity	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R33	R33-355	Natural environment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R33	R33-356	Caring for heritage assets	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R34	R34-357	Design and infrastructure	Local Hornton stone does help the new houses blend in well.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R34	R34-358	Promoting recreational spaces	There is very little for those [young people] above Dasset [Primary] school age. It's a shame to see teens having to gather in bus shelters and Church Porch.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R34	R34-359	Flood prevention	Given flooding at the surgery in early 2020, this needs to be well thought through and approval of Aqueous sought.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R34	R34-360	Environmental sustainability	Should there be a central charging point for existing residences which lack this facility?	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R35	R35-363	Design and infrastructure	<p>Whilst we can see the need for new housing and think that the development of the Compton buildings site is good, we are concerned about the increased traffic in an already congested village, particularly around the school and the Co-op. We applaud the idea of a parking space per bedroom to keep the roads in the new development from becoming impassable but this will not help when parents deliver their children to school, especially as walking from the Compton Buildings site is quite a distance. Nor will it help the area around the Co-op or doctors' surgery, both of which become difficult to navigate through at peak times.</p>	<p>This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process</p>
R36	R36-368	Promoting road safety	<p>Improvements to the current pavements, widths, condition and lighting are already required and should be considered and included in development plans, for the extra footfall additional houses have already bought and that further houses will add. Speed restrictions and enforcement is needed already with many people ignoring the 20mph limits and the 30mph limits at the boundary of the village.</p>	<p>This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process</p>
R36	R36-369	Promoting recreational spaces	<p>Income from developments should be firstly used to maintain and restore the current assets of the village. The general consensus should then be sort for the spending of additional funds on new items from the village.</p>	<p>Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update</p>

R36	R36-370	Flood prevention	Any requirements for water management should be included into the developments and then delivered. Ridge Way had significant water/flood preventative measures included in the development plans, however the costs for this was paid to the council without the works being carried out. All water management should also be natural means of drainage, the use of pumps and storage vessels do not contribute a long-term solution to the flooding of Fenny Compton. Additional resource should be put into downstream management of the water system also.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R36	R36-371	Environmental sustainability	All new dwelling should have the option to have a charger fitted during build, but not as standard. Any renewable sources should be out of main view and not detract from the local views.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R36	R36-372	Promoting business and employment	Current commercial space is unused, what further development would be needed. The homes on the Fenny Building site are more than likely to be for commuters to Banbury or similar.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R37	R37-376	Design and infrastructure	Agree with principle stated. Small scale developments using materials particularly Horton stone sympathetic to the village. Observing large scale developments being built adjacent nearby villages demonstrates how developers fail on this. Other than the site at Compton Buildings which even though we understand is under control of a public body and nothing is being built and there are no other brown sites available in the village.	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R37	R37-377	Promoting road safety	<p>Inevitable more houses equals more vehicles and at times the village is a bottleneck. This can only be to the detriment of existing residents and apart from a bypass the village will be a rat run. Speed limit should be reduced on Wharf Road to maximum 40 mph with the road markers that warn drivers they are approaching a 30mph limit. At the moment its 60 into a 30 folloed by a bend. Single lane priority marking required under railway bridge with white lines or kerbs to create safe pedestrian passage. More pedestrians will use this road from the new Compton Building site houses to walk to the Wharf Pub and access the canal. Speed reduction measures required to enforce 20mph limit. Use S106 money to enhance street lighting. Particular danger are the motorbikes and also cars which in the summer use the village roads as part of a TT type race track.</p>	<p>This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process</p>
-----	---------	-----------------------	---	---

R37	R37-378	Supporting Parish amenities	<p>Very important policy but often S106 money does not benefit those affected by housing development. Other comment relative to S106 money - Pavements all round village are cracked and in poor condition. In the early stages of the housing development on Ridge Way lorries parked on the High Street pavements causing damage which is still visible. The developer only repaired a small areas of the pavement. All the pavements, road gullies, drains need some maintenance and cleaning. Other comment relative to S106 money - Pavements all round village are cracked and in poor condition. In the early stages of the housing development on Ridge Way lorries parked on the High Street pavements causing damage which is still visible. The developer only repaired a small areas of the pavement. All the pavements, road gullies, drains need some maintenance and cleaning.</p>	<p>This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process</p>
R37	R37-379	Promoting recreational spaces	<p>Suggest include improvements to the children's play area near Thompsons Field. Village has an extensive and well-maintained network of public footpaths. However, in prolonged wet weather there is limited scope for safe walking on a hard surface, The Slade is one option. S106 money could be used to create gravel surfaced footpaths around village subject to landowners agreeing and also gravel paths adjacent the canal. A footpath up to the Country Park would be popular. Also, more pavements adjacent road to extend wet weather walking options.</p>	<p>This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process</p>
R37	R37-380	Flood prevention	<p>Planning applications for new housing development will include proposals to deal with surface water such as permeable paving, balance ponds, swales etc but are they effective?. The water still eventually has to go somewhere.</p>	<p>Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update</p>

R37	R37-381	Environmental sustainability	Suggest village is too compact to encourage local wind generation due to noise which is a shame because there is significant wind kWh available on the country park. Sadly, the demise of government grants reduced the benefits of solar panels to individuals.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R37	R37-382	Promoting business and employment	Original planning application for Compton Buildings site included small industrial start up type units which were removed on a subsequent application for more housing. At a meeting in the village hall a representative for the development when asked about this was not aware of the business units on Wharf Road and hence the value to the village for employment. More houses without local employment options equals more car travel and pollution.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R37	R37-383	Promoting connectivity	Agree as long as the wider network infrastructure is improved to cope.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R37	R37-384	Natural environment	Agree but development will always be in conflict with the environment.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R37	R37-385	Caring for heritage assets	Agree but development will always be in conflict with the environment.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4 -138	Design and infrastructure	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4 -139	Promoting road safety	Agree – but no speed humps!	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4 -140	Supporting Parish amenities	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R4	R4 -141	Promoting recreational spaces	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4 -142	Flood prevention	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4 -143	Environmental sustainability	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4 -144	Promoting business and employment	Agree. A Fish & Chip shop would be a great addition to the village!	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4 -145	Promoting connectivity	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4-146	Natural environment	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R4	R4-147	Caring for heritage assets	Agree	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R5	R5 -148	Design and infrastructure	These principles are vital to help maintain the village character and its charm and appeal	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R5	R5 -149	Promoting road safety	Road safety, traffic management and road capacity of the village as a whole should become a consideration for any new development.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process

R5	R5 -150	Supporting Parish amenities	New development should not be allowed to compromise existing facilities. They should be regarded as more important and any new development.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R5	R5 -151	Promoting recreational spaces	I agree.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R5	R5 -152	Flood prevention	Flood prevention is vitally important. New development must ensure no increase in flood risk to existing property in the whole village – not just in the vicinity of the new development.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R5	R5 -153	Environmental sustainability	Renewable energy is the future and a must for new development. Cost should not be a reason for not including it in new buildings.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R5	R5 -154	Promoting business and employment	Employment in the village is important for the local community.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R5	R5 -155	Promoting connectivity	Internet connection is essential.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R5	R5 -156	Natural environment	Wildlife, flora and fauna are what help to make and maintain the village's natural environment. These aspects must be improved and maintained.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R5	R5 -157	Caring for heritage assets	[Heritage assets] help to make and maintain the village's natural environment. These aspects must be improved and maintained.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R6	R6 -158	Design and infrastructure	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R6	R6 -159	Promoting road safety	Should build relief road between Doctor's surgery and Manor Court to take through traffic away from the village centre or similar.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R6	R6 -160	Supporting Parish amenities	Agreed. Assets may need to be enlarged.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R6	R6 -161	Promoting recreational spaces	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R6	R6 -162	Flood prevention	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R6	R6 -163	Environmental sustainability	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R6	R6 -164	Promoting business and employment	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R6	R6 -165	Promoting connectivity	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R6	R6 -166	Natural environment	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R6	R6 -167	Caring for heritage assets	Development should be on the North East side of the village [BUAB], leaving the old village largely unchanged.	Comment is one of support - the Compton Buildings development is in the NE as the comment highlights. No update required

R7	R7 -168	Design and infrastructure	I agree that any future development should be small-scale and sustainable. Clearly, the Compton Buildings site is large-scale and meet much of the village's housing needs.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R7	R7 -169	Promoting road safety	The pinch point is – and will remain – outside the Co-op.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R7	R7 -170	Supporting Parish amenities	The village playing field is an excellent asset and needs a new pavilion. The village hall is also well-used and could be upgraded.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R7	R7 -171	Promoting recreational spaces	Improving existing facilities would be an excellent idea. And maybe provide a teenage hang-out area or shed.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R7	R7 -172	Flood prevention	Flooding will always be a problem – and too much development will make it worse.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R7	R7 -173	Environmental sustainability	Electric vehicles charging is coming and new properties will need it.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R7	R7 -174	Promoting business and employment	Encouraging sustainable and local commercial activities would be beneficial for the village.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update

R7	R7 -175	Promoting connectivity	The connectivity is not that bad in my opinion, but I can't see it improving rapidly.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R7	R7 -176	Natural environment	This will need to be in conjunction with local farmers and landowners. Green spaces, footpaths and diversity are very important.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R7	R7 -177	Caring for heritage assets	Should be done in conjunction with national bodies.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R8	R8-178	Design and infrastructure	Local character must be adhered to. Use of Compton Buildings site should be more than sufficient.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R8	R8-179	Promoting road safety	Bypass badly needed. I suggest using camp railway [freight line to MOD Kineton] or alongside.	This issue cannot be tackled by an NDP instead it will be referred to the parish council for review outside of the NDP process
R8	R8-180	Flood prevention	Very important as streams from hills are in abundance.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
R9	R9-181	Design and infrastructure	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-182	Promoting road safety	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required

R9	R9-183	Supporting Parish amenities	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-184	Promoting recreational spaces	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-185	Flood prevention	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-186	Environmental sustainability	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-187	Promoting business and employment	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-188	Promoting connectivity	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-189	Natural environment	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
R9	R9-190	Caring for heritage assets	Agreed.	Comment is one of support so no update is required
SDC	SDC-10	Executive Summary, para 2	There should be an acknowledgement about the District Council being required to identify reserve housing sites in accordance with Core Strategy Policy CS.15 and that Fenny Compton is covered by this provision. Derek has a list of owners and their land	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-100	Habitats Map	This should be given a Figure number.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-101	Policy: Sustainable development	Add Policy number, i.e. AD2.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-102	Policy: Appropriate Traffic Management Measures	Add policy number i.e. RS.1.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-103	Policy: Protection of Village Community Assets	Add Policy number: i.e. PA.1.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-104	Policy: Development of New Community Facilities	Add Policy number: i.e. PA.2.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-105	Policy: Protecting Village Recreational Assets	Add Policy number: i.e. RA.1.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-106	Policy: Development of New Recreational Activities	Add Policy number: i.e. RA.2.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-107	Policy: Ensuring Development Manages Flood Risk	Add Policy number: i.e. FP.1.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-108	Policy: Ensuring Development Manages Flood Risk	Remove sub-heading (as well as removing number 7.1.1) as they are not necessary.	Group agreed to retain paragraph numbers
SDC	SDC-109	Policy: Domestic Energy Production	Add policy number, i.e. ES.1.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-11	Executive Summary	Paragraph commencing “Fenny Compton Built-up Area Boundary” isn’t entirely accurate in that it does not comply with the provisions of Core Strategy Policy AS.10 re: development possible in the countryside. Discuss	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-110	Policy: Electric Vehicle Charging	Add policy number, i.e. ES.2.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-111	Key Principle	Amend title to read: ‘Promoting Business and Employment’ to match contents page listing.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-112	Policy: Home working	Add policy number, i.e. BE.3.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-113	Policy: High Speed Broadband	Add policy number, i.e. PC.1. Amend policy title to read ‘High-Speed Broadband’.	Number added but existing policy title retained
SDC	SDC-114	Policy: Protection of Valued Landscapes	Add policy number, i.e. NE.1.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-115	Map	This map requires a Figure number. The viewpoints need to be numbered and listed in the associated policy.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-116	Policy: Local Green Spaces	Add policy number, i.e. NE.2.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-117	Policy: Wildlife	Amend title to read ‘Wildlife’ Conserving the Natural Environment’. Add policy number, i.e. NE.4.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-118	Policy: Conserving or Enhancing the Historic Environment	Add policy number, i.e. HE.1.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-12	Executive Summary	Delete point 2 of 'The Main Findings' Fenny Compton's strategic vision is underpinned by the views of residents revealed in the Parish's survey carried out in 2018'. This repeats the final paragraph listed prior to The Main Findings; re-number all subsequent points, accordingly. Editing	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-13	Key Principles	Remove ES.1.2 at the top of the page as it is not necessary. The first paragraph refers to 9 key principles, but 10 are listed in the table. Editing	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-14	Key Principle 1	Delete 'In harmony and at an appropriate scale to the existing village and the wider landscape' and replace with 'Ensure new development compliments the existing village and the wider landscape'.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-15	Key Principle 1, Sensitive Building Design	This refers to a VDS but this has not been included as an Appendix. This should be an Appendix to the NDP if it is being referred to here. Delete paragraph 'Using the existing Village Design Statement paying attention to local styles; setting out points on materials; the location of development sites and the size of buildings and developments' and replace with 'Using the VDS to understand the local vernacular and appropriate building materials; the location and scale of development sites and the size of buildings'. Editing	The VDS is contained within the 2008 Parish Plan. That document is referenced in the Appendix
SDC	SDC-16	Key Principle 1, Sustainable development	Delete 'after the Compton Buildings site is built out' as this is an appropriate 'limiting factor' and barrier to other sustainable, appropriate development. In relation to parking, it is suggested that the NDP aligns with parking standards as set out in Part O of the Development Requirements SPD. Editing	Updated in line with the comment with the exception of the parking reference which the steering group chose not to action

SDC	SDC-17	Key Principle 1, Sustainable development	It should be acknowledged that any reserve housing sites identified in the Site Allocations Plan will be outside of the BUAB. Editing	Added to 1.6 BUAB section instead.
SDC	SDC-18	Key Principle 2, Traffic Management Measures	Delete 'reduce vehicular impact through speed measures'. This cannot be controlled through 'land-use' planning policy. Editing	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-19	Key Principle 3, Protection of Village Community Assets	Delete 'new facilities to be provided if an existing one is compromised by an acceptable development'. Replace with equivalent replacement facilities to be provided if an existing facility is lost to new development. Editing	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-2	Public Sector Equality Duty	It would be useful for the NDP to include a reference, in general terms, to the regard that has been had to the statutory Public Sector Equality Duty when drafting the NDP. See attached	Added to Appendix.
SDC	SDC-20	Key Principle 4, Policies box	Reconsider the wording as follows: "Protecting Village Recreational Assets: use of income from new developments (S106 agreements) invested in community facilities; not supporting support the loss or partial loss retention of existing recreational spaces and facilities unless replaced; supporting support proposals that enhance and improve existing facilities including: village hall, bowls club, sports pavilion and field, allotments. Editing	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-21	Key Principle 4	This doesn't refer to Policy 'Development of New Recreational Facilities'? Discuss	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-22	Key Principle 5, Flood Prevention	Add 'Where necessary' to the end of the final sentence in the 'Policy' box to recognise that such a proposal may not be appropriate in all cases. Editing	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-23	Key Principle 6, Environmental Sustainability	The following sentence is setting a very high bar “new developments and building conversions designed to be self-sufficient in power production from renewable sources”. It is suggested referring to the recently adopted Climate Change SPD. Development Requirements SPD Stratford-on-Avon District Council. However, this could be included in an ‘Aspirations’ section which could be attached as an annexe to the NDP but it should be made clear that this specific section would not become part of the Statutory Development Plan. Discuss/editing	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-24	Key Principle 8, Promoting Connectivity	In the Policy box (first title), delete ‘homes’ and replace with ‘broadband’. Delete the second policy as it is not appropriate to insist upon this given it includes highway land outside the influence of the individual landowners. Delete : ‘Connected infrastructure: all new dwellings and commercial premises should provide high speed connectivity from building to road side’.	Steering group chose to replace 'roadside' with 'boundary' to sidestep the issue with highway land. SG chose not to replace 'homes' with 'broadband' in the title
SDC	SDC-25	Key Principle 9, Natural Environment	Remove mention of the school sports field for LGS designation as it is suggested adding additional sites for LGS designation in the NDP.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-26	Key Principle 9, Natural Environment	Replace fourth policy title ‘Wildlife’ with ‘Conserving the Natural Environment’; amend third point to read ‘wildlife habitats and landscape features are maintained’; remove the point relating to Parish owned trees, since this cannot be controlled through policy as trees can be felled without consent unless TPO’d delete ‘existing Parish owned trees preserved and managed’. The final point to make is to delete ‘through regular glazing’ as this is not a planning policy matter.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-27	Key Principle 10, Caring for Heritage Assets	Second point – delete ‘any heritage asset’ and replace with ‘the significance of any heritage asset or its setting’.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-28	Development Outcomes, Fourth paragraph	Fourth paragraph. This is not drafted as per the Core Strategy (see para 1.2.5 of the CS for more appropriate wording. The housing figures are not targets for LSV’s, as suggested in the NDP.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-29	Development Outcomes, Fifth paragraph	Delete : ‘Subsequent to the Core Strategy, Stratford-on-Avon District Council has proposed additional ‘reserved sites’ for development. While these are not covered by this Neighbourhood Plan, the Parish Council has represented the views of the local community by formally objecting to all proposed reserved sites within Fenny Compton Parish’. It is not appropriate to include statements referring to the community objecting to potential reserve housing sites in the Development Plan.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-3	Consolidated policies/proposals map	It would be useful for the NDP to incorporate a single, consolidated policies and proposals map. Currently, there are several important and in some cases duplicated pieces of information spread across several different plans. This frustrates ease of understanding and use of the NDP as a whole. It would be preferable if all policy-related content could be displayed in a single plan (possibly supported by an inset map for Fenny Compton village) to an appropriate scale or scales. Editing	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-30	Section 1.2, para 1.2.2	Amend to read 'After two formal stages of public consultation, the adoption process requires some validation by through an independent examiner Examination of the NDP and a Parish referendum in the Neighbourhood Plan Area. Remove the word 'live' on the fourth line and add inverted commas around 'made'.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-31	Section 1.2, para 1.2.8	The first sentence states that the NDP has been developed to accommodate a substantial increase in housing. However, the Plan does not allocate any housing sites or reserve housing sites and on p.10 of the Plan confirms the community's wish to object to potential housing sites in the SAP. As such, this sentence is inaccurate and should be deleted.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-32	Section 1.3, para 1.3.5	Clarification on what is 'large-scale' industrial development is required. There is an industrial estate/business park off Wharf Road to the north of the railway line but it is not listed, however it is not clear why (as it is mentioned at para 1.5.6 on p 16)? The sentence beginning 'Views towards the hills of' is a separate point to industry and should have its own separate paragraph.	Paragraphs split. Referenced Compton Buildings to give definition of large-scale.
SDC	SDC-33	Section 1.5, para 1.5.2	Delete 'services' and replace with 'amenities' or 'facilities'. For point 9, add 'and recreation ground' for completeness.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-34	Section 1.5 'Fenny Compton Today'.	This section is on page 14 and not page 16. However, subject to possible changes to the NDP following the Reg.14 consultation, a number of other page numbers may need amending.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-35	Section 1.6, para 1.6.1	This is not an accurate description of a BUAB. Please see para 4.1.4 of the Preferred Option version of the SAP for a more accurate description.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-36	Section 1.6, para 1.6.2	Delete 'The map below outlines the built-up area boundary (BUAB) of the village in Fenny Compton published by SDC'. Replace with 'The map at Figure ? outlines the built-up area boundary of the village of Fenny Compton'.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-37	Section 1.6, para 1.6.2	Para 1.6.2 indicates the BUAB map outlines the BUAB published by SDC but this is not the case. There are several differences between the NDP version and the Preferred Option SAP version maps. If the Parish Council wish to reflect the SDC version, this maps needs replacing with the latest SAP version map.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-38	Section 1.6, para 1.6.3	Delete 'The BUAB is defined by SDC to incorporate the existing settlement and the development of the Compton Buildings Site'. Replace with: The BUAB has been defined to incorporate the existing settlement and the Compton Buildings site to the North East of the village	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-39	Section 1.7	Delete references to the Countryside Commission/WCC and Ecology Report below para 1.7.3 since they are already listed as footnotes on this page.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-4	Omission policy – reserve housing sites	The Plan appears to conflate site allocations (of which there aren't any proposed in the Fenny Compton NDP) with reserve sites. There is a missed opportunity to identify local needs/requirements in the NDP and it is suggested that contact is made with the Housing Team to discuss this further. Derek has a list of owners and their land	Updated by adding text stating that Compton Buildings meets Housing Needs survey as referred to in Appendix.

SDC	SDC-40	BUAB map	The BUAB map should only have the BUAB displayed. The map on p.18 is trying to indicate three subjects, namely: Heritage Assets; Local Green Spaces; the BUAB. It is suggested that there is a separate map for each of these subject matters. It makes it much easier to view and interpret the individual subjects/assets, particularly when there are over-lapping lines for different subjects/assets.	Maps changed
SDC	SDC-41	Section 2.2, Key Principles	This duplicates the section at p7-9. Is this necessary? Suggest that this section is deleted.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-42	Section 2.3, para 2.3.2	How would development be assessed to be appropriate to the history and rural environment? Should this reference the VDS (if it is a document to be utilised for assessing the suitability of planning applications)?	New sentence added. Amended to reference Village Design Statement
SDC	SDC-43	Policy : Sensitive building design	Delete 3.1 and remove paragraph numbers and paragraph sub-headings in the Policy itself, as they are all unnecessary. This relates to every policy in the NDP. The Policies should still be numbered but as AD.1 for example. See Claverdon NDP as a good example. Claverdon 'made' Neighbourhood Plan (stratford.gov.uk).	Steering group reviewed the existing approach and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-44	Policy: Sensitive building design	First paragraph. There is a concern that the wording is too vague and doesn't actually refer to buildings. It also fails to refer to, or take account of, building work that could be carried out under permitted development rights. It is also looking to tackle two separate issues. The matter relating to 'key vistas' should be a separate policy altogether in a different section of the NDP. The policy does not identify any locations where development is considered acceptable or unacceptable.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-45	Policy: Sensitive Building Design	See Policy BE.1 in the 'made' Ettington NDP for an example of a good policy relating to 'responding to local character' to improve wording and focus of the policy.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-46	Policy: Sustainable development	The three separate paragraphs are actually three separate policies. The policies relating to parking and sustainability should be removed and added elsewhere in the NDP, where appropriate. In relation to parking, it is suggested that the NDP aligns with parking standards as set out in Part O of the Development Requirements SPD.	Updated the wording suggested by SDC. Separated out the other paragraphs as directed.
SDC	SDC-47	Policy: Sustainable development	The first paragraph can remain. However, in terms of development that can take place within the countryside, the list in the policy is not accurate. The exceptions should be in accordance with Core Strategy Policy AS.10.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-48	Policy: Sustainable development	The first paragraph makes reference to 'Rural Exception Sites'. However, it is unclear whether this is intended as a reference to community-led 'Local Need' schemes. Clarification would be helpful.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-49	Policy: Sustainable development	Second paragraph. Suggest moving this text to the Design/Infrastructure section of the NDP. Look at Policy IN.1 in the 'made' Ettington NDP for alternative wording to consider for this policy.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-5	Village Design statement	If the Parish Council wishes to refer to a Village Design Statement in their design policies, this document will need to be included as an appendix to the Plan.Editing	Contained in Parish Plan, which is in appendix. Text amended to make clear.
SDC	SDC-50	Policy: Sustainable development	Third paragraph. Move to Design/Infrastructure section of the NDP. See Policy IN.2 in the 'made' Ettington NDP for alternative wording to consider for this policy re: 'building for life' standards.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-51	Explanation	Paragraph 3.3.3. It is unclear how this objective is to be realised. There should be an acknowledgement that the District Council is having to identify reserve housing sites in its Site Allocations Plan in accordance with Core Strategy Policy CS.15 and that Fenny Compton is affected by this.	Re-worded. This is an explanation not a policy.
SDC	SDC-52	BUAB map	This is a duplication of the map on page 18. Depending upon how the maps for the different topics/assets/policies are going to be distributed throughout the NDP, this map will need to be either deleted (if a duplication for the BUAB) or replaced with a map relating to a different subject matter.	Deleted slide and made reference in supporting documents on previous slide.
SDC	SDC-53	Policy: Appropriate Traffic Management Measures	First paragraph. It is not clear how this can be implemented or measured.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-54	Policy: Appropriate Traffic Management Measures	Delete second paragraph. 4.1.2 Where necessary, development would be supported with appropriate traffic management measures that improve road safety, for example, by reducing the impact of vehicular traffic through speed management. It is not appropriate to include traffic management measures in land-use policies. Such matters are the responsibility of either the County Highways Authority, or the Police, outside the remit of the planning regime. However, this could be included in an 'Aspirations' section which could be attached as an annexe to the NDP but it should be made clear that this specific section would not become part of the Statutory Development Plan.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-55	Congestion	There is a large gap after the heading 'congestion' and it would be useful to clarify whether any text is missing from this section.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-56	Policy: Protection of Village Community Assets	The list of community facilities at paragraph 3 is different to the list set out in para 1.5.2 on p.14 of the Plan and it is not clear why.	Lists reviewed and updated in line with the evolving facilities in the parish
SDC	SDC-57	Map	Should this list 9 facilities, rather than 6? The map should have a heading and figure number.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-58	Policy: Development of New Community Facilities	It is suggested that the first sentence ends with "occupiers of neighbouring properties" to make it clear who is being protected.	Steering group chose not to action.
SDC	SDC-59	Explanation, para 5.3.6	It is assumed that this relates to both S106 and CIL income streams. This paragraph may benefit from redrafting to improve clarity.	Rewritten to include both income streams.
SDC	SDC-6	Contents page	As presented, the contents page is very busy and would benefit from it being split up into sections with sub-headings (Introduction; Policies; List of Maps/Figures; Appendices etc). See Claverdon NDP as a good example of this practice. Claverdon 'made' Neighbourhood Plan (stratford.gov.uk). Editing	Removed non-policy sub-headings and spaced out sections.
SDC	SDC-60	Policy: Protecting Village Recreational Assets	It is suggested adding 'in a suitable location' to the end of the first paragraph to ensure any replacement facility or asset would meet the community's requirements and is situated in an appropriate location.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-61	Policy: Protecting Village Recreational Assets	Second paragraph. Delete text after first sentence as follows: 'These recreational spaces and facilities listed below and shown on the map are to be protected: 1. Children's play area 2. Bowls Club. It is considered that the 4 recreational spaces listed could be suitable candidates for Local Green Space designation as well as the school playing field. These 4 sites should then be added to the policy/map relating to LGS designation. There would be no need to duplicate the protection of these assets in different parts of the Plan.	Update made to clarify that other sites are owned by FCPC and do not require LGS designation.
SDC	SDC-62	Policy: Protecting Village Recreational Assets	It is not clear from google maps where the children's play area is (listed adjacent to the fire station as 'site 1' on the map on p.36 of the NDP).	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-63	Map of Recreational Assets	Delete if agree with the above point regarding the potential additional Local Green Space designations, as they will be added to the LGS map.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-64	Policy: Development of New Recreational Activities	It is suggested adding 'occupiers of neighbouring properties' to the end of the first sentence, to make it clear who is being protected.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-65	Flood Risk Map	This should have a proper heading and a Figure Number. The map isn't of particularly good quality and it is not possible to pick out the detail on the legend at all. The map should be replaced with one of much sharper quality, with a legend that can be read and interpreted (i.e. include explanatory text for the figures against each of the coloured tiles so people understand what they are looking at).	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-66	Policy: Domestic Energy Production	Re-draft the beginning of the first sentence to read: 'New Developments Development proposals and building conversions will be designed to be'	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-67	Policy: Domestic Energy Production	See Policy NE.6 of the 'made' Snitterfield NDP or policy CLW.9 of the 'made' Stratford Town NDP for alternative wording for such a policy.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-68	Policy: Minor Commercial Developments	1,000m2 is quite big and is almost the size of an Aldi store and so it is suggested that the definition of 'minor' is reconsidered.	Changed to 100 sqm
SDC	SDC-69	Policy: Minor Commercial Developments	It is suggested splitting this into two policies for a) Protecting and supporting existing employment sites, and b) promoting appropriate new employment sites. See policies LE.1 and LE.2 of the 'made' Ettington NDP for appropriate titles and wording for both policies. Add policy no's. 'BE.1' and 'BE.2' to the new policies.	BU1 split into two. Home working becomes BU3.
SDC	SDC-7	Contents page	The list of Maps/Figures is missing from the contents page, altogether. These should be added. This will require each of the Maps/Figures listed within the NDP to be given a reference number, too. Editing	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-70	Policy: High Speed Broadband	It is suggested looking at the policy wording of policy E.4 of the 'made' Claverdon NDP for appropriate wording for such a policy. Claverdon 'made' Neighbourhood Plan (stratford.gov.uk).	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-71	Policy: Connected Infrastructure	Delete this policy. New dwelling and commercial units in the neighbourhood should provide high speed connectivity (e.g. fibre optic cabling) from the property to the roadside. This would be across highway land and would be the responsibility of WCC as County Highways Authority.	Changed the policy to say 'boundary' to sidestep the comment about highways. High-speed connectivity in rural areas is too important to delete!

SDC	SDC-72	Policy: Protection of Valued Landscapes	Re-word first paragraph. Development proposals should demonstrate how they have regard are appropriate to, and integrate with the character of the surrounding landscape and protect the key views identified in Figures ? and ? on pages 52 and 53 of the Plan setting while conserving, and where appropriate, enhancing the character of the landscape.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-73	Policy: Protection of Valued Landscapes	Delete second paragraph as it relates to more than just landscape character, is too vague as drafted, and is unnecessary. Delete: Development proposals should ensure that all valued landscapes are maintained and safeguarded, particularly where they relate to heritage assets, rising land, village approaches and settlement boundaries.	This has been actioned.
SDC	SDC-74	Map	This map requires a Figure number. What are the different colours denoting for the different views? The views need to be numbered and listed in the associated policy. Could the maps on p.52 and p.53 be integrated?	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-75	Policy: Local Green Spaces	Amend first paragraph to read: 'This Plan designates the school playing fields as a following areas of Local Green Space (LGS) as defined on Figure ?, where development will not be supported, other than in very special circumstances where public benefit would outweigh the harm to the LGS'. This is shaded green and denoted LGS 1 on the map below.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-76	Policy: Local Green Spaces	Need key for maps. Ask about amalgamation of maps Change this Do this 1. School playing field 2. Bowls Club 3. Village recreation ground 4. Allotments 5. Children's Play Area (subject to confirmation of its existence/correct location – see comment on map on p.36 of the NDP.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-77	Policy: Local Green Spaces	The re-worded first paragraph is sufficient. Delete second paragraph as follows: Development that would harm the openness or special character of a LGS or its significance and value to the local community will not be supported unless there are very special circumstances that outweigh the harm to the LGS.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-78	Map	If this is to be the LGS map, it will need to be amended to remove the BUAB, Conservation Area and Listed Buildings. It will need to have an appropriate Title and Figure Number. The other areas of Local Green Space (listed above) will need to be added to the map.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-79	Policy: Verges and Hedges	Amend title to read 'Verges Trees and Hedges' as verges are part of the Highway and under the jurisdiction of WCC and it is not appropriate to include verges in a policy. Add policy no, i.e. NE.3.	Added Trees. This comment is incorrect. Verges in the village are not all in the jurisdiction of WCC. E.g. Slade Green verges are owned by the Parish Council. Grants Close verges are owned by Orbit Group.
SDC	SDC-8	Contents page	It is suggested that each policy should be given a unique policy number, as well as a title – it makes it easier to refer to in correspondence/reports etc. Editing	Policy codes based on title with allowances for duplication and connotation.

SDC	SDC-80	Policy: Verges and Hedges	Delete as drafted and replace with wording as per policy NE.3 of the 'made' Stratford Town NDP which is appropriate for such protection.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-81	Policy: Wildlife	<p>Delete paragraphs three and four as they are not planning matters that can be covered through land-- use policies.</p> <p>Delete:</p> <p>The existing stock of Parish Council – owned trees should be preserved and managed wherever possible, and the planting of additional trees in the village should be encouraged.</p> <p>The diversity of unimproved and semi--improved grassland on steep hillsides should be conserved through regular grazing.</p> <p>In terms of the policy see policy NE.5 of the 'made' Claverdon NDP for a suitable alternative. Claverdon 'made' Neighbourhood Plan (stratford.gov.uk).</p>	Replaced paras with policy NE4 text
SDC	SDC-82	Policy: Wildlife	The fifth paragraph of the 'Wildlife' policy relates to a separate issue and should be a stand alone policy. Remove this paragraph from Policy NE.4. Delete Future development proposals should avoid adverse impacts on Local Wildlife Sites and potential Local Wildlife sites highlighted in the map on page 20.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-83	Policy: Local Wildlife Sites	Create a new policy titled 'Local Wildlife Sites' with policy wording as follows: Proposals which would adversely affect the environmental quality of Local Wildlife Sites and Potential Wildlife Sites within the designated Neighbourhood Area as highlighted at Figure ? of this Plan, will not be supported'.	This contradicts and earlier comments based on the Exec Summary wording.

SDC	SDC-84	Policy: Conserving or Enhancing the Historic Environment	See policy BE.2 of the 'made' Claverdon NDP for appropriate alternative wording for such a policy. Claverdon 'made' Neighbourhood Plan (stratford.gov.uk).	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-85	Front page	The Plan should state the Plan Period as per all other NDP's (i.e. 2011-2031) on the front page.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-86	Explanatory text	Where reference is made to surveys it may be helpful to cross reference them to the 'supporting documents' text by way of footnote.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-87	Explanatory text	Check the font size as some of the headings are different sizes.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-88	Explanatory text	The explanatory text should directly follow each policy and the photos and maps could follow the explanatory text.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-89	Executive Summary	The wording of policy 3.2.1 (Future housing) should also be reflected in the Executive Summary for consistency.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-9	Executive Summary	Remove ES.1 and ES.11 as they are unnecessary. Remove map of the Parish, as it is also listed at p.13 of the document and isn't required twice. It makes more sense for the Parish map to remain at section 1.3. Editing	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-90	Key Principle 4, Policies box	The 'Policy Box' is a different colour to all the other policy boxes (i.e. the writing is white, not black etc).	This has been designed to stand out from other text.
SDC	SDC-91	Key Principle 9	Delete 'verges' and replace with 'Trees' in third policy title.	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
SDC	SDC-92	Development Outcomes	Remove ES.1.3 as it is unnecessary.	Updated in line with the comment

SDC	SDC-93	Development Outcomes, Third paragraph	Third paragraph. Add 'already approved' after 'build 100 homes'.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-94	Para 1.2.9	Delete the word 'advice' as it is not required.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-95	BUAB map	The BUAB will need to be given a Figure number.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-96	BUAB map	The BUAB should fill the entire page to make it as clear as possible.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-97	Para 1.7.10	Should state 'as shown on Figure?' so the map and text tie in.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-98	Para 1.7.10	Insert line space between para's 1.7.10 and 1.7.11.	Updated in line with the comment
SDC	SDC-99	Map of Wildlife Sites	This should be given a Figure number.	Updated in line with the comment

Severn Trent	Severn Trent-364	Design and infrastructure	<p>Sustainable development – Severn Trent is supportive of subsection 3.2.3 however we would encourage you to include within your Sustainable development section that new developments are constructed using water efficient fittings and appliances. New development will result in a need for an increase in the amount of water to be supplied across the Severn Trent region, and issues with the sustainability of some of our water sources are placing our supply resilience at risk. It is therefore vital that we reduce the amount of water used. We encourage you to include the following policy wording: ‘New Developments should demonstrate that they are water efficient, where possible incorporating innovative water efficiency and water re-use measures. Development proposals should demonstrate that the estimated consumption of wholesome water per dwelling is calculated in accordance with the methodology in the water efficiency calculator, should not exceed 110 litres/person/day.’</p> <p>Position Statement As a water company we have an obligation to provide water supplies and sewage treatment capacity for future development. It is important for us to work collaboratively with Local Planning Authorities to provide relevant assessments of the impacts of future developments. For outline proposals we are able to provide general comments. Once detailed developments and site specific locations are confirmed by local councils, we are able to provide more specific comments and modelling of the network if required. For most developments we do not foresee any particular issues. Where we consider there may be an issue we would discuss in further detail with the Local Planning Authority. We will complete any necessary improvements to provide additional capacity once we have sufficient confidence that a development will go ahead. We do this to avoid making investments on speculative developments</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
--------------	------------------	---------------------------	---	--

			<p>to minimise customer bills.Sewage StrategyOnce detailed plans are available and we have modelled the additional capacity, in areas where sufficient capacity is not currently available and we have sufficient confidence that developments will be built, we will complete necessary improvements to provide the capacity. We will ensure that our assets have no adverse effect on the environment and that we provide appropriate levels of treatment at each of our sewage treatment works.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

Severn Trent	Severn Trent-365	Flood prevention	<p>7.1 Policy: Ensuring Development Manages the Flood Risk –</p> <p>Severn Trent is supportive of this policy to reduce the impact of flooding from new development in particular the statements encouraging use of SuDS for managing surface water sustainably. We would encourage you to include further policy wording to ensure that new developers follow the drainage hierarchy to reduce the risk that new development would increase the risk of flooding from sewers. We encourage you to include the following policy wording: ‘All applications for new development shall demonstrate that all surface water discharges have been carried out in accordance with the principles laid out within the drainage hierarchy, in such that a discharge to the public sewerage systems are avoided, where possible.’</p> <p>Surface Water and Sewer Flooding We expect surface water to be managed in line with the Government’s Water Strategy, Future Water. The strategy sets out a vision for more effective management of surface water to deal with the dual pressures of climate change and housing development. Surface water needs to be managed sustainably. For new developments we would not expect surface water to be conveyed to our foul or combined sewage system and, where practicable, we support the removal of surface water already connected to foul or combined sewer. We believe that greater emphasis needs to be paid to consequences of extreme rainfall. In the past, even outside of the flood plain, some properties have been built in natural drainage paths. We request that developers providing sewers on new developments should safely accommodate floods which exceed the design capacity of the sewers. To encourage developers to consider sustainable drainage, Severn Trent currently offer a 100% discount on the sewerage infrastructure charge if there is no surface water connection and a 75% discount if there is a surface water connection via a</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
--------------	------------------	------------------	---	--

			<p>sustainable drainage system. More details can be found on our website</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

Severn Trent	Severn Trent-366	Natural environment	<p>11.3 Policy: Local Green Spaces – With regards to the provision and protection of green spaces we encourage you to include the following policy wording: ‘Development of flood resilience schemes within areas of local green spaces will be supported provided the schemes do not adversely impact the primary function of the green space’.</p> <p>11.5 Policy: Verges and Hedges - The retention of watercourses, ditches and land drainage are essential to facilitate sustainable drainage of surface water for new development and for future generations. It is recommended that watercourses are retained within open space to enable access for maintenance, preventing encroachment and improved biodiversity.</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
--------------	------------------	---------------------	---	--

Severn Trent	Severn Trent-367	Environmental sustainability	<p>Water Quality</p> <p>Water Quality</p> <p>Good quality river water and groundwater is vital for provision of good quality drinking water. We work closely with the Environment Agency and local farmers to ensure that water quality of supplies are not impacted by our or others operations. The Environment Agency's Source Protection Zone (SPZ) and Safe Guarding Zone policy should provide guidance on development. Any proposals should take into account the principles of the Water Framework Directive and River Basin Management Plan for the Severn River basin unit as prepared by the Environment Agency.</p> <p>Water Supply</p> <p>When specific detail of planned development location and sizes are available a site specific assessment of the capacity of our water supply network could be made. Any assessment will involve carrying out a network analysis exercise to investigate any potential impacts. We would not anticipate capacity problems within the urban areas of our network, any issues can be addressed through reinforcing our network. However, the ability to support significant development in the rural areas is likely to have a greater impact and require greater reinforcement to accommodate greater demands.</p> <p>Water Efficiency</p> <p>Part G of Building Regulations specify that new homes must consume no more than 125 litres of water per person per day. We recommend that you consider taking an approach of installing specifically designed water efficient fittings in all areas of the property rather than focus on the overall consumption of the property. This should help to achieve a lower overall consumption than the maximum volume specified in the Building Regulations. We recommend that in all cases you consider:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Single flush siphon toilet cistern and those with a flush volume of 4 litres. • Showers designed to operate efficiently and with a maximum flow rate of 8 litres per minute. • Hand wash basin taps with low flow rates of 4 litres 	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
--------------	------------------	------------------------------	---	--

or less. • Water butts for external use in properties with gardens. To further encourage developers to act sustainably Severn Trent currently offer a 100% discount on the clean water infrastructure charge if properties are built so consumption per person is 110 litres per person per day or less. More details can be found on our website <https://www.stwater.co.uk/building-and-developing/regulations-and-forms/application-forms-and-guidance/infrastructure-charges/> We would encourage you to impose the expectation on developers that properties are built to the optional requirement in Building Regulations of 110 litres of water per person per day. Water Supply When specific detail of planned development location and sizes are available a site specific assessment of the capacity of our water supply network could be made. Any assessment will involve carrying out a network analysis exercise to investigate any potential impacts. We would not anticipate capacity problems within the urban areas of our network, any issues can be addressed through reinforcing our network. However, the ability to support significant development in the rural areas is likely to have a greater impact and require greater reinforcement to accommodate greater demands. Water Efficiency Part G of Building Regulations specify that new homes must consume no more than 125 litres of water per person per day. We recommend that you consider taking an approach of installing specifically designed water efficient fittings in all areas of the property rather than focus on the overall consumption of the property. This should help to achieve a lower overall consumption than the maximum volume specified in the Building Regulations. We recommend that in all cases you consider: • Single flush siphon toilet cistern and those with a flush volume of 4 litres. • Showers designed to operate efficiently and with a maximum flow rate

			<p>of 8 litres per minute. • Hand wash basin taps with low flow rates of 4 litres or less. • Water butts for external use in properties with gardens. To further encourage developers to act sustainably Severn Trent currently offer a 100% discount on the clean water infrastructure charge if properties are built so consumption per person is 110 litres per person per day or less. More details can be found on our website https://www.stwater.co.uk/building-and-developing/regulations-and-forms/application-forms-and-guidance/infrastructure-charges/ We would encourage you to impose the expectation on developers that properties are built to the optional requirement in Building Regulations of 110 litres of water per person per day.</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

Sport England	Sport England-361	Promoting recreational spaces	<p>Thank you for consulting Sport England on the above neighbourhood plan. Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important. It is essential therefore that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Parts 96 and 97. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#playing_fields_policy Sport England provides guidance on developing planning policy for sport and further information can be found via the link below. Vital to the development and implementation of planning policy is the evidence base on which it is founded. https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#planning_applications Sport England works with local authorities to ensure their Local Plan is underpinned by robust and up to date evidence. In line with Part 97 of the NPPF, this</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
---------------	-------------------	-------------------------------	---	--

		<p>takes the form of assessments of need and strategies for indoor and outdoor sports facilities. A neighbourhood planning body should look to see if the relevant local authority has prepared a playing pitch strategy or other indoor/outdoor sports facility strategy. If it has then this could provide useful evidence for the neighbourhood plan and save the neighbourhood planning body time and resources gathering their own evidence. It is important that a neighbourhood plan reflects the recommendations and actions set out in any such strategies, including those which may specifically relate to the neighbourhood area, and that any local investment opportunities, such as the Community Infrastructure Levy, are utilised to support their delivery. Where such evidence does not already exist then relevant planning policies in a neighbourhood plan should be based on a proportionate assessment of the need for sporting provision in its area. Developed in consultation with the local sporting and wider community any assessment should be used to provide key recommendations and deliverable actions. These should set out what provision is required to ensure the current and future needs of the community for sport can be met and, in turn, be able to support the development and implementation of planning policies. Sport England's guidance on assessing needs may help with such work. http://www.sportengland.org/planningtoolsandguidance</p> <p>[1] If new or improved sports facilities are proposed Sport England recommend you ensure they are fit for purpose and designed in accordance with our design guidance notes. http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/tools-guidance/design-and-cost-guidance/</p> <p>[2]</p>	
--	--	---	--

Sport England	Sport England-362	Design and infrastructure	<p>If existing sports facilities do not have the capacity to absorb the additional demand, then planning policies should look to ensure that new sports facilities, or improvements to existing sports facilities, are secured and delivered. Proposed actions to meet the demand should accord with any approved local plan or neighbourhood plan policy for social infrastructure, along with priorities resulting from any assessment of need, or set out in any playing pitch or other indoor and/or outdoor sports facility strategy that the local authority has in place. In line with the Government's NPPF (including Section 8) and its Planning Practice Guidance (Health and wellbeing section), links below, consideration should also be given to how any new development, especially for new housing, will provide opportunities for people to lead healthy lifestyles and create healthy communities. Sport England's Active Design guidance can be used to help with this when developing planning policies and developing or assessing individual proposals. Active Design, which includes a model planning policy, provides ten principles to help ensure the design and layout of development encourages and promotes participation in sport and physical activity. The guidance, and its accompanying checklist, could also be used at the evidence gathering stage of developing a neighbourhood plan to help undertake an assessment of how the design and layout of the area currently enables people to lead active lifestyles and what could be improved. NPPF Section 8:https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/8-promoting-healthy-communities[3]PPG Health and wellbeing section: Sport England's Active Design Guidance: https://www.sportengland.org/activedesign [5]_(Please note: this response relates to Sport England's planning function only. It is not associated with our funding</p>	Steering group reviewed the existing wording and chose to make no update
---------------	-------------------	---------------------------	---	--

			role or any grant application/award that may relate to the site.)	
--	--	--	---	--

The Canal and River Trust	The Canal and River Trust-375	Natural environment	<p>The Trust owns and operates the Oxford Canal, about 2.5km of which runs through the Plan area. Having reviewed the Plan, it does not appear likely that the canal will be affected by any of the policies contained in it. We are pleased to note that the value of the canal as a wildlife corridor is highlighted in the Plan and the proposed Policy 11.7 should help to add further protection for the canal as an ecological habitat and minimise the risk of harmful impacts occurring from inappropriate new development. We do not have any other comments to make, but should you wish to discuss any matters relating to the canal, please feel free to contact me direct. Ian Dickinson <Ian.Dickinson@canalrivertrust.org.uk></p>	Comment is one of support so no update is required
The Coal Authority	The Coal Authority-373	Design and infrastructure	<p>Having reviewed your document, I confirm that we have no specific comments to make on it.</p>	This is a standard response from a statutory consultee - no response required

